## **CENTRO PRO UNIONE**

**N. 63 - Spring 2003** ISSN: 1122-0384



## semi-annual Bulletin

### In this issue:

Letter from the Director	. 2
The Pontifical Biblical Document	
The Jewish People and Their Sacred Scriptures in the New Testament. A Jewish Perspective	
Jack Bemporad	. 3
The Observers at Vatican Two. An Unique Experience of Dialogue	
Thomas Stransky	. 8
Mass Without the Consecration? The Historic Agreement on the Eucharist between the Catholic Church	
and the Assyrian Church of the East Promulgated 26 October 2001	
Robert F. Taft	. 15
A Bibliography of Interchurch and Interconfessional Theological Dialogues	
Eighteenth Supplement (2003)	. 28

# Director's Desk

In this issue of the *Bulletin* we are please to present the texts of some of the lectures held at the **Centro** over the past months. With the publication of the important Pontifical Biblical Commission's document: "The Jewish People and Their Sacred Scriptures in the New Testament" we felt it important to have the observations of an engaged Jewish Rabbi in the dialogue with the Catholic Church. We turned to Rabbi Jack Bemporad to offer his reflections on this document. We feel that our readers will find his remarks very interesting. This lecture was co-sponsored with our friends from SIDIC-Rome, a ministry of the Sisters of Notre Dame de Sion.

For this year's celebration of the Week of Prayer for Christian Unity, the **Centro** invited long time friend and expert, the Paulist Tom Stransky to offer his personal reflections on some of the events that took place in the lecture hall of the **Centro Pro Unione** during the Council years—kind of a "if these walls could speak" lecture. Tom did not disappoint our public! His lecture "The Observers at Vatican Two. An Unique Experience of Dialogue" is found in this issue. A prayer vigil for Christian unity followed his lecture and was led by Pastor Pieter Bouman, Methodist Pastor of the Ponte Sant'Angelo church in Rome with the homily of Pastor Paolo Rica of the Waldensian Faculty in Rome. Co-sponsoring the prayer service with the Centro was the Vincent Pallotti Institute and the Lay Centre at Foyer Unitas.

The fifth Paul Wattson-Lurana White lecture had to be moved to March this year due to the illness of the speaker. The wait was certainly worthwhile as can be seen from Prof. Robert Taft's brilliant lecture on the implications of the recent document concerning the validity of the ancient Eucharistic anaphora of Addaï and Mari. This year's lecture entitled "Anglican-Roman Catholic Relations: A New Step to be Taken, A New Stage to be Reached?" will be given by Dr. Mary Tanner.

Also included in this issue is the eighteenth supplement of the "Bibliography of Interchurch and Interconfessional Theological Dialogues" prepared by our librarian, Dr. Loredana Nepi. All of this material is found in the Centro's library and is on line on our web site as well (www.prounione.urbe.it).

In addition to the lectures published here, the year's activities have included two other major lectures: Prof. Lawrence Cunningham of the University of Notre Dame spoke on "Thomas Merton: Dialogue and the Contemplative Life" and "Matteo: fonte per l'ecumene cristiana. La nuova traduzione letterario-teologica del Vangelo" given by Professor Valdo Bertalot, President of the Biblical Association in Italy and Prof. Luca De Santis, Professor of New Testament Exegesis at the Angelicum. We hope to publish these in a future issue of the *Bulletin*.

The Spring saw many diverse groups visiting the Centro from the Ecumenical Institute of Bossey to a group of pastoral care ministers from Sweden to the annual course prepared for the College of St. Olaf in Northfield, Minnesota. We are most thankful for the visits of these groups since it gives us a chance to share our vision for the unity of Christians and hear other visions.

I hope that you will enjoy the contents of this issue. Please remember that this *Bulletin* is sent to you free of charge but we always welcome a sign of your appreciation by making a donation to help us cover the expense of printing and mailing. Peace and all good!

This periodical is indexed in the *ATLA Religion Database*, published by the American Theological Library Association, 250 S. Wacker Dr., 16<sup>th</sup> Floor., Chicago, IL 60606 (<a href="http://www.atla.com">http://www.atla.com</a>).

James F. Puglisi, sa Director





Centro Conferences

# The Pontifical Biblical Document The Jewish People and Their Sacred Scriptures in the New Testament A Jewish Perspective

Rabbi Jack Bemporad Director, The Center for Interreligious Understanding (USA)

(Conference held at the **Centro Pro Unione**, Thursday, 12 December 2002)

The pontifical Biblical document<sup>1</sup> is an important step in the direction of better understanding between Catholics and Jews. In some respects it makes new, significant and positive affirmations as to Catholic appreciation of Judaism. In other respects it is problematic and I will deal with these in detail, but even here the document is both important and helpful since it tries in an honest and comprehensive manner to clearly present a Catholic understanding of the place of the Jewish people and its scriptures in the New Testament. The document places its findings in the inter religious context both in its preface and in more detail in the concluding sections.

First of all I want to express my appreciation to the Pontifical Biblical commission for such a difficult and valiant effort. The problem it addresses has haunted Jews and Christians for centuries. What is the real and binding connection between our two faiths. Even the most superficial view of the New Testament immediately impresses the reader with its indissoluble connection to the Hebrew Bible and if one is cognizant of Rabbinic texts and institutions with the Rabbinic context within which it emerged.

I think it took daring for the Pontifical Commission to present its results when so much of the material it covers is in the process of intense scrutiny and changing scholarly opinions. This uncertainty is not just in the study of early Rabbinic Judaism but also in New Testament research, both in the scholarly work on the historical Jesus, and even more so in the intense debate over the Apostle Paul.

One of the many merits of this document is that it is viewed as part of an ongoing process embodying the results of current work, which is subject to revision.

The leitmotif of the document is announced in Cardinal Ratzinger's introduction where he quotes section 84:

"Without the Old Testament the New Testament would be an unintelligible book, a plant deprived of its roots and destined to dry up and wither."

Hence any attempt to view the NT as self-sufficient or in a Marcionite context is again repudiated but in a much more vigorous form.

The document clearly reaffirms the past statements of the Church in the section on pastoral orientations. The Second Vatican Council, in its recommendation that there be "understanding and mutual esteem between Christians and Jews, declared that these will be born especially from biblical and theological study, as well as from fraternal dialogue" (§86).

The present Document has been composed in this spirit; it hopes to make a positive contribution to it, and encourages in the Church of Christ the love towards Jews that Pope Paul VI emphasized on the day of the promulgation of the conciliar document *Nostra aetate*.

With this text, Vatican Two laid the foundations for a new understanding of our relations with Jews when it said that "according to the apostle (Paul), the Jews, because of their ancestors, still remain very dear to God, whose gifts and calling are irrevocable (Rm 11:29.)"<sup>2</sup>

Through his teaching, John Paul II has, on many occasions, taken the initiative in developing this Declaration. During a visit to the synagogue of Mainz (1980) he said: "The encounter between the people of God of the Old Covenant, which has never been abrogated by God (*cf.* Rm 11:29), and that of the New Covenant is also an internal dialogue in our Church, similar to that between the first and second part of its Bible." Later, addressing the Jewish communities of Italy during a visit to the synagogue of Rome (1986), he declared:

"The Church of Christ discovers her 'bond' with Judaism 'by searching into her own mystery' (*cf. Nostra aetate*). The Jewish religion is not 'extrinsic' to us, but in a certain way is 'intrinsic' to our religion. With Judaism therefore we have a relationship which we do not have with any other religion. You are our dearly beloved brothers and, in a certain way it could be said that you are our elder brothers."

"An attitude of respect, esteem and love for the Jewish people is the only truly Christian attitude in a situation, which is mysteriously part of the beneficent and positive plan of God.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PONTIFICAL BIBLICAL COMMISSION, *The Jewish People and Their Scriptures in the Christian Bible* (Vatican City: Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 2002). All references to this document appear in the text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> VATICAN II, Declaration on the Relation of the Church to Non-Christian Religions, *Nostra aetate*, §4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> JOHN PAUL II, "Dialogue. The Road to Understanding", *Origins* 10, 25 (1980) 400.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> JOHN PAUL II, "Discourse at the Rome Synagogue, 13 April 1986", *Origins*, 15, 45 (1986) 731.

Dialogue is possible, since Jews and Christians share a rich common patrimony that unites them. It is greatly to be desired that prejudice and misunderstanding be gradually eliminated on both sides, in favor of a better understanding of the patrimony they share and to strengthen the links that bind them."5

Never before as far as I am aware has as unequivocal an affirmation as the following been made by a pontifical commission.

"The New Testament recognizes the divine authority of the Jewish Scriptures and supports itself on this authority. When the New Testament speaks of the 'Scriptures' and refers to 'that which is written,' it is to the Jewish Scriptures that it refers' (§84).

Cardinal Ratzinger believes that the Hebrew Bible can become a common ground for the fostering of positive relations between Christians and Jews.

Another very positive affirmation of this document that Cardinal Ratzinger alludes to is in section §22. Here what is affirmed is that:

"Christians can and ought to admit that the Jewish reading of the Bible is a possible one, in continuity with the Jewish Sacred Scriptures from the Second Temple period, a reading analogous to the Christian reading which developed in parallel fashion. Both readings are bound up with the vision of their respective faiths, of which the readings are the result and expression. Consequently, both are irreducible" (§22).

In clarifying what this twofold reading entails, and in clearing the ground for a "possible" Jewish reading, the text states:

"It would be wrong to consider the prophecies of the O.T. as some kind of photographic anticipations of future events. All the texts, including those which later were read as Messianic prophecies, already had an immediate import and meaning for their contemporaries before attaining a fuller meaning for future hearers. The messiah-ship of Jesus has a meaning that is new and original." He continue stating that it is therefore better not to excessively insist "...on the probative value attributable to the fulfillment of prophecy [which] must be discarded." (§21).

This is all very positive since it clearly maintains separate readings of the Biblical foundations of Judaism and Christianity and also makes room for a reading for the Biblical prophecies in non fulfillment terms. It also perceptively affirms that what happened in Jesus from a Christian point of view was "new and original." And again later:

"Although the Christian reader is aware that the internal dynamism of the Old Testament finds its goal in Jesus, this is a retrospective perception whose point of departure is not in the text as such, but in the events of the New Testament proclaimed by the apostolic preaching. It cannot be said, therefore that Jews do not see what has been proclaimed in the text, but the Christians, in the light of Christ and in the Spirit,

discovers in the text an additional meaning that was hidden there" (§21).

What is left hanging is what exactly is the difference between Jewish and Christian Messianic expectations? The obvious answer from a Jewish perspective is that the Messiah is seen in the Hebrew Bible as ushering in a Messianic age of Justice and peace for all. Here the Jewish communities view of the very texts used by the Church in a Christological manner are viewed very differently in Judaism.

Recognizing this divergence a remarkable and welcome affirmation follows:

"Jewish messianic expectation is not in vain. It can become for us Christians a powerful stimulant to keep alive the eschatological dimension of our faith. Like them, we too, live in expectation. The difference is that for us the One who is to come will have the traits of the Jesus who has already come and is already present and active among us." (§22)

From a theological point of view this is a most important step forward in recognizing the legitimacy of a Jewish understanding of the Messiah not merely by rejecting the long standing belief that Jewish Messianic hopes are vain but even more that traditional Jewish expectations can become a powerful stimulus to keep alive the eschatological understanding of the Christian faith. What this accomplishes is the identifying of Jewish expectations of the coming of the Messiah with the second coming of Jesus and in this sense we both share this anticipation.

One caution however is necessary. The concept of the Messiah in Jewish thought has not the same centrality as it does in Christianity. I think our great teacher Leo Baeck expressed this accurately when he states:

"the hope is no longer for one man who will renew the world but for the new world that is to arise upon the earth. For it is inconsistent with the way of Judaism that one man should be lifted above humanity to be its destiny. The conception of the one man retired into the background on favor of the conception of the one time; the Messiah gives way to the "days of the Messiah" and side by side with it the more definite expression of the Kingdom of God."

There is much that could be said about the documents detailed analysis of the relationship between the OT and the Jewish environment that accompanied the NT and the NT itself. Much as I have noted is very positive. The long descriptions of Paul's teaching in paragraphs 36 and 37 ending in §36 with the words: "Paul is convinced that at the end, God, in his inscrutable wisdom, will graft all Israel back onto their own olive tree, 'all Israel will be saved'" is very positive indeed.

Also at the conclusion of each section there are a number of positive assertions about Judaism and the Jewish people.

If the parallel development from the Hebrew Bible as the original foundational covenant would be traced in two directions with the Christian emerging out of its early Rabbinic context then a more incisive connection between our two faiths would ensue. However in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cf., ibid., 732.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> L. BAECK, Essence of Judaism (NY: Shocken Books, 1961).

the detailed comparison I find the discussion somewhat wooden, mechanical, and not properly valenced. It is all presented on the same level without clarifying what is essential and what is peripherals.

Its chief defect can be simply stated. The document evinces little awareness of the great debt the authors of the NT owe to Rabbinic Judaism and the almost complete lack of appreciation for what early Rabbinic Judaism contributed.

The clearest example is pooftexting, a rabbinic contribution which lies at the whole foundation of the Gospels and Paul. It is not simply the use of hermeneutic principles but the whole innovation of using Biblical verses as prooftexts that is Pharisaic and fundamental to the way the early Rabbinic sages, and after them Jesus and Paul established their authority. This is clearly seen in Jesus' controversy with the Sadducees in Mathew 22:23-32. This is very important for understanding the controversies in the NT.

The New Testament clearly identifies Jesus as a Jew. The religious terminology he used came from Judaism. When asked, "What is the chief one of all the commandments? Jesus replied, 'The chief one is: Hear O Israel, the Lord our God is one Lord, and you must love the Lord your God with your whole heart, with your whole soul and with your whole mind, and with your whole strength. The second is this. You must love your neighbor as yourself. There is no other commandment greater than these." (Mark 12:32ff)

In affirming the central teachings of religion, Jesus responded much as Hillel or Rabbi Akiba responded when asked similar questions. When a pagan challenged Hillel to summarize the whole of the Torah while he stood on one foot, Hillel answered, "what is hateful to you do not unto your fellow human being, this is the whole of the Torah the rest is commentary, go and learn," and Akiba affirmed that the central principle of the Torah is "you shall love your neighbor as yourself."

The selection of the passage from Deuteronomy is Rabbinic and completely accepted by Jesus, and incidentally by the earliest Christian prayer communities. The conflicts relating to Sabbath Observance and the dietary laws are in principle no different than the disagreements between the various schools of Judaism of that time. They resemble the type of differences that took place between the schools of Hillel and Shammai, (incidentally neither Hillel nor Shammai were rabbis as is affirmed in the text), between the Sadducee and Pharisees, and are really not such as to separate Jesus from Judaism.

Y. Kaufmann in his important work Golah v Nekhar points out that "no controversy concerning the 'Son of God' concept as such is reported in the New Testament." If I am not mistaken there is no debate between Jesus and his Jewish antagonist over whether Jesus is the Messiah or not, no debate on the virgin births or incarnation or any "dogma that may have separated the Christian sectarians from Judaism."

On the critical question of authority many spoke with authority and indeed their own authority basing it in one form or another on the

<sup>10</sup> *Ibid*.

received tradition. Luke 16:31 clearly endorses the authority of Moses and the prophets, and as Kaufmann points out "Jesus never cites a prophetic word which was revealed to him or claims 'authority' to alter Pentateuchal statutes. He either explicates the texts according to the expository system of the Pharisees, or cites the intent and spirit of the law; so in his discussion with the Pharisees in Mark 2:23-28 (and parallels Matthew 12:1-4; Luke 6:1-5), Jesus quotes a well known rabbinic dictum, the Sabbath was made for man and not man for the Sabbath, but what is more important he then bases the legitimacy of what his disciples did through an interpretation of scripture and not on his own authority and the interpretation is a typical rabbinic hermeneutical method of inferring from minor to major. Perhaps, as I have noted above, the clearest example of the Pharisaic manner of Jesus' exegesis is in his teaching the Doctrine of the Resurrection of the dead. The Sadducees rejected any form of resurrection and immortality as being not based on the Pentateuch. The Pharisees and Jesus defend both and defend their position using the same Hermeneutical principles. Jesus does not teach the Doctrines of Immortality and Resurrection as a prophet proclaiming the word of God nor on the basis of his own authority but rather on scriptural exegesis. Thus, Kaufmann after a careful analysis points out that on the issue of oaths and vows "the difference of opinions concerned Halachic niceties; and Jesus' reasoning is definitely Pharisaic."11

Let me make this as clear as possible. The ancient prayer of the synagogue emphasizing resurrection clearly connects Rabbinic Judaism and the NT. It states "He sustains life with His grace, revives the dead with His boundless mercy, supports the falling, heals the sick, loosens the bounds, and keeps his faith with those who sleep in the dust. Who is like unto Thee master of mighty acts, and who bears resemblance unto Thee, O King, Who deadens and enlivens and causes salvation to flower? And Thou art indeed utterly trustworthy to resurrect the dead. Praised be Thou, O Lord, Who causes the dead to come to life." This is foundational and must be recognized for a proper understanding of Judaism and its relation to the NT.

A related, for me, disconcerting aspect of this document is the constant quotes from texts that the Jewish community never accepted, nor ever quoted in authorized spirsces as important for a description of Judaism such as the Dead Sea Scroll. To use such tests in explicating what the Jews believed is the equivalent, in a reconstruction of Christianity for one to quote all the non-canonical gospels like the Gospel of Thomas as an appropriate description of early Christianity, while ignoring the texts of the NT.

I do not in any way wish to minimize the importance of the summary statements in each section, which are all positive and affirmative of Judaism and the Jewish people, but in the comparisons in the intermediate sections the fundamental question is not clearly addressed. This question can be stated in its sharpest form in the following manner: what is unique to Christianity if all Jewish elements that contributed to it were deleted?

In an endeavor to answer this question, I am reminded of a statement by Raymond Brown, who, in a lecture on the book of Acts asked why Jesus as founder of Christianity did not establish laws and institutions like Moses and Mohammed? His answer was that he did not have to, since he accepted the fundamental teachings and institutions of Judaism. The synagogue was a foundational institution. Judaism was the only religion prior to Christianity and Islam that

<sup>11</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Babylonian Talmud Shabbat 31A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Midrash Bereshit Rabbah 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Y. KAUFMANN, *Christianity and Judaism: Two Covenants* (Jerusalem: Magnus Press, 1988) 24.

made religion central in one's life and put one's faith in God before all else

The belief in Monotheism is the foundation stone without which the whole revolutionary faith of Judaism would be impossible as well as Christianity. Monotheism is not just the belief in one God as one element among other elements in the Hebrew Bible. It integrates and transforms all the basic elements that makes for the very possibility of their being a Judaism as well as a Christianity and Islam.

The essence of Judaism is the affirmation of Monotheism and all that this implies. This was, and remains, its greatest contribution to the world.

The belief in Monotheism is not just the affirmation that God is one as opposed to the multiplicity of pagan deities, but more importantly, Monotheism brought about a revolution in religious thinking that to this day is the foundation for the three great Monotheistic faiths of the western world, Judaism , Christianity, and Islam

Monotheism implies:

- Spiritual creator God: As long as the gods were forces in nature
  as was true of all pre-biblical religion then each deity had a
  certain domain and was characterized by arbitrariness and
  conflict. There was the battle between the gods and nature was
  seen in constant strife.
- The Jewish Biblical view of a God that was not one among a number of natural forces, but the transcendent spiritual creator of nature. This revolutionary view which was initiated by the Prophets made it possible to see nature as the creation of God as a cosmos and not a chaos. Also since God created nature God is not a natural force but a spiritual being. God transcends nature.
- Another consequence of Monotheism is that Human Beings are made in and for the Divine image. They have a spark of the Divine. Hence they must be treated with respect and as ends in themselves and not solely as objects of use. Since God is a spiritual being then Human Beings made in the Divine image also transcend physical nature. They also have a spiritual quality that manifests itself not only in natural processes but in ethical action.
- As a result of the new concept of Human nature as a spiritual
  and not simply physical reality History is now possible. The
  Bible was the first book that actually viewed society as historical
  and not just cyclical. History became the means through which
  human values and goals could be realized. This also was a
  consequence of Monotheism
- Just as Monotheism affirmed one God and one Cosmos it also made it possible to believe in one ideal goal of history which would be constituted by a society of Justice and Peace. It is this working for a society of Justice and Peace which gives human beings their tasks and responsibilities in the world. It is a threefold responsibility. A) For themselves, in the sense that the spark of the Divine within them must be tended and realized and used to deal with all self centered action at the expense of others. B) for others who also are made in the Divine image. The Bible was the first book to indicate that all human beings have a claim on us and that in the sight of God they are spiritually equal. Thus the ideal of a Just society for all was a basic affirmation of Monotheism. C) for God who is the ground for the order, value and meaning in the world and in our lives.
- Monotheism means that Peace is now a possible ideal. With no

- warfare between the Gods and one cosmos and one goal of history then the realization of peace is now the end of all our striving.
- Monotheism also in the Bible affirmed that the Jewish People were given the task of taking on the burden of making Monotheism known to the world. This was the concept in the Prophets of the mission of Israel. This mission was to made God and Righteousness real in the world.
- Monotheism also affirmed the centrality of the ethical which brought about the revolutionary idea that all ritual was not to be seen as a means of cajoling or bribing or propitiating God but as a means of the implementation of the ethical. As a result the ethical and the holy became indissoluble. The holy was seen as all that realizes the spiritual in man and brings him close to God and since the holy is inoperative without the ethical the prophets viewed ethical behavior and not ritual as central to Judaism. For example on Yom Kippur only ethical sins are listed and God will not forgive sins of a moral nature without moral-spiritual regeneration on our part. Ritual should be a symbolization, implementation, and a continual reminder of our ethical ideals and values.
- The goal of Jewish life on an individual basis is A) the transformation of self by using our best selves to deal with our worst selves. B) the transformation of society by establishing a just social order. C) taking our place in history by building on the past and doing our part. As Rabbi Tarfon said "it is not yours to finish the task neither is it yours to exempt yourselves from it.
- The rejection of Monotheism is idolatry. Idolatry is the having of a false sense of the Holy. It is the making sacred of all those things, objects, persons, institutions that have no right to be sacred. Monotheism in its ethical and ritual manifestations enjoins us to continually guard ourselves against the temptation to attribute holiness to the projection of our fears and desires. An idol is a false hope. It is the taking of something that is finite, limited, and time bound, and giving it the status of the ultimate and eternal. The worst form of idolatry is the acting as if we are the center of the universe and that all is there to serve us and to cater to us as if we were divine. It is the taking of ourselves and all extensions of ourselves as the true sacred without any consideration for the claims of others. It is not recognizing our proper place in the scheme of things.

All of the above constitutes the foundational covenant which became part and parcel of the Christian religion. A conceptual connectedness rather than a mechanical textual comparison is what is needed in any future work.

There is no need for me to elaborate on this before this group except to say that the distinction between faith and works is a distinction, which is alien to Judaism. One fulfills one's faith through one's works and one's works establishes and reinforces one's faith.

Herman Cohen has pointed out that the "idea of humanity" came from the Hebrew Bible and we can add so much more, most especially the ideal of a society of Justice and peace for all the world. 12

Almost in passing the text makes many very significant points that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> H. COHEN, *Religion of Reason* (Atlanta: Scholar Press, 1995) especially chapter 13.

are helpful for Christian Jewish relations.

At the end of § 28 it states "God was never resigned to leaving his people in wretchedness. He always reinstates them in the path of true greatness, for the benefit of the whole of humanity." What a wonderful affirmation of the nature and role of the Jewish people. The text introduces contextual language to interpret the troublesome text for many non Christians of Acts 4:12.

In commenting on a servant passage in second Isaiah it clearly recognizes the servant as the People Israel, which is destined to be a light to the nations (§34). While there seems to be some hesitation in interpreting Paul in Romans as I indicated above the long section at §§ 36 and 37 is very positive. What is especially helpful is the document's claim that the unconditional promises given to Abraham includes the "gift of the land" (§37) "to your descendants I give this land" in § 39 again it states "the Lord commits himself to the gift of the land"

All of the above is positive. There is, however, unfortunately, much that from a Jewish perspective is troublesome.

First is the treatment of Paul, and especially Galatians and Romans. I personally believe that the work of Stendhal and Gager that Paul was indeed the apostle to the gentiles and that the strictures as to those under the law were strictures against Judaizers is convincing. The careful analysis of both Galatians and Romans in Gager's book *Reinventing Paul* makes it clear that the disputes Paul alludes to were disputes "within the Jesus –movement, not with Jews or Judaism outside." Building on the ground breaking work of Krister Stendahl, Gager summarizes his two books on Paul as follows:

"When Paul summarizes his gospel in 8:1f ("There is now no condemnation for those who are in Christ Jesus...For the law of the spirit of life in Christ Jesus has set me free from the law of sin and death), he does so using language characteristic of Gentiles throughout the letter. When he speaks unambiguously of the law and Israel, he never uses terms like condemnation and death. Moreover, there is a strong thematic continuity between Chapters 1-4, which emphasizes the disobedience, the sins, and redemption of the Gentiles, and Chapters 5-8, which speak of their new life in Christ. Any other reading goes against the grain not just of the entire letter but of every Jewish understanding of the law. Little wonder that older Jewish readers of Paul spoke with dismay of his profound distortion of Judaism. But if, as more recent readers have discovered, Paul is not speaking of the law and Israel, that issue disappears. Still, the damage has been done. "I believe it a great tragedy that generations of Christians have seen Jews through these dark lenses."14

Apropos this position the words of Stendhal are central

"To me the climax of Romans is actually chapters 9-11.i.e., his reflections on the relation between church and synagogue, the church and the Jewish people- not "Christianity" and "Judaism," not the attitudes of the gospel versus the attitudes of the law. The question is the relation between two communities and their coexistence in the mysterious plan of God. It should be noted that Paul does not say that when the time of God's kingdom, the consummation, comes Israel will accept Jesus as the Messiah. He says only that the time will come when "all Israel will be saved" (11:26). It is stunning to note that Paul writes this whole section of Romans (10:18-11:36) without using the name of Jesus Christ. This includes the final doxology (11:33-36), the only such doxology in his writings without any christologial element."

I am not claiming that such a revisionist view of Paul is conclusive. What I am saying is that its claims must be carefully weighed and dealt with. The text does mention Judaizers so that it is at least aware of its importance.

A second issue that needs clarification is the identification of the prophets condemnation of Israelites society with Jesus's condemnation of the Jewish leadership. What is involved are the kind of controversies mentioned above not what is stated in the text. The Prophetic criticism in the Hebrew Bible evinces a concern for two issues, idolatry and social justice. Kaufmann points out that the classical prophets believe that it is not only idolatry but also injustice, the oppression of the poor and needy, the exploitation and social corruption of the ruling classes that would lead to exile.

Their condemnation is accompanied with a broken heart for the great tragedy that is befalling their people. Moses plea has a parallel in Paul in Romans chapter 9 but to claim that the leadership of the Jewish people were intent on killing Jesus and destroying Christianity is totally unwarranted as is evidenced by the compelling scholarship both Jewish and non Jewish for the last 100 years. It was the Roman government and Pontius Pilate who were doing the oppressing, not the Pharisaic leadership. We know that the high priest was the appointee of the Procurator and functioned as his henchman. The oppressive nature of the Roman government can be seen by the numerous revolts against Rome.

I do not want these criticisms in any way to take away from what I can only view as a most important step forward in Catholic Jewish relations. There is no question that the intent and in the main the execution of this document is motivated by a sincere desire for genuinely warm and loving relations between our two faiths. No more fitting conclusion can be the whole hearted agreement on my part with the hope expressed in the texts conclusion "that prejudice and misunderstanding be gradually eliminated" for both of us "in favor of a better understanding of the patrimony" we share so as to strengthen the links that bind us.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> J.G. GAGER, *Reinventing Paul* ([NY]: Oxford University Press, 2000) 69.

<sup>14</sup> Ibid., 81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> K. STENDHAL, *Paul among Jews and Gentiles and Other Essays* (Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1980) 4.

Centro Conferences

### The Observers at Vatican Two An Unique Experience of Dialogue<sup>1</sup>

Thomas Stransky, Paulist Tantur Ecumenical Institute, Jerusalem

(Conference held at the Centro Pro Unione, Thursday, 23 January 2003)

Vatican Two. Without taking account of its debates and resolutions, and of its interpreting "the signs of the times" of the early 1960s and the rest the century, it is impossible to understand modern Catholicism.

The Church's current consensus and dissents, its confidences, hesitations and nervousness in theology and ethics, in pastoral and missionary activities, in social and political involvements, in ecumenical and inter-religious commitments, and in the interplay of universal, regional and local church structures — all are conditioned by Vatican II deliberations and resulting quarrels about what the 16 promulgated documents intended clearly to say or not to say, or deliberately to leave ambiguous. Mississippi novelist William Faulkner is right: "The past is never dead, it's not even past."

On the one hand, we are still *too close* to Vatican II. That proximity recalls Chairman Zhou Enlai's answer in China when André Malraux, a self-acknowledged French intellectual, asked the communist premier what he thought of the 18<sup>th</sup> century French Revolution: "It's too early to tell." On the other hand, we, all children of the Council, are becoming *too distant* from that "convulsive alteration of the whole religious landscape" (Gary Wills). Most Catholics today have been born after Vatican II; for them the center of the gravity of history lies in the future, not the past.

The poet Robert Penn Warren reminds us: "History is not in the truth but in the telling." Ruthless time is dispossessing us of council participants who in their tellings could still *re-present* the event.

Less than 60 of the over 2450 Council *Patres* (or Fathers) are still breathing, and in charity one dares not inquire about the viable alertness of each one's memory. Of the 189 official Observers and Guests, I can count at least 130 who have left the earthly scene. Of us four original staff members of Pope John's 1960 Secretariat for Promoting Christian Unity (SPCU), Cardinal Bea and Bishop Jean-François Arrighi have gone down to dust.

Still living is 93-year-old Cardinal Willebrands, who in a Dutch convent of caring nuns bears the cross of mental and physical disabilities. Only I remain active in ecumenical and interreligious pastoral ministries, my heart and mind kept alert by daily immersion in the Israeli/Palestinian war zone.

Thus, I am quite aware that this evening you have limping before you a 72-year-old museum piece. To quote the apologizing Irishman at a wedding: "It's not me best hat, but it's me only one." Last May I gratefully accepted Fr. Jim Puglisi's invitation to shorthand a few of my experiences and recollections of the Observers, their roles and influences at the Council. I willingly do this, before my own memory jogs into lax words for dim thoughts, or prompts those nostalgic fantasies which are a euphemism for lies.

#### Who were Vatican II participants?

The four-year event self-created a *genius loci* which conditioned a participating extended family. This unique family embraced Popes John XXIII and Paul VI and their fellow bishops; the official and private experts (*periti*); the Catholic female and male auditors; the journalists of the religious and the secular media; the delegated Observers and SPCU Guests; and those other Catholics, Orthodox, Anglicans and Protestants, and Jews, who came to Rome for short or longer periods.

Despite a plethora of available primary documents, published diaries, journals and books, the persistent question about this participating family remains partially unanswered: who influenced whom, in the spirit and thrust of the Council itself, and in specific themes and wordings of the drafts *schemata*? Indeed, influences were so porous that designating isolated conditioners and single agents, even the presiding popes, is the frustrating headache of the scrupulous historian. And an historian needs to be content also with the untraceables.

Far more difficult to delineate is the changing environment of the ecumenical movement between 1959 and 1965, and to trace

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sections of this lecture condense my far longer, footnoted survey, "Paul VI and the Observers/Guests to Vatican Council II," in *Paolo VI e l'Ecumenismo: colloquio internazionale di studio, Brescia, 25-26-27 settembre 1998*, Pubblicazioni dell'Istituto Paolo VI, 23 (Brescia/Rome: Istituto Paolo VI/Edizione Studium, 2001) 118-158. See also my "The Foundation of the SPCU," in Alberic STACPOOLE, ed., *Vatican II by Those Who Were There* (London: Chapman, 1986) 62-87.

the influence of the movement on the variety of council participants, and vice versa, their influence on participants in the world-wide movement.

## Who were the Observers? What, where and how did the Observers observe?

I include here SPCU-invited Guests.

Through complicated negotiations by the SPCU, the Observers were delegated by their *Churches*, such as the Eastern and Oriental Orthodox, the Anglican and the Old Catholic; or by seven *world confessional families*, for example, the Lutheran World Federation, World Methodist Council, and the World Committee of Friends (Quakers); or by a *national church*, such as the Church of South India and the United Church in Japan; or in its unique position, by the World Council of Churches (WCC). In another category the SPCU itself invited Guests, either *ad personam*, e.g. Lutheran Oscar Cullmann and French Reformed Max Boegner; or representing an *institution*, such as the Orthodox theological institute of St. Sergius in Paris, St. Vladimir seminary in New York, and Taizé; or a *church*, such as the Lutheran Church-Missouri Synod, the Baptists and the Pentecostal Assemblies of God.

Most were ordained clergy, all male, almost all professors of theology and social ethics, Scriptures and patristics, liturgy and church history. The WCC Observers were Swiss Reformed Lukas Vischer and Greek Orthodox lay philosopher-theologian Nikos Nissiotis, but over 30 had longer WCC experiences than these two; 17 had participated in the first WCC assembly (Amsterdam, 1948), and several more in WCC activities during the 1950s. Including their substitutes who came for shorter stretches, 167 Observers and 22 Guests over the four sessions – a mélange not only with different backgrounds and concerns, but also with "differing degrees of wariness" (A. Outler).

The Observers' church traditions had widely different dealings with the Catholic Church. They carried in mental bags varied lists of wishes and desires (*vota et desideria*), as they arrived in Rome with differing expectations, not all positive. In fact, most initial expectations of Vatican II in the Orthodox and Protestant worlds were anything but positive.

For the Orthodox, unilaterally to convoke, in John XXIII's words of January 25, 1959, "an Ecumenical Council for the Universal Church" displayed papal arrogance. An Ecumenical Council is of both the East and West, and the Universal Church requires its unanimity for doctrinal and disciplinary resolutions. Does the Catholic Church dare consider that a Synod, presided over by the bishop of Rome as patriarch of the West, can stamp dogmatic decisions with the seal of infallibility, and impose them on the Orthodox?

For Lutheran Observer George Lindbeck, Pope John's unqualified prediction of a "new Pentecost" rather shocked Protestants. Their textbooks perceived Trent and Vatican I not to be privileged arenas of the Holy Spirit but decisive symbols of aberrations from biblical faith. Would not the upcoming Vatican II repeat those unbiblical definitions or canons of Trent and Vatican I, even if perhaps with more *politesse*?

"Semper idem" was the motto on the episcopal herald of Cardinal Ottaviani, president of the preparatory theological commission. How could Vatican II with integrity change the antimodernist encyclicals of Pius IX and Pius X, say, on religious liberty and ideal church-state relations, and on unnuanced homeis-Rome ecclesiology?

The principal reason why the Baptist World Alliance asked the Unity Secretariat *not* to invite it to delegate official Observers was the overt church and state harassments and even persecution of Baptist and other Protestant minority groups in dominant "Catholic" countries in Latin America, Spain, Portugal and Italy, and nervous expectations that Vatican II would solemnly sanction these. The anti-Protestant behaviors, justified by the principle that error has no rights, was also a reason why to the first session the World Presbyterian Alliance delegated the Waldensian doyen Vittorio Subilia and Princeton professor James Nichols; the Alliance respected these two for their articulate, strong opposition to Catholic church-state theories and practices enshrined in legal concordats.

In August 1960 Cardinal Montini of Milan worried that "a Council to re-establish the unity of Christians, after the vain endeavors history has seen, if it should fail in its sweep, would make worse the present state of affairs." Even later papal clarifications that Vatican II would not be a reunion gathering but an internal, pastoral Catholic event for church *aggiornamento* did not lessen unease among ecumenical Protestants and Orthodox. Some asked: Is not the aggiornamento intent also proselytic, to beautify the old, lined and tired face, in order to lure separated brethren, susceptible to such new charms, to return home? Max Boegner recalled the private remark of a French Catholic theologian, then in Vatican-forced silence because of his ecumenical stances: "I pray that the Council not discuss, not say anything about church unity."

Likewise my own pessimism before the first session. Already in December of 1960, one of my primary SPCU obediences was the perusal of the solicited consilia et vota from all bishops, male superior generals, pontifical universities, and the roman curia discasteries – eventually 9,520 pages which the Vatican Press published sub secreto in 15 thick volumes. I was to seek out and note whatever pertained to the ten ecumenically related subjects which the first meeting of the SPCU members and consultors (November 14-16) had listed, e.g. relations with other Christians and with Jews; the ecumenical movement; mixed marriages; religious freedom; the Word of God in the life of the Church; heresy and schism; the priesthood of all believers and the role of laity in the Church. My general impression was most disheartening. Here was a collection of such disparate views of what Vatican II should do to incarnate Pope John's aggiornamento, that who and what would win out was unanswerable.

On the very eve of the Council, if I had been forced to predict, I would have given a more negative than positive conjecture, for by then I had carefully read also the 119 schemata of the preparatory commissions, including the critical duet from Ottaviani's commission: *On the Church* and *On the Two Sources* 

of Revelation.

The morning opening of the Council (October 11, 1962) witnessed 38 Observers and Guests sitting in the front row which faced the high altar and presiding Pope John XXIII. On the next afternoon arrived from Moscow the two Russian Orthodox, Vitali Borovoj and Vladimir Katliarov. (The other Eastern Orthodox delegations, around the Ecumenical Patriarchate of Constantinople, came only to the third and fourth sessions.) Of these first Observers I then knew less than half: none of the Greek and Oriental Orthodox; of the Anglicans and Protestants, in person only five, the rest by my having read some of their writings.

Arriving too late for the morning opening was American Baptist Guest Stanley Stuber. In the Paulist seminary our apologetics professor had required us to read and refute Stuber's simple catechism, *Primer on Roman Catholicism for Protestants*.<sup>2</sup> So began his first ever visit to this city of saints and sinners. He met both. At my urging he went that first evening to that large outdoor living room which is the piazza of St. Peter. There with lit candles and torches gathered several thousand mostly Roman families, to greet Pope John at his study window. Among these jubilant Catholics the curious Baptist hid himself, but not invisible enough to elude a thief who defty picked his pocketbook and newly acquired SPCU council passport. Four years later Stuber told me that his piazza posture had been his only mistake of Protestant naïveté in the Holy City.

#### Where did the Observers observe?

In the aula of St. Peter's they were joined by SPCU staff and translators/interpreters to enjoy ringside seats in an exclusive tribunal under Bernini's St. Longinus. The Observers called themselves "The Separated Brethren of St. Longinus," whom they elected Honorary Observer. Without discrimination they received all of the distributed sub secreto documents, and were never excluded from the closed morning sessions (*congregationes generales*).

During these sessions were open two large bars, quickly named Bar-Jonas and Bar-Abbas, highlighted by Oscar Cullmann for their "ecumenical role." Because of the Observers' easily recognizable non-Roman attire, the episcopal red sea would politely part to assure that the honored guests need not wait in line. Always a bishop or peritus approached an Observer for conversation. For a fast coffee and cornetto, I selfishly would accompany an Observer. I often sought out the most affable Archbishop Harold Henry of Kwang Ju, Korea. The Irish Columban missionary, I discovered, was the best informed on the moods in the aula. He understood few words of spoken Latin oratory, so immediately after the Eucharist, he headed for the bars, and used the morning conversing with I suspected eventually during the four sessions every English-speaking Pater and Observer who did know what the orators were proposing.

Besides the aula, the Observers had four other centers or

<sup>2</sup> S.I. STUBER, *Primer on Roman Catholicism for Protestants: An Appraisal of the Basic Differences between the Roman Catholic Church and Protestantism* (New York: Association Press, 1953).

observatories of conciliar contact. The Orthodox and most of the Anglicans and Protestants were lodged at the nearby Pensione Castel Sant' Angelo, where they invited to their tables *Patres*, periti and lay auditors, visiting clergy and lay people. In the Methodist church at the eastern end of the Ponte Sant'Angelo, they and the SPCU translators/interpreters met twice weekly in the early morn for common prayer, followed by practical updatings.

The third center was mobile. On Thursdays, *sine congregatione*, the Observers (and spouses), some SPCU staff and interpreters, took an all-day bus trip outside Rome, and we lunched too sumptiously with generous local hosts, such as the monasteries of Subiaco, Montecassino, Casamari, Assisi and Grottaferrata, or in the towns of Viterbo, Orvieto, Napoli and the Castelli Romani.

And the last but not least center was here in this room, a three-hour meeting every Tuesday afternoon. Chaired by Bishop Willebrands, they discussed the contents of specific schemata presented by key drafters. Old Catholic Werner Küppers called these uninhibited and provocative meetings the "ecumenical paracouncil of the SPCU and the Observers." At the end of the fourth session, Oscar Cullmann exclaimed: "In everything which concerns the Council, you have hidden absolutely nothing. There is no 'iron curtain' here."

For the first three weeks Cardinal Cushing of Boston looked enviously at the Observers' tribunal directly across the aisle. He lamented with some accuracy and a touch of anger: "The Observers are better understanding what is going on than most of us." He then left for Boston and never returned, except for a brief appearances at the third and fourth sessions when in the name of most U.S. bishops, he shouted out a defense of religious freedom, although few could understand his New England-accented, not too successful attempt to read the Latin text.

As did most *Patres*, especially the Greek and Oriental Orthodox paid careful attention to the remarks on each schema by the ecumenically sensitive Maximus IV, the Melchite patriarch of Antioch, Alexandria and Jerusalem. He spoke in the name of the Eastern Catholic Churches, but was also the voice of the Orthodox. He combined brilliant eloquence with blunt clarity. Already in November 1960 he had objected to John XXIII's *Veterum Sapientia* which declared Latin the official language of the *Universal* Church. To accommodate Latin church listeners, he compromised by speaking not in Arabic but in French – the only Pater allowed to do so.

The Danish Lutheran Observer Kristen Skydsgaard evoked the existence of a "secret Council," which he described as that interior, religious, experiential dimension of the participants which eludes verbal descriptions. Indeed being formed during the four sessions was a community-in-dialogue, an experienced *communio realis sed imperfecta*, also *among* the Observers.

This "secret Council" became also my experience. Some Observers became close companions in conciliar pilgrimage, Emmaus-like, not only at the centers, but also during quiet meals, walks through the hidden siesta streets of Rome, along the empty beach of Anzio/ Nettuno, or on the hill roads of Lago di Nemi. Serious, spontaneous, unfeigned talk about the Council; light chat

about our families; humorous exchange of "horror stories" and student pranks-on-the-faculty during our seminary years; and the intuitive conversation of unawkward silences. Or to forget it all, occasional Sunday afternoon football (soccer) games at the riotous, deafening stadium with two of my closest friends – we youngsters were the same age: Zakka Iwas and Karekin Sarkissian, years later to become the patriarchs of the Syrian and the Armenian Orthodox Churches.

Yes, here in Rome was maturing over four years an unique, unrepeatable dialogue. The partners were the Catholic Church at its highest level of church authority in teaching and discipline – usually present around 2250 *Patres*, and a far, far smaller group of Observers who could not speak officially in the name of their churches.

For the first time, the *Patres* experienced a multicultural and international dialogue *among themselves*, and they could not avoid voting in conscience on final resolutions. Most *Patres* had never experienced *par cum pari* dialogue with "separated brethren" even on local or national levels, and now they were called to set the ecumenical agenda without the experience of dialogue.

And their partner, the group of Observers, had never experienced a multilateral dialogue among themselves with the Catholic Church as such and its wide-ranging agenda which the Council was determining unilaterally. In the light of their differing church traditions, of the historical contexts of relations with the Catholic Church, with ever-active "non-theological factors," prejudices and caricatures, the Observers soon saw themselves differing *among themselves*, even in interpretations of the dynamics of aggiornamento.

In hindsight, those dynamics were inducing in the Church a Catholic reform of its own Counter-Reformation. It could not be a Reformation WCC-style, or a Reformation without a hierarchy, or without the papal primacy – sometimes these styles were implicit in some Observers' expectations. Vatican II became a Reformation Roman-style, within the limits of the Catholic Church and without schism in the soul of the Church. Church historian Albert Outler called Vatican II "a very rare instance of historical change within a continuum of identity and consensus."

Professor Outler represented the World Methodist Council at all four sessions. He did not miss any of the 168 general congregations—a boast no other Observer and few *Patres* could honestly claim. Outler was astute in predicting the various positive and negative reactions to Vatican II in the complex "Protestant world" during the four years and the aftermath.

At the end of the fourth session, this Methodist Observer had accurately observed the Observers: the *sceptics* who admitted outward signs of change which put non-Catholic Christians offguard but, knowing Rome of old, they regarded these changes as illusions; the *cautious* who admired the proclaimed aggiornamento but soberly feared that once "the tumult and shouting are over the tide of reform will ebb away, leaving only noisemakers clamoring for what might have been"; the *visionaries* who "saw the ecumenical genie out of the bottle, and could not question the Council's commitment to later bringing the Church's

thought and practice up to the level of the Church's vision and expectations." In Outler's confession, over the four years "my expanding comprehension converted me first from being an ecumenical tourist at a great ecclesiastical pageant into a participant observer in an epoch-making event, and then, into a partner in the ongoing ecumenical enterprise which had been generated by that event."

#### What were the influences of the Observers?

Let me offer a few details of the Observers' processes of influence. They not only observed, they also made observations. By the end of the first session, they had learned various ways to transmit their opinions and suggestions:

1) To the SPCU and drafters not only verbally at the Tuesday meetings in this room, but also in more careful and more usable writing. Some adopted the council voting method: agreement (*placet*), against (*non placet*), or conditional (*placet juxta modum*), here using "instead of this reading" (*stat*), read this way (*legitur*), for this reason (*ratio*).

2) Or to a SPCU Pater or another friendly bishop. The Pater would incorporate the suggestion as his own in a spoken or written intervention. I give four examples.

At a Tuesday meeting here in early November 1963, Kristen Skydsgaard criticized the new *De Ecclesia*. Offering Lutheran biblical understanding, he judged that the schema lacks a sense of human treachery and God's wrath upon the Church; throughout the Bible the people of God is always in need of God's forgiveness; this is also true of today's Church. Lacking this biblical reality, *De Ecclesia* is "a pale document." An American Observer walking to St. Peter's with Anglican Bishop John Moorman said to him: "You remember Skydsgaard's speech at our last Tuesday meeting. Well, today you are going to hear it again by a cardinal who was very impressed by it." He was Cardinal Albert Meyer of Chicago, recognized by his American peers as their prime biblical scholar.

A second example. I well recall the iter of the expression "hierarchy of truths," N. 11 in the *Decree on Ecumenism*. At the Pensione Castel Sant' Angelo, Oscar Cullmann, a few other Observers, SPCU consultors Canadian Gregory Baum and Swiss Johannes Feiner, had been conversing about "the hierarchy of truths" in different church traditions as a subject for dialogue. Feiner then approached his friend Archbishop Andrea Pangrazio of Gorizia with an orderly text. Pater Pangrazio used it almost verbatim in his speech in aula (November 25, 1963)— in toto printed in English, German and French collections of selected notable interventions. SPCU introduced the paragraph "hierarchy of truths" in its new draft at the third session. When that new schema was discussed at the Tuesday meeting in this room, Cullmann announced (and later published) that he regarded the passage as "the most revolutionary to be found, not only in the ecumenism schema but in any of the schemata."

Fifteen years later, the entertaining *pensionato* Pangrazio visited our Catholic Church/WCC Joint Working Group meeting in Venezia. He told us that *his* idea originated in an unforgettable comment which his dear mother had made to him as a young

priest— of course, in less technical language. A delightful example of a fading memory compensated by lively imagination.

A third example. At his request, an influential drafter of the schema on the laity, Bishop Emilio Guano of Livorno, received a long list of suggested changes or *modi* from Lukas Vischer and the WCC Laity Department. One can easily trace the incorporation of many of these suggestions in the promulgated *Apostolicam actuositatem*.

Likewise traceable in the *Decree on the Missionary Activity of the Church (Ad Gentes)* are the results of an unpublicized consultation which Bea/Willebrands had authorized me to coorganize with Bishop Lesslie Newbigin, director of the WCC Division on Mission and Evangelism, at Crêt-Bérard, Switzerland, April 5-10, 1965. The chief drafter, Johannes Schütte SVD, and other key drafters brought the fresh and approved draft–*sub secreto severo*, since only in June would the *Patres* receive the text. Newbigin's team included Vatican II Observers: V. Borovoj, V. Hayward, J. Miguez Bonino, J. Sadiq and L. Vischer.

The five-day consultation elicited direct input on the schema, in particular on the Trinitarian basis for the *Missio Dei*; mission both *in* and *to* the six continents; evangelism versus proselytism; and common witness. The drafters could not change the commission's schema, but one of them, Council member O. Degrijse, superior general of the Scheut Missionaries, first intervened in aula; then the mission commission used his detailed written *modi*. In his 1985 autobiography Newbigin "believes that the much more acceptable character of *Ad Gentes* as it was finally adopted, owed at least something to our meeting." I go further. Crêt-Berard had almost as much influence on some sections of *Ad Gentes* as did the Observers on *Unitatis Redintegratio*.

More than any other schema Observers regarded *De Oecumenismo* as also *their* draft, and they strongly supported the positions of in aula interventions by some *Patres*. I give only four major points, raised also in this room.

- 1) In order to develop an ecclesiology which includes the historical abnormal divisions between the Churches, *De Ecclesia* and *De Oecumenismo* shifted from the dominant Pauline image of the Body of Christ and thus avoided Pius XII's *Mystici Corporis* body language either *in* or *outside* the one Church of Christ, to another Pauline image of *koinonia*, and thus: *communio realis sed non plena* or *imperfecta* (N.3). And equally important, the emphasis is not on individual Christians but on their *Communions* as ecclesial realities (esp. Chapter III).
- 2) Every previous draft took for granted "Catholic ecumenism." The Observers supported the change to "Catholic principles of ecumenism" (Chapter I). The ecumenical movement is one and common to all, and the experience of the Catholic Church *in* the one movement is qualitatively different than the Catholic Church *and* the movement.
- 3) The inseparable bond between the unity and the mission of the Church, and the scandal of christian divisions which damages the proclamation of the one Gospel (Proemium and N.12).

4) The Church as a whole is always in need of *renovatio*, *reformatio*, *purificatio*. The incorporation of this affirmation in *De Ecclesia* (N.8) and *De Oecumenismo* (N.6) led Presbyterian Observer Robert McAfee Brown to entitle his post-Council book, *The Second Reformation*—the ongoing vocation of *all* the churches, coining another name for the post-Vatican II one ecumenical movement.

Crucial though *De Oecumenismo* was for the Observers, for them *the* test to evaluate Vatican II's good faith in its total aggiornamento project and in particular its commitment to the one ecumenical movement, and *the* test to judge the ecumenical sincerity of Paul VI, was another schema, *De Libertate religiosa*—religious freedom in civic society. Would and could the Catholic Church state clearly and authoritatively that it respects the civic freedom of other believers (also of unbelievers), even if the Church has or will have the political power and occasion to do otherwise? Does the Catholic Church claim the exclusive right everywhere to "evangelize" among Protestant and Orthodox flocks, but condemns their public witness among Catholics always as unrightful "proselytism"?

Ever looking for the bottom-line answers in one of the most densely controversial of all council themes, the Observers would carefully be listening to every pertinent in aula remark, every shift in the worded arguments of the five successive drafts. They would be observing every tactic pro et contra behind the scenes, and every silence or act of the presider – Pope Paul VI.

Except for Lukas Vischer's and Max Boegner's records of their one-to-one conversations with Pope Paul – who was noted for his pointed questions, we know nothing of the pope's conversations with other Observers, e.g. U.S. Methodist Bishop Corson, Anglican Bishop Moorman, Armenian Orthodox Bishop Sarkissian, Oscar Cullmann (at all four sessions), Kristen Skydsgaard, and the Taizé Frères Roger Schutz and Max Thurian.

Untraceable is that mutual influence from informal conversations of the Observers with *Patres* and periti, whether in the Bar-Abbas and Bar-Jonah, or over dinner tables and at evening buffets hosted by journalists, or in invited talks to national or regional bishops' meetings.

Such were these influences by the Observers, also through press interviews and quotations used by bishops, that those minority *Patres*, mostly from Latin America, Italy and Spain, who had formed the *Coetus Internationalis Patrum*, asked their rhetorical question to Paul VI already after the second session: Were not the Observers becoming "too influential on the Council in a negative way"? Perhaps confirming his own worries, the pope wrote Cardinal Bea (April 24, 1964) to consider if the presence and "mentalità" of the "the separated brethren" were "excessively dominating the Council, thus diminishing its psychological freedom." It seems, the pope concludes, that more important than pleasing the Observers is "to protect the coherence of the teaching of the Catholic Church." Cardinal Villot, of the Secretariat of State, claimed that the pope even considered "disinviting" the Observers.

We do not know Bea's response. All I recall is the private remark of Bishop Willebrands: "We did not invite them to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> J.E.L. NEWBIGIN, *Unfinished Agenda: An Autobiography* (Geneva: World Council of Churches, 1985) 208.

particular periods, but to the entire Council." The pope's concern never reached beyond our small *sub secreto* circle.

#### What were my personal experiences of dialogue?

I did first learn a few primary lessons in ecumenical dialogue from sharing the Observers' experiences as Vatican II coparticipants; lessons which forty years later I still find difficult always to practice, especially with the Eastern Christians and with the Jews and Muslims in the Holy but troubled Land.

For me, for most Christians, the most difficult step in dialogue is the first one: understand others as they understand themselves to be, enter the "insides" of other Churches, those "spiritual worlds other Christians inhabit" (Y. Congar), so that these others recognize themselves in my description of them. Only then do I have the right to the second step: evaluation according to the Catholic tradition. And to the third step: openness to those truths which the Church needs for its own reformation.

The hardest self-discipline of application is first kneeling before the personal and communal *pieties* of others, for piety and its symbolic expressions are far more deeply complex than doctrinal formulations. Thus the tests for the Protestant Observers were not just Catholic sacramental theology but sacramental and devotional pieties, already evidenced in above-average attention to the chapter on holiness in *De Ecclesia*. A Methodist asked: Do Catholics consider the universal call to holiness an obligation and an award for their liturgical and devotional efforts, or as John Wesley reminds us, is holiness always a free gift of invitation from God who first loves us? Douglas Steere of the Society of Friends quietly commented that the Quakers do not have sacraments, no baptism, no ordained leaders. Quaker piety and discipline only asks of all Christians, including popes: "Does this–or–that help lead you and others to holiness?"

The 16<sup>th</sup> century stances of the Lutheran and Calvinist magisterial Reformers were not forgotten. I give three examples.

The Reformers rejected praying to the God-saved saints, and à fortiori praying for those purgatorial dead who are not yet in the "cloud of witnesses." The initial council discussions on the person and role of Mary and Marian pieties illuminated some Observers and soured others. One Pater claimed that Marian doctrine gave rise to the ecumenical movement, which gained strength after each infallible papal definition — the Immaculate Conception and the Assumption. An unnamed Lutheran Observer remarked to John Moorman: "These are the darkest days of the Council. I never felt so far from Rome as I do now." The somewhat acrimonious council debate over a separate document on Mary or her inclusion in *De Ecclesia*, caused Congregationist Elmer Arndt to ask: "Is Mary dividing the Council into two?"

A second example which touched Protestant nerves — *the piety over relics*, shared by the Orthodox and Catholics. At the second session, Paul VI (September 23) processed in aula the supposed head of St. Andrew for veneration by the *Patres*. The relic had been delivered to Pius II (1458-1464) for safe-keeping by the brother of the last christian emperor of Constantinople who had fled Patras in Peloponnesian Greece when Muslim troops

were about to conquer the city.

Cardinal Bea led a delegation to return the relic to the local Orthodox church of Patras. The Orthodox well understood and welcomed this generous papal gesture of restitution. "The whole Orthodox world rejoices," wrote Ecumenical Patriarch Athenagoras to Paul VI. But Protestant reactions ranged from bewilderment to disgust. Observer Douglas Horton wondered over the reaction of the moderator of the International Congregational Council, if the pope were to send him the apostle's head as a gift. Another reaction: "Now Rome has one less relic to explain, and Orthodoxy one more."

Cardinal Bea returned from Patras the day before Paul VI's first audience with the Observers (September 29); in Bea's short discourse of four paragraphs, one was on the Patras event. The Orthodox beamed grateful approval. The Protestant faces were tactfully blank.

A last example of dismay was before the end of the fourth session. On December 6 Paul VI proclaimed, in *Magnificus Eventus*, an extraordinary jubilee after the Council, and it offers a series of partial and plenary indulgences. The list of special faculties for confessors uses the terms *heretics* and *schismatics* – never found in the council documents, and groups them together with atheists, apostates and Freemasons.

Lutheran Observer Wolfgang Dietzfelbinger told us of the Evangelicals' dismay that the discussions on indulgences and their background, which Martin Luther wanted to bring about, had still not taken place in the Church of Rome. "This intolerable discrepancy," he observed, "might with the greatest forbearance be interpreted as a thoughtless application of former jubilee regulations, but at the same time it goes to show how large the spheres are that are still closed to the ecumenical spirit."

Another lesson in ecumenism I learned is taking to heart the others' personal hurts and pains. No better example than that of *mixed marriages* of Catholic and Protestant spouses. Observers personally knew of several such couples; most had to pastor to their needs, and their consciences. A few told me that members of their own family – sister or brother, son or daughter, had married Catholics, either according to our then canon law regarding the witnessing minister, the ceremony and the raising of children, or all too often contrary to that law.

Almost all Observers, in recognition of their diversity in representation, already at the first session resisted the suggestion of Lukas Vischer and Lutheran Edmund Schlink for "common non-Catholic Christian statements" on the schemata, in the style of WCC consensus declarations. Many made an exception at the fourth session, after the council debate on *De Matrimonii sacramento*. A section dealt with mixed marriages. The *Patres* were divided over its recommendations for new legislation, either lauding them or considering them too strict or too lenient. They did not vote on the draft, but instead voted that because of pastoral urgency, they preferred that the pope receive their diverse comments and eventually promulgate new laws and guidelines.

Shortly after this vote, in a private audience with Pope Paul, Max Boegner – like Cardinal Tisserant, a member of the French Academy – raised the pastoral concern of the Observers to mixed

marriages. The pope urged that they convey to himself their written concerns. 23 Observers signed a joint-statement "on mixed marriages between baptized Christians" (September 21, 1965). Bishop Willebrands conveyed the document directly to the pope.

• •

Not to be regarded as marginal were the Eucharistic liturgies during the Council; the prayers of the Observers with Paul VI at the three private audiences; and above all, the common prayer service "for the promotion of unity among Christians" in the Basilica San Paolo four days before Vatican II concluded.

The grand Eucharists at the opening and closing of the four periods did not favorably impress the Protestants; for them, "more *spectacula* than participatory prayer." More to their edification was the Liturgy which began each closed working session: the respectful enthronement of the Holy Bible and usually quiet Eucharists, in different rites. In their own ways, they could participate, and not only with liturgical curiosity.

The Observers' first private audience with Paul VI (October 17, 1963) was in his private study – in his words, "a setting of symbolic value, that our desire to welcome you not only on the threshold of our house, but in the very intimacy of our home." The pope invited all to pray together the Lord's prayer, each in his own language. The third session audience (September 29, 1964) was in the Sistine Chapel, where together they sang *Gloria in Excelsis Deo*, amidst Michelangelo's splendor of salvation history in art.

Participating in the prayer service at San Paolo, the late afternoon of December 4, 1965, were at least 1500 laity and clergy, over 300 *Patres* and about 200 periti. Before the Altar of Confession, the pope sat on an unelevated chair; in a semi-circle around him: cardinals (*abito nero*) to his right, the Observers to his left.

Between hymns and prayers, reading the biblical texts were Methodist Albert Outler, SPCU consultor Pierre Michalon, successor to Paul Couturier; and Maximos Aghiorgoussis, the young pastor of the Greek Orthodox church in Rome, on Via Sardegna (today the Metropolitan of Philadelphia, USA). Old Catholic Johannes Maan led the litany for unity. After the pope's sermon, the Magnificat was sung, the invocation given, and then Paul VI, Observers and Guests, Cardinal Bea, SPCU staff, members and consultors, the entire congregation sang together

Now Thank We all Our God, Nun Danken wir alle Gott — Lutheran Martin Rinkhart's hymn so popular among Protestants that only a few needed to glance at the printed lyrics. On several faces I noticed quiet tears.

Oscar Cullmann regarded this pope's initiative "one of the most important ecumenical acts of the Council. Certainly it will bear fruit which will be much more meaningful for the ecumenical cause than much theological dispute."

#### **Conclusions**

That was 37 years ago. Shortly we too in this room, for four years a shared Vatican II Observatory, will celebrate a common prayer service during this Unity Octave. It will be my first in Rome since this same week in January 1970, when I was preparing to leave behind ten years of full-time SPCU curial ministry. I am privileged also to hear Waldensian Paolo Ricca break the word of God. He was registered as a journalist at Vatican II. Residing at the Collegio Valdese on the Piazza Cavour during the council sessions and sharing his insights with the faculty and students was Oscar Cullmann, with his sister Louise. Cullmann had been young Paolo Ricca's teacher at the University of Basle.

I conclude, keeping in mind the 17<sup>th</sup> century English poet John Denham: "We may our ends by our beginning know."

In his sermon at St. Paul's, Paul VI became his best self when he directly addressed the Observers: "We are about to separate. The Council is ending... Each of you is about to take the road of return to your own home, and we shall be alone once more. Allow me to confide in you this intimate impression: your departure produces a solitude around us unknown to us before the Council, and which now saddens us. We should like to see you with us always."

And so they did leave Rome – Observers and Guests, SPCU members and consultors, most bishops and periti and journalists; over the years I would meet several of them in a variety of ecumenical forums. They departed, but also leaving in solitude us of Cardinal Bea's staff who remained at our Via dell'Erba offices, breathing the Vatican II spirit and graced with 16 promulgated documents. We live also under the judgement of God's future. The day after the Council closing, the overarching image, I recall, was from P.G. Wodehouse: once you climb on a tiger's back, you should carefully consider your next moves.



\_Centro Conferences

# Mass Without the Consecration? The Historic Agreement on the Eucharist Between the Catholic Church and the Assyrian Church of the East Promulgated 26 October 2001<sup>1</sup>

Robert F. Taft, SJ Professor Emeritus of Oriental Liturgy, Pontifical Oriental Institute, Rome

Fifth Annual Conference in Honor of Father Paul Wattson and Mother Lurana White

(Conference held at the Centro Pro Unione, Thursday, 20 March 2003)

My deliberately provocative title, "Mass Without the Consecration?," I owe to a high-ranking Catholic prelate who, upon hearing of the epoch-making decree of the Holy See recognizing the validity of the eucharistic sacrifice celebrated according to the original redaction of the Anaphora of Addai and Mari—i.e., without the Words of Institution—exclaimed in perplexity: "But how can there be Mass without the consecration?" The answer, of course, is that there cannot be. But that does not solve the problem; it just shifts the question to "What, then, is the consecration, if not the traditional Institution Narrative which all three Synoptic Gospels<sup>2</sup> and 1 Cor 11:23-26 attribute to Jesus?"

#### Abbreviations used in the notes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Annual 2002 Paul Wattson-Lurana White Lecture at the Centro Pro Unione, Rome, originally scheduled for December 12, 2002, but postponed until March 20, 2003, because of illness. I am indebted to Prof. Dr. Gabriele Winkler of Tübingen for reading a draft of this paper and suggesting numerous suggestions and corrections.

BELS 19 = B.D. SPINKS, ed., The Sacrifice of Praise. Studies on the Themes of Thanksgiving and Redemption in the Central Prayers of the Eucharistic and Baptismal Liturgies. Bibliotheca Ephemerides liturgicae, Subsidia, 19 (Rome: C.L.V.—Edizioni liturgiche, 1981).

CPG = M. GEERARD, F. GLORIE, eds., Clavis Patrum Graecorum, 5 vols. & M. GEERARD, J. NORET, eds., Supplementum, (Corpus Christianorum, Turnhout: Brepols, 1974-1998).

CPL = Clavis Patrum Latinorum, E. DEKKERS, E. GAAR, eds. (Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, Steenbrugge: Abbatia Santi Petri, 1995).

CSEL = Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum.

DOL = INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON ENGLISH IN THE LITURGY, Documents on the Liturgy 1963-1979.

Conciliar, Papal and Curial Texts (Collegeville: Liturgical Press, 1982).

Dz = H. DENZINGER, A. SCHÖNMETZER, Enchiridion symbolorum definitionum et declarationum de rebus fidei et morum, 33<sup>rd</sup> ed. (Freiburg/B.: Herder, 1965).

EDIL 1 = R. KACZYNSKI, ed., Enchiridion documentorum instaurationis liturgicae, Bd. I; 1963-1973 (Turin/Rome: Marietti/C.L.V.—Edizioni liturgiche, 1976).

JTS = The Journal of Theological Studies.

OCA = Orientalia Christiana Analecta.

OCP = Orientalia Christiana Periodica.

OKS = Ostkirchliche Studien.

PE = A. HÄNGGI, I. PAHL, Prex eucharistica, vol. I: Textus e variis liturgiis antiquioribus selecti, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. by A. GERHARDS and H. BRAKMANN, eds., Spicilegium Friburgense, 12 (Freiburg, Switzerland: Éditions Universitaires, 1998).

SC = Sources chrétiennes.

 $SL = Studia\ liturgica.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mt 26:26-29; Mk 14:22-25; Lk 22:19-21. The Johannine tradition paraphrases it in Jn 6:51.

#### The 26 October 2001 Agreement

One of the basic tasks of the Catholic theologian is to provide the theological underpinnings to explain and justify authentic decisions of the Supreme Magisterium. That is my aim here. For the historic agreement on the eucharist between the Catholic Church and the Assyrian Church of the East is surely one such authentic decision, approved by the Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity, the Congregation for the Oriental Churches, the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, and Pope John Paul II himself. This decision tells Catholics who fulfill the stated conditions and receive Holy Communion at an Assyrian eucharist using the Anaphora of Addai and Mari, that they are receiving the one true Body and Blood of Christ, as at a Catholic eucharist.

Let us look at what this audacious agreement says, how it came about, and what made its approval possible. The text, entitled "Guidelines for Admission to the Eucharist Between the Chaldean Church and the Assyrian Church of the East," was promulgated on October 26, 2001 but bears the date of its approval, July 20, 2001. I consider this the most remarkable Catholic magisterial document since Vatican II. The purpose of this mutual agreement is pastoral: to ensure that the faithful of two Sister Churches that spring from the same ancient apostolic tradition not be deprived of the Bread of Life through the unavailability of a minister of their own Church. But pastoral in the context of two Sister Churches means also common, i.e., mutual: what kind of an agreement can be called an agreement if it is one-sided?

### The Problem

The Catholic side, however, was faced with a problem that could only be resolved by the Supreme Magisterium: in the light of Catholic teaching on the importance of the Words of Institution in the eucharistic consecration, how can the Catholic Church authorize its faithful to receive Holy Communion at a liturgy lacking these central words? The problem, of course, comes not just from the fact that Addai and Mari does not have these words. If Addai and Mari had been written yesterday, Rome would have said, "Let's use a traditional text containing the Words of Jesus." But that is the precise point: *Addai and Mari is traditional*. Scholars are unanimous that it is one of the most ancient anaphoras extant, a prayer believed to have been in continuous use in the age-old East-Syrian Christendom of Mesopotamia from time immemorial. As such, it merited the respect Rome has always had for Tradition with a capital "T."

With that context in mind, the Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity subjected the question to the study of experts. A preparatory document dated May 23, 1998, entitled "Pastoral Disposition for Eucharistic Hospitality between the Assyrian Church and the Catholic Church," was prepared, proposing that the Catholic Church recognize the validity of the Anaphora of Addai and Mari and giving the reasons why. This extraordinarily well-formulated document was then circulated among Catholic scholars deemed expert in the field. It was sent to twenty-six, I was told, an unusually large number. This was only

prudent, considering the enormous significance and audacity of what was being proposed: a decision that would, in effect, overturn the centuries old *clichés* of Catholic manual theology concerning the eucharistic consecration. I received my copy of the working paper from the Congregation for the Oriental Churches, of which I am a consultor for liturgy, accompanied by a letter of May 28, 1998, signed by the then Prefect, His Eminence Achille Cardinal Silvestrini, and Subsecretary Msgr. Claudio Gugerotti.

The document discussed the pastoral and ecumenical context, as well as what it calls the *dogmatic question* concerning the validity of Addai and Mari, a question, the document reveals, that in three letters from 1994-1997, the Vatican Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith had repeatedly insisted needed further investigation. This dogmatic question is the focus of my interest here.

The document takes a forthright and courageous stand in favor of recognizing the validity of Addai and Mari, arguing, *inter alia*, from the apostolicity of the East-Syrian tradition and from Addai and Mari itself, placing its lack of an Institution Narrative in the context of the history of the eucharistic prayer, as well as in relation to the Assyrian eucharistic tradition concerning the Institution Narrative as reflected in the other two East-Syrian anaphoras which do have the Institution.

The argumentation, fully *au courant* theologically and liturgically, can be summed up as follows:

- The Catholic Magisterium teaches that the traditional practices of our Eastern Sister Churches are worthy of all veneration and respect.
- 2. Scholars are unanimous that Addai and Mari is one of the most ancient extant anaphoras still in use.
- 3. The consensus of the latest scholarship is that Addai and Mari in its original form never had the Institution Narrative. Contrary to earlier opinion, this is not a *hapax:* there are several other early eucharistic prayers that have no Words of Institution.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. Though Addai and Mari may lack the Institution *ad litteram*, it contains it virtually, in explicit, if oblique, references to the eucharistic Institution, to the Last Supper, to the Body and Blood and sacrifice of Christ, and to the oblation of the Church, thereby clearly demonstrating the intention of repeating what Jesus did, in obedience to His command: "Do this in memory of me."
- 5. This clear intention to express the links joining the Last Supper, the eucharistic Institution, the sacrifice of the Cross, and the oblation of the Church, is confirmed by the other Assyrian anaphoras, by all the East-Syrian liturgical commentators, as well as by the peculiar Assyrian tradition of the *malka* or Holy Leaven added to the eucharistic loaves as a sign of historical continuity with the Last Supper.

The final document sums up the doctrinal decision as follows: In the first place, the Anaphora of Addai and Mari is one of the most ancient Anaphoras, dating back to the time of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See below at notes 10-20.

the very early Church; it was composed and used with the clear intention of celebrating the Eucharist in full continuity with the Last Supper and according to the intention of the Church; its validity was never officially contested, neither in the Christian East nor in the Christian West.

Secondly, the Catholic Church recognises the Assyrian Church of the East as a true particular Church, built upon orthodox faith and apostolic succession. The Assyrian Church of the East has also preserved full Eucharistic faith in the presence of our Lord under the species of bread and wine and in the sacrificial character of the Eucharist. In the Assyrian Church of the East, though not in full communion with the Catholic Church, are thus to be found "true sacraments, and above all, by apostolic succession, the priesthood and the Eucharist" (Vatican II, Decree on Ecumenism, Unitatis redintegratio §15).

Finally, the words of Eucharistic Institution are indeed present in the Anaphora of Addai and Mari, not in a coherent narrative way and *ad litteram*, but rather in a dispersed euchological way, that is, integrated in successive prayers of thanksgiving, praise and intercession.

These three paragraphs reflect the progress in Catholic liturgical scholarship and ecumenical thinking that provided the historical and theological basis for such an agreement.

#### **Ecumenical Scholarship**

Let us begin with ecumenical scholarship.<sup>4</sup> All scholarship worthy of the name is historico-critical, objective, fair, and representatively comprehensive. But *ecumenical* scholarship is not content with these purely natural virtues of honesty and fairness that one should be able to expect from any true scholar. Ecumenical scholarship takes things a long step further. I consider ecumenical scholarship a new and specifically Christian way of studying Christian tradition in order to reconcile and unite, rather than to confute and dominate. Its deliberate intention is to emphasize the common tradition underlying differences which, though real, may be the accidental product of history, culture, language, rather than essential differences in the doctrine of the apostolic faith. Of course to remain scholarly, this effort must be carried out realistically, without in any way glossing over real

differences. But even in recognizing differences, ecumenical scholarship seeks to describe the beliefs, traditions, and usages of other confessions in ways their own objective spokespersons would recognize as reliable and fair.

So ecumenical scholarship seeks not confrontation but agreement and understanding. It strives to enter into the other's point of view, to understand it insofar as possible with sympathy and agreement. It is a contest in reverse, a contest of love, one in which the parties seek to understand and justify not their own point of view, but that of their interlocutor. Such an effort and method, far from being baseless romanticism, is rooted in generally accepted evangelical and Catholic theological principles:

- 1. The theological foundation for this method is our faith that the Holy Spirit is with God's Church, protecting the integrity of its witness, above all in the centuries of its undivided unity. Since some of the issues that divide us go right back to those centuries, one must ineluctably conclude that these differences do not affect the substance of the apostolic faith. For if they did, then contrary to Jesus' promise (Mt 16:18), the "gates of hell" would indeed have prevailed against the Church.
- 2. Secondly, the Catholic Church recognizes the Eastern Churches to be the historic apostolic Christianity of the East, and Sister Churches of the Catholic Church. Consequently, no view of Christian tradition can be considered anything but partial that does not take full account of the age-old, traditional teaching of these Sister Churches. Any theology must be measured not only against the common tradition of the undivided Church, but also against the ongoing witness of the Spirit-guided apostolic christendom of the East. That does not mean that East or West has never been wrong. It does mean that neither can be ignored.
- 3. An authentic magisterium cannot contradict itself. Therefore, without denying the legitimate development of doctrine, in the case of apparently conflicting traditions of East and West, preferential consideration must be given to the witness of the undivided Church. This is especially true with respect to later polemics resulting from unilateral departures from or developments out of the common tradition during the period of divided christendom.
- 4. Those who have unilaterally modified a commonly accepted tradition of the undivided Church bear the principle responsibility for any divisions caused thereby. So it is incumbant first of all on them to seek an acceptable solution to that problem. This is especially true when those developments, albeit legitimate, may be perceived by others as a narrowing of the tradition, or have been forged in the crucible of polemics, never a reliable pedagogue.
- 5. Within a single Church, any legitimate view of its own particular tradition must encompass the complete spectrum of its witnesses throughout the whole continuum of its history, and not just its most recent or currently popular expression.
- Finally, doctrinal formulations produced in the heat of polemics must be construed narrowly, within the strict compass of the errors they were meant to confute. In 1551

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Here and elsewhere below in this paper I resume some ideas expressed earlier in R.F. TAFT, "Ecumenical Scholarship and the Catholic-Orthodox Epiclesis Dispute," *OKS* 45 (1996) 201-226, here 202-204; *id.*, "Understanding the Byzantine Anaphoral Oblation," in N. MITCHELL, J. BALDOVIN, eds., *Rule of Prayer, Rule of Faith. Essays in Honor of Aidan Kavanagh, O.S.B.*, A Pueblo Book (Collegeville: The Liturgical Press, 1996) 32-55; *id.*, "The Epiclesis Question in the Light of the Orthodox and Catholic Lex orandi Traditions," in B. NASSIF, ed., *New Perspectives on Historical Theology. Essays in Memory of John Meyendorff* (Grand Rapids/Cambridge: William B. Eerdmans, 1996) 210-237. For an essay on the "ecumenical method" *ante factum* as well as *ante vocabulum*, see C. LIALINE, "De la méthode irénique," *Irénikon* 15 (1938) 1-28, 131-153, 236-255, 450-459.

when Session 13, chapter 3-4 and canon 4 of the Council of Trent (1545-1563) defined that "immediately after the consecration (*statim post consecrationem*)," and "by the consecration (*per consecrationem*)," and "once the consecration is accomplished (*peracta consecratione*)," the bread and wine become the Body and Blood of Christ (Dz §§1640, 1642, 1654), it was combating those who denied that transformation, not making a statement about its "moment" or "formula."

If we bear all these principles in mind, it should be immediately obvious that the Catholic Church could not but seek a positive solution to the perceived problem of the validity of Addai and Mari. From an historical and ecumenical point of view, on what legitimate theological and ecclesiological basis could Rome argue that an Apostolic Church whose Urancient principal anaphora had been in continuous use since time immemorial without ever being condemned by anyone, not by any Father of the Church, nor by any local or provincial synod, nor by Ecumenical Council nor catholicos nor patriarch nor pope—on what basis would one dare to infer, even implicitly, that such an ancient Apostolic Church did not and had never had a valid eucharistic sacrifice? This is not mere rhetoric—it is ecclesiology: the implications of such a negative verdict would be staggering.

#### A Missing Institution Narrative?

In addition to the ecumenical principles just enumerated, the elements which rendered such a positive solution feasible result from the consensus of the best in contemporary Catholic scholarship on the eucharist and its theology. Earlier Catholic scholarship on Addai and Mari tended to argue *a priori* that since there could be no eucharist without the Words of Institution, the original text of Addai and Mari must perforce have once had those words. The prominent 17th century the French Catholic scholar of eastern liturgies, Eusèbe Renaudot (1613-1679), wrote that an anaphora without the Words of institution was "...totally unheard of in antiquity and contrary to the discipline...of all Churches." In such a climate of opinion, scholarly research and debate concerned just where these Words of Institution must have been, and how they got removed.

But already half a century ago in Catholic scholarship, rumblings began to be heard against such arguments, which Alphonse Res. S.J. (1896-1983) labeled an "apriorisme" and "insuffisantes." Contemporary scholarship also completely rejects such an approach, and has no patience with theories based on suppositions of what must or must not have been. Today's scholar starts with what is, and attempts to explain it—not explain

it away. So scholarly opinion tends to respect a text as it is, and presumes that to be its pristine form until the contrary is proven. This prejudice in favor of the text is reinforced, in the case of Addai and Mari, by the unanimity of the manuscript tradition: not a single witness to this anaphora contains the Institution Account. Had the Institution Narrative once been part of the text only to be excised at a later date, it is unlikely that there would be not one single manuscript witness to the earlier redaction, nor any other reminiscence of the matter in the literature of the tradition. That silence would hardly have been possible in the light of the importance the classical East-Syrian liturgical commentators give to the Institution Narrative in their eucharistic theology.

Furthermore, although theories on the origins and evolution of the pristine anaphora remain in flux, one point of growing agreement among representative scholars, Catholic and non, is that the Institution Narrative is a later embolism—i.e., interpolation—into the earliest eucharistic prayers. For *pace* Renaudot's mistaken assertion, not only Addai and Mari but several other early eucharistic prayers do, in fact, lack these words. Those generally listed include: the 1/2nd century *Didache* 9-10<sup>11</sup> and the dependent *Apostolic Constitutions* (ca. 380) VII, 25:1-4; the 2/3rd century apocryphal *Acts of John* 85-86, 109-110 and *Acts of Thomas* 27, 49-50, 133, 158; the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> N.P. TANNER, ed., *Decrees of the Ecumenical Councils*, 2 vols. (London/Washington, DC: Sheed & Ward/Georgetown University Press, 1990) 2: 695-697.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> "...inauditum prorsus antiquitus, & contra omnium Ecclesiarum ... disciplinam": *Liturgiarum orientalium collectio*, 2 vols. (Paris 1716) 2:579; (Frankfurt/London: J. Baer, 1847) 2:573.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> "Le récit de l'institution eucharistique dans l'anaphore chaldéenne et malabare des Apôtres," *OCP* 10 (1944) 216-226, here 220, 225.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For an extensive bibliography of scholarship on Addai and Mari until 1992, see A. GELSTON, *The Eucharistic Prayer of Addai and Mari* (Oxford/NY: Clarendon Press/Oxford University Press, 1992) 126-30, as well as his discussion, 5-28; to which add the more recent collected studies of B.D. SPINKS, *Worship. Prayers from the East* (Washington, DC: The Pastoral Press, 1993), hereafter cited *Prayers from the East*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The relevant texts are cited and commented by S.Y.H. JAMMO, "Gabriel Qatraya et son commentaire sur la liturgie chaldéenne," *OCP* 32 (1966) 39-52; *cf.* B.D. SPINKS, "Addai and Mari and the Institution Narrative: The Tantalising Evidence of Gabriel Qatraya," *Ephemerides liturgicae* 98 (1984) 60-67 = *id.*, *Prayers from the East* 37-45

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Among innumerable modern studies on this issue, in addition to those cited below apropos of Addai and Mari, see, for example, G.J. CUMING, "The Shape of the Anaphora," Studia Patristica 20 (1989) 333-345; G. DIX, The Shape of the Liturgy (London: Dacre Press, 1945) 197-98; J.R.K. FENWICK, Fourth Century Anaphoral Construction Techniques, Grove Liturgical Studies, 45 (Bramcote: Grove Books, 1986); C. GIRAUDO, Eucaristia per la Chiesa. Prospettive teologiche sull'eucaristia a partire dalla "lex orandi", Aloisiana, 22 (Roma/Brescia: Gregorian University Press/Morcelliana, 1989) 345ff; E.J. KILMARTIN, "Sacrificium laudis: Content and Function of Early Eucharistic Prayers," Theological Studies 35 (1974) 268-287, here 277-278, 280; L. LIGIER, "The Origins of the Eucharistic Prayer: From the Last Supper to the Eucharist," SL 9 (1973) 161-185, esp. 179; and, for a contrary opinion, E. YARNOLD, "Anaphoras without Institution Narratives?" Studia Patristica 30 (1997) 395-410.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> SC 248:180= PE 66.

<sup>12</sup> SC 336:52-55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> PE 74-79.

Martyrdom of Polycarp (†167) 14;<sup>14</sup> the 4/5th century Papyrus Strasbourg Gr. 254;<sup>15</sup> the eucharistic prayer on two 7/8th century Coptic Ostraca, British Library Nr. 32 799 and Nr. 33 050;<sup>16</sup> and the Ethiopic Anaphora of the Apostles, as Gabriele Winkler has recently demonstrated.<sup>17</sup> Furthermore, it seems probable that ca. 150, Justin Martyr's eucharistic prayer did not have them either.<sup>18</sup> In addition, Cyrille Vogel lists six eucharistic prayers in the apocrypha without any trace of an Institution Narrative,<sup>19</sup> and at least twenty-one later Syriac anaphoras either lack the Words of Institution completely (8 anaphoras) or partly (4), or give them in a form considered defective (9)—e.g., in indirect discourse.<sup>20</sup>

Already in 1928, Anglican liturgical scholar Edward C. Ratcliff challenged the notion that Addai and Mari once had the Institution Narrative,<sup>21</sup> and later (1950) argued that the *Sanctus* 

was the conclusion to the primitive anaphoras, 22 a possibility raised earlier (1938) by the great German Benedictine orientalist and comparative liturgiologist Hieronymus Engberding, who had proposed that the presanctus of the Urtext behind the Greek Anaphora of St. John Chrysostom and the related Syriac Anaphora of the Apostles was once a complete eucharistic prayer.<sup>23</sup> Other authors like the French Jesuit Louis Ligier, Professor of liturgy at the Pontifical Oriental Institute and Gregorian University in Rome, resumed and developed this idea. In Ligier's hypothesis, the Institution/Anamnesis block in the anaphora would be a later embolism framed by the general thanksgiving and its common concluding acclamation "In all and for all we hymn you, we bless you, we thank you, and we pray to you, Our God."24 The Sanctus, in turn, would be a still later enrichment of this structure.<sup>25</sup> Gabriele Winkler of Tübingen has carried this research further, proposing that the Sanctus was

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> F. HALKIN, *Bibliotheca hagiographica Graeca*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Subsidia hagiographica, 8a (Brussels: Société des Bollandistes, 1957) §1556;
 H. MUSURILLO, ed., *The Acts of the Christian Martyrs*, Oxford Early Christian Texts (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1972) 12-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> PE 116-119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> H. QUECKE, "Das anaphorische Dankgebet auf den koptischen Ostraka, B.M Nr. 32 799 und 33 050 neu herausgegeben," *OCP* 37, 9 (1971) 391-405; *cf.* K. GAMBER, "Das koptische Ostraka London B.M Nr. 32 799 und 33 050 und seine liturgiegeschichtliche Bedeutung," *OKS* 21 (1972) 298-308.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> G. WINKLER, *Das Sanctus. Über den Ursprung und die Anfänge des Sanctus und sein Fortwirken*, *OCA* 267 (Rome: Pontificio Istituto Orientale, 2002) 162-68, 171-72; *cf.* also 85-86, 92-93, 96, 128, 143; *eadem*, "A New Witness to the Missing Institution Narrative," to appear in M.E. JOHNSON, L.E. PHILLIPS, eds., *The Study of Early Liturgy: Essays in Honor of Paul F. Bradshaw* (Portland: The Oregon Catholic Press) in press.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Apology I, 65, 67, PE 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> C. VOGEL, "Anaphores eucharistiques préconstantiniennes. Formes non traditionelles," *Augustinianum* 20 (1980) 401-410.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> A. RAES, "Les paroles de la consécration dans les anaphores syriens," *OCP* 3 (1937) 486-504; C. GIRAUDO, *Eucaristia per la Chiesa* 350-359.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> E.C. RATCLIFF, "The Original Form of the Anaphora of Addai and Mari: A Suggestion," *JTL* 30 (1928) 23-32 = *id.*, *Liturgical Studies*, A.H. COURATIN, D.H. TRIPP, eds., (London: SPCK, 1976) 80-90 (*cf.* also other relevant studies in the same anthology of his works). On Addai and Mari see also S.Y.H. JAMMO, "The Quddasha of the Apostles Addai and Mari and the Narrative of the Eucharistic Institution", in *Syriac Dialogue* (Vienna: Pro Oriente, 1994) 167-181; P. HOFRICHTER, "The Anaphora of Addai and Mari in the Church of the East—Eucharist without Institution Narrative", *ibid.*, 182-191; most recently S.Y.H. JAMMO, "The Anaphora of the Apostles Addai and Mari: A Study of Structure and Historical Background," *OCP* 68 (2002) 5-35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> E.C. RATCLIFF, "The Sanctus and the Pattern of the Early Anaphora," I: The Journal of Ecclesiastical History I (1950) 29-36; II: ibid. 125-134 = id., Liturgical Studies 18-40. Ratcliff repeats his ideas in a letter of Oct. 23, 1961, to A. Couratin, published in "The Thanksgiving: An Essay by Arthur Couratin," ed. by D.H. TRIPP in BELS 19:23-24. On Ratcliff's views, see also B.D. SPINKS, "The Cleansed Leper's Thankoffering before the Lord: Edward Craddock Ratcliff and the Pattern of the Early Anaphora," BELS 19:161-178; id., The Sanctus in the Eucharistic Prayer (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991) 4-7. See also the more recent summary of views and discussion in R.F. TAFT, "The Interpolation of the Sanctus into the Anaphora: When and Where? A Review of the Dossier" Part I, OCP 57 (1991) 281-308; Part II, OCP 58 (1992) 82-121, here I:291-298; id., Liturgy in Byzantium and Beyond, Variorum Collected Studies Series, CS493, (Aldershot/Brookfield: Variorum, 1995) ch. IX; id., Il Sanctus nell'anafora. Un riesame della questione (Rome: Edizioni Orientalia Christiana, 1999); on the latter, see the critical review of G. WINKLER in Oriens Christianus 85 (2001) 283-284; and her seminal new study, Das Sanctus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> H. ENGBERDING, "Die syrische Anaphora der zwölf Apostel und ihre Paralleltexte einander gegenüberstellt und mit neuen Untersuchungen zur Urgeschichte der Chrysostomosliturgie begleitet," *Oriens Christianus* 34 = ser. 3, vol. 12 (1938) 213-247, here 239, 241.

<sup>For the text referred to, see F.E. BRIGHTMAN, Liturgies Eastern and Western (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1896) 88.10-16, 178.18-19, 329.9-10, 438.12-14; G.J. CUMING, The Liturgy of St. Mark, edited from the manuscripts with a commentary, OCA 234 (Rome: Pontificium Institutum Studiorum Orientalium, 1990) 43.9; A. GERHARDS, Die griechische Gregoriosanaphora. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte des Eucharistischen Hochgebets, Liturgiewissenschaftliche Quellen und Forschungen, 65 (Münster: Aschendorff, 1984) 34.193-194; PE 226, 236, 267, 271, 287, 290, 296, 305, 307, 312, 317, 322, 329, 335, 339, 352, 364, 377, 384, 393; cf. J.-M. HANSSENS, Institutiones liturgicae de ritibus orientalibus, vols. II-III (Rome: Università Gregoriana, 1930, 1932) 3:451-452 § 1321.</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> L. LIGIER, "The Origins of the Eucharistic Prayer: From the Last Supper to the Eucharist," *SL* 9 (1973) 161-185, esp. 167, 171ff, 177-180, 183.

present from the beginning in such ancient anaphoras as UrBasil<sup>26</sup> and the Syriac (Addai and Mari) and Ethiopic Anaphoras. Neither of the latter two, however, originally had an Institution Narrative.<sup>27</sup> Finally, present expert opinion on the *Apostolic Tradition* holds that the Institution and Anamnesis/Oblation may have been added to its Anaphora later, not earlier than the 4<sup>th</sup> century.<sup>28</sup> So there is not a single extant pre-Nicene eucharistic prayer that one can prove contained the Words of Institution, and today many scholars maintain that the most primitive, original eucharistic prayers were short, self-contained benedictions without Institution Narrative or Epiclesis, comparable to the *Didache* 10 and the papyrus *Strasbourg* 254.<sup>29</sup>

<sup>26</sup> G. WINKLER, Die Basilius-Anaphora. Kritische Edition der beiden armenischen Rezensionen mit ausführlichem liturgiewissenschaftlichem Kommentar unter Einbezug aller relevanten syrischen und äthiopischen Anaphoren, Anaphorae Orientales, 2 = Anaphorae Armeniacae, 2 (Rome) in preparation.

<sup>27</sup> G. WINKLER, *Das Sanctus* 130-133, 167-168, 171-172; and these articles in press: *eadem*, "Beobachtungen zu den im ante Sanctus angeführten Engeln," *Theologische Quartalschrift* (2003) note 6; *eadem*, "Über die Bedeutung des Sanctus-Benedictus und seine Wurzeln in der Qedušša," *Quaestiones Disputatae* (2003); and, most recently, *eadem*, "A New Witness to the Missing Institution Narrative."

<sup>28</sup> P.F. BRADSHAW, "A Paschal Root to the Anaphora of the Apostolic Tradition? A Response to Enrico Mazza," *Studia Patristica* 35 (2001) 257-265; *id.* and M.E. JOHNSON, L.E. PHILLIPS, *The Apostolic Tradition. A Commentary*, Hermeneia (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2002) 45-48; J.F. BALDOVIN, "The Apostolic Tradition? Of Hippolytus? Of Rome?" The Sir Daniel & Countess Bernardine Murphy Donohue Chair in Eastern Catholic Theology at the Pontifical Oriental Institute, Rome, Annual Lecture, March 13, 2003 (in press *Theological Studies*).

<sup>29</sup> Cf. S.Y.H. JAMMO, "The Anaphora of the Apostles Addai and Mari," 11-18; M. ARRANZ, "L'esegesi dei testi liturgici: un'apertura metodologica per orizzonti nuovi," *Rassegna di teologia* 32 (1991) 86-92, here esp. 89-90; W.H. BATES, "Thanksgiving and Intercession in the Liturgy of St. Mark," BELS 19:112-119; G.J. CUMING, "The Anaphora of St. Mark. A Study in Development," Le Muséon 95 (1982) 115-129 122-123, 128; KILMARTIN, "Sacrificium laudis," 268-287; G. KRETSCHMAR, Studien zur frühchristlichen Trinitätstheologie, Beitrage zur historischen Theologie, 21 (Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr, 1956) 148; E.C. RATCLIFF, "The Original Form of the Anaphora of Addai and Mari: A Suggestion," JTS 30 (1929) 23-32 = id., Liturgical Studies 80-90. 23-32; H. WEGMAN, "Une anaphore incomplète? Les fragments sur Papyrus Strasbourg Gr. 254," in: R. van den BROEK, M.J. VERMASEREN, eds., Studies in Gnosticism and Hellenistic Religions (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1981) 432- 450; id., "Généalogie hypothétique de la prière eucharistique," Questions liturgiques 61 (1980) 263-278. For a summary of research on the origins of the anaphora up to the dates of the respective publications, see T.J. TALLEY, "The Eucharistic Prayer of the Ancient Church according to Recent Research: Results and Reflections," SL 11 (1976) 138-158; G.J. CUMING, "The Early Eucharistic Liturgies in Recent Research," BELS 19:65-69; to which must be added the recent works of C. GIRAUDO, La struttura letteraria della preghiera eucaristica. Saggio sulla genesi letteraria di una forma. Toda All this shows that scholarship on the eucharistic prayer has been rich and intensive for a generation, and even if some remain skeptical of one or another hypothesis or conclusions, <sup>30</sup> there is consensus on at least one point: I know of not one single reputable contemporary scholar on the topic, Catholic or non, who would hold it as certain that the Words of Institution were an integral part of the earliest eucharistic prayers over the gifts. Jesuit Cesare Giraudo, one of the major figures in the area by anyone's criteria, calls it "*una questione aperta*" whether the original eucharist included Jesus' Words.<sup>31</sup> Anthony Gelston, summing up the contemporary consensus, notes

the not inconsiderable evidence that the wording of the Christian Eucharistic prayer remained far from fixed until at least the beginning of the third century. There is no hint of a tradition that the actual content of Jesus' thanksgiving at the Last Supper was remembered, transmitted, and repeated at the celebration of the Eucharist. What was done in remembrance of Jesus was the offering of thanks, but not according to a fixed formula.<sup>32</sup>

## Interpreting the Tradition: *Theologia prima—Theologia* secunda

How, then, are we to interpret liturgical texts? What do our anaphoras mean? They mean what they say. It is axiomatic in contemporary liturgical theology to distinguish between *theologia prima* and *theologia secunda*. *Theologia prima*, or first-level theology, is the faith expressed in the liturgical life of the Church antecedent to speculative questioning of its theoretical implications, prior to its systematization in the dogmatic propositions of *theologia secunda* or systematic reflection on the lived mystery of the Church. Liturgical language, the language of *theologia prima*, is typological, metaphorical, more redolent of Bible and prayer than of school and thesis, more patristic than

veterotestamentaria, b<sup>e</sup>raka giudaica, anafora cristiana, Analecta Biblica, 92 (Rome: Biblical Institute Press, 1981); *id.*, *Eucaristia per la Chiesa*; *id.*, "Le récit de l'institution dans la prière eucharistique at-il des antécédents? Quelques aperçus sur la prière liturgique et la dynamique de son embolisme," *Nouvelle revue théologique* 106 (1984) 513-536; *id.*, "Vers un traité de l'Eucharistie à la fois ancien et nouveau. La théologie de l'Eucharistie à travers l'école de la «*lex orandi*»," *Nouvelle revue théologique* 112 (1990) 870-887.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> E.g., B.D. SPINKS, *The Sanctus in the Eucharistic Prayer* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991) 104, 108; *id.*, "A Complete Anaphora? A Note on Strasbourg Gr. 254," *The Heythrop Journal* 25 (1984) 51-59; J. MAGNE, "L'anaphore nestorienne dite d'Addée et Mari et l'anaphore maronite dite de Pierre III. Étude comparative," *OCP* 53 (1987) 144-145. Most recently, Winkler concurred with Spinks' assessment in his study, *The Sanctus*. See her analysis of the Syriac and Ethiopic evidence in *Das Sanctus*; in her forthcoming study on the Basil Anaphora (note 26 above); and in *eadem*, "A New Witness to the Missing Institution Narrative."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> GIRAUDO, *Eucaristia per la Chiesa* 329. See the whole discussion there, 329-360.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> GELSTON, The Eucharistic Prayer of Addai and Mari 5.

scholastic, more impressionistic than systematic, more suggestive than probative. In a word, it is symbolic and evocative, not philosophical and ontological.

Now although it is perfectly obvious, indeed necessary, that doctrine will acquire theological refinements, especially in the heat of dogmatic controversy, it should be equally obvious that such refinements cannot be read back into texts composed long before the problems arose which led to those precisions. To pounce upon ancient anaphoral texts and exploit them tendentiously in today's theological controversies is an anachronistic procedure devoid of any legitimacy.

If we turn now to the pristine Latin *theologia prima* as expressed in the ancient Roman *Canon Missae*, we find a movement which, far from justifying a hylomorphic scholastic *theologia secunda*, fits better with the pre-scholastic theology of the Latin Fathers. Less smooth and unified in its redactional structure than the Antiochene anaphoral type, the Roman Canon does not first recite the Institution Narrative, then elucidate its meaning. Rather, it imbeds Jesus' words in a series of discrete prayers for the sanctification and acceptance of the oblation (which, theologically, are of course the same thing), Now some of these prayers even before the Words of Institution speak of the species in terms that can only refer to the Body and Blood of Christ as if the gifts were already consecrated; and, conversely, after the Words of Institution speak in a way that could seem to imply the gifts are not yet consecrated.

Only the wooden-headed literalist totally innocent of the proleptic and reflexive nature of liturgical discourse could find anything surprising about this. Such seeming contradictions—and similar apparent contradictions can be found in the Fathers of the Church who comment on the eucharistic prayer—result from the fact that before the Middle Ages no one tried to identify a "moment of consecration" apart from the anaphoral prayer over the gifts in its entirety.

In his *De officiis ecclesiae* I, 15, St. Isidore (ca. 560-†636), bishop of Seville from 600-636, says that the consecration occurs in the canon, which he calls the "sixth prayer" of the "ordo of the mass and prayers by which the sacrifices offered to God are consecrated." From the context it is clear that he is referring to the entire section of the anaphora following the preface that extends from the Sanctus to the Our Father inclusive—the entire text in Appendix I below:

Then [comes] *the sixth prayer* [of the eucharist], from which results the formation of the sacrament as an oblation that is offered to God, sanctified through the Holy Spirit, formed into the body and blood of Christ. The last of these is the prayer by which our Lord instructed his disciples to

pray, saying: "Our Father who art in heaven."34

St. Isidore is usually considered the "last of the Latin Fathers," so right through to the end of the patristic period the view was current in Latin theology, [1] that the eucharistic consecration was the work of the Holy Spirit, [2] and that the prayer which effected it was the canon or anaphora without further specifying one of its component parts as the "form" of the sacrament or the "moment of consecration." St. Fulgentius of Ruspe (ca. 468-†533)<sup>35</sup> and numerous other pre-scholastic Latin authors teach the same doctrine.<sup>36</sup>

Nor is this view substantially different from that of the early medieval Latin commentators. Peter Lombard (ca. 1095-†1160), speaking of the *Supplices* (Roman Canon §6 in Appendix I below), says in his *Sentences* IV, 13: "It is called 'Missa' that the heavenly messenger might come to consecrate the life-giving body, according to the expression of the priest: 'Almighty God, bid that this be borne by the hand of your holy angel to your altar on high...'."<sup>37</sup>

Even more explicitly, shortly after AD 1215, John Teutonicus' comment on the same prayer says: "Bid,' that is: *make*. 'Be borne,' that is: *be transubstantiated*. Or: 'be borne,' that is, be assumed, that is: *be changed*..." The inclusion of this text in the *Glossa ordinaria ad Decretum Gratiani*, shows how common and acceptable such a view must have been. Note, please, that these authoritative medieval Latin commentators are speaking about a consecratory prayer said *after the Words of Institution* in the Roman Canon (Appendix I below, §6). <sup>38</sup>

In modern times no less an authority on the Roman eucharist than the great Joseph A. Jungmann, S.J., sums up the original tradition of the undivided Church as follows: "In general Christian antiquity, even until way into the Middle Ages, manifested no particular interest regarding the determination of the precise moment of the consecration. Often reference was made merely to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> I, 15.1; PL 83:752A (=*CPL* § 11207): "Ordo ...missae et orationum quibus oblata Deo sacrificia consecrantur".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> I, 15.2, PL 83:753AB: "Porro *sexta [oratio]* exhinc succedit conformatio sacramenti, ut oblatio, quae Deo offertur, sanctificata per Spiritum sanctum, Christi corpori ac sanguini conformetur. Harum ultima est oratio, qua Dominus noster discipulos suos orare instituit, dicens: *Pater noster, qui es in coelis*".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Ad Monimum II, 6 & 9-10 (=CPL § 814), PL 65:184-185, 187-188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> J.R. GEISELMANN, Die Abendmahlslehre an der Wende des christlichen Spätantike zum Frühmittelter. Isidor von Sevilla und das Sakrament der Eucharistie (München: M. Hüber, 1933) 198-224; Y. CONGAR, Je crois en l'Esprit Saint, 3 vols. (Paris: Cerf, 1979-1980) 3:320-330.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> PL 192:868: "*Missa* enim dicitur, eo quod coelestis nuntius ad consecrandum vivificum corpus adveniat, juxta dictum sacerdotis: *Omnipotens Deus, jube haec perferri per manus sancti angeli tui in sublime altare tuum*, etc.".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> "Jube, id est: *fac*. Perferri, id est: *transsubstantiari*. Vel: perferri, id est sursum efferri, id est *converti...*" *Decretum de consecratione* 2, 72, in *Glossa ordinaria* (Rome, 1582) 2:1813, cited by S. Salaville, *SC*4bis:322.

the entire Eucharistic prayer."39

Already in the 17th century, the famous Bossuet (1627-1704) raised his voice in favor of a similar sanity. He says:

The intent of liturgies, and, in general, of consecratory prayers, is not to focus our attention on precise moments, but to have us attend to the action in its entirety and to its complete effect... It is to render more vivid what is being done that the Church speaks at each moment as though it were accomplishing the entire action then and there, without asking whether the action has already been accomplished or is perhaps still to be accomplished.<sup>40</sup>

Dom Charles Chardon, O.S.B., in his *Histoire des sacrements* (Paris 1745), expressed a similarly balanced view:

Despite this diversity [over the form or moment of consecration] there was formerly no dispute over this subject. The Greeks and Latins were convinced that the species [of bread and wine] were changed into the body and blood of our Savior in virtue of the words of the Canon of the Mass, without examining the precise moment at which this change occurred, nor just which of the words [of the anaphora] effected it as over against other [words]. One side said the change was effected by the prayer and invocation of the priest; the others said that it was the result of the words of Our Lord when he instituted this August sacrament. And they in no way believed that these different ways of expressing themselves were opposed to each other (and indeed they are not, as would be easy to show). But we shall leave that to the theologians to treat...<sup>41</sup>

#### Later Scholasticism vs. the Earlier Tradition

The later western narrowing of the perspective, ultimately doctrinalized in the scholastic hylomorphic materia/forma theory of the eucharistic consecration, contrasts sharply with the *theologia prima* of the Roman Canon and its earlier Latin interpreters, which views, in turn, were fully consonant with traditional eastern doctrine. The new Latin theology was sanctioned doctrinally by Benedict XII's (1334-1342) *Libellus* 

<sup>39</sup> J.A. JUNGMANN, *The Mass of the Roman Rite. Missarum sollemnia*, 2 vols. (New York: Benzinger Brothers, 1951, 1955) 2:203-204 note 9. He goes on to say, "It is Florus Diaconus [of Lyons, †860], *De actione miss.*, c. 60 (PL 119:52f.), in the Carolingian period, who with particular stress brought out the significance of the words of consecration; *ille in suis sacerdotibus quotidie loquitur*."

"Cum dudum" ad Armenos 66 in AD 1341 (Dz §1017), and by the AD 1439 Decretum pro Armeniis (Dz §1321, cf. §1017) and the AD 1442 Decretum pro Iacobitis (Dz §1352) in the aftermath of the Council of Florence.<sup>42</sup>

Even more restrictive was the teaching formulated by Pius VII (1800-1823) in his Brief *Adorabile Eucharistiae* of May 9, 1822 (Dz §2718), addressed to the Melkite Catholic patriarch and hierarchy, condemning

...that new opinion proposed by schismatic men which teaches that the form by which this lifegiving...sacrament is accomplished consists not in the words of Jesus Christ alone which both Latin and Greek priests use in the consecration, but that for the perfect and complete consecration, there should be added that formula of prayers which among us [Latins] precede the above-mentioned words [of Jesus], but in your [Byzantine] liturgy follow them...<sup>43</sup>

I will leave to the dogmaticians what "theological note" they wish to assign this exclusively Latin teaching, construed in its narrowest popular understanding that the *Verba Domini*, they alone, and nothing else, are the so-called "words of consecration" of the mass. Suffice it to say that what His Holiness is pleased to call a "new opinion" was taught explicitly from the 4th century by saints and Fathers of the undivided Church like St. Cyril/John II of Jerusalem (*post* 380),<sup>44</sup> St. John Chrysostom (ca. 340/50-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> J.-B. BOSSUET, Explication de quelques difficultés sur les prières de la messe à un nouveau catholique, F. LACHAT, ed., Œuvres 17 (Paris: L. Vivès, 1864) 74-75, trans. in R. CABIÉ, The Eucharist = A.G. MARTIMORT, ed., The Church at Prayer, vol. 2 (new edition, Collegeville: The Liturgical Press, 1986) 147.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> I translate it from the re-edition of J.-P. MIGNE, *Theologiae cursus completus*, 28 vols. (Paris 1839-1843) 20:249.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> N.P. TANNER, *Decrees of the Ecumenical Councils* 1:546-7, 581. On the council and its aftermath, see J. GILL, *The Council of Florence* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1959) 116, 265-267, 272-278, 280-281, 284-286, 292.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> "... novam illam opinionem a schismaticis hominibus propugnatam qua docetur formarn, qua vivificum hoc ... sacramenturn perficitur, non in solis lesu Christi verbis consistere, quibus sacerdotes tam Latini quam Graeci in consecratione utuntur, sed ad perfectam consurnmatamque consecrationern addi oportere earn precurn formulam, quae memorata verba apud Nos praecurrit, in vestra autem liturgia subsequitur" (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Mystagogic Catechesis 5, 7, cf. 1, 7; 3, 3, SC 126bis:94, 124, 154; regarding date and authorship, 177-187. See also THEODORE OF MOPSUESTIA, Homily 16, 12: R. TONNEAU, R. DEVREESSE, Les homélies catéchétiques de Théodore de Mopsueste, Studi e testi, 145 (Vatican: Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, 1949) 553.

†407),<sup>45</sup> and St. John Damascene (ca. 650/75-†753/4)<sup>46</sup> in the East, along with St. Isidore of Seville (ca. 560-†636) in the West.<sup>47</sup> Since all these sainted gentlemen are venerated in the Liturgical Calendar of the Catholic Church, to be consistent we must apply here the old adage "let the rule of prayer determine the rule of faith (*lex orandi legem statuat credendi*)."

As for the Decretum pro Armeniis, it certainly does not recommend itself by the fact that it also proclaims the traditio instrumentorum to be the sacramental matter of holy orders (Dz §1326), a teaching not only no longer held today (Dz §§3858-3860), but one that even in its own day was flatly false, contradicting the clear facts of liturgical history. More important, it also departed from and contradicted age-old Catholic teaching, which had never impugned the validity of ordination rites of Churches with no *traditio instrumentorum* like that of the Latins. So one must either reject that decree, or, if your theory of magisterium obliges you to squirm to salvage it by arguing that it envisaged only the medieval Latin ordination rite in which the traditio had assumed a significant place, then intellectual honesty would require saying the same for its teaching on the Words of Institution. For the decree assigns them an exclusive importance they had assumed only in the Latin West. More significant for me is the fact that the decree sanctions a culturally and temporally conditioned medieval scholastic theology of the sacraments that can in no wise claim to be traditional to the teaching of the undivided Church. Here we are talking not about magisterial teaching but the undeniable facts of history available to anyone able to read Latin and Greek.

#### The Entire Eucharistic Prayer as Formula of Consecration

So these doctrinal statements of the past must be understood not only in their historical context, but also in the light of contemporary Catholic teaching, which of late has come to take a considerably broader view of what comprises the eucharistic consecration. A steady stream of Catholic theologians have moved toward the view that the formula of eucharistic consecration comprises the prayer over the gifts in its entirety. As I do not have space to list these theologians here—those interested

can find their teaching in Vincentian Father John H. McKenna's thorough review of the question.<sup>49</sup>

The most recent study by Dom Burkhard Neunheuser, O.S.B., monk of Maria Laach and professor emeritus of the Pontifical Liturgical Institute Sant'Anselmo in Rome, furnishes not only the most explicit and emphatic justification of this return to the original tradition of the undivided Church, but does so with full respect for traditional Catholic teaching on the centrality of the Words of Institution within the anaphoral context.<sup>50</sup> As Neunheuser is careful to point out, this renewal is already found reflected in official Catholic texts in the aftermath of Vatican II. The November 18, 1969 Institutio Generalis Missalis Romani §54, concerning the reformed Roman Missal, says of the eucharistic prayer: "Now begins the summit and center of the whole celebration, namely the Eucharistic Prayer itself, that is, the praver of thanksgiving and sanctification..."51 "Sanctification" of course means in this context "eucharistic consecration." And although Paul VI continues to use the outdated scholastic terminology of matter and form of the sacrament in his June 18, 1968 Apostolic Constitution Pontificalis Romani recognitio, he does so in a broad, non-scholastic context: the "matter" of the sacrament is the imposition of hands,52 "the form consists in the words of the very prayer of consecration,"53 and not some isolated formula within it. This broader vision is also reflected in how the new Catechism of the Catholic Church refers to the anaphora: "with the eucharistic prayer, the prayer, namely, of thanksgiving and consecration, we come to the heart and culmination of the celebration."54

This renewal found ecumenical agreement in Part I no. 6 of the July 1982 Munich Statement of the Orthodox-Catholic Joint Commission for Theological Dialogue: "...the eucharistic mystery is accomplished in the prayer which joins together the words by which the word made flesh instituted the sacrament and the epiclesis in which the church, moved by faith, entreats the Father,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> See below at notes 65-67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Expositio fidei 86:163-166, B. KOTTER, ed., Die Schriften des Johannes von Damaskos, 5 vols., Patristische Texte und Studien 7, 12, 17, 22, 29 (Berlin/New York: W. de Gruyter, 1969-1988) 2:197 = De fide orthodoxa IV, 13, PG 94:1152C-53B; English translation from Saint John of Damascus, Writings, trans. by F. H. CHASE, Jr. "The Fathers of the Church, 37 (Washington, DC: The Catholic University Press, 1981) 360-361. See also the texts cited below at notes 56-57, and the excellent study of N. ARMITAGE, "The Eucharistic Theology of the Exact Exposition of the Orthodox Faith (De Fide Orthodoxa) of St. John Damascene," OKS 44 (1995) 292-308; R. TAFT, "Ecumenical Scholarship and the Catholic-Orthodox Epiclesis Dispute," 210; id., "Understanding the Byzantine Anaphoral Oblation," 47-55; id., "The Epiclesis Question in the Light of the Orthodox and Catholic Lex orandi Traditions," 225-237.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> See above at notes 33-34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See esp. Y. CONGAR, Je crois en l'Esprit Saint 3:309ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> J.H. McKENNA, *Eucharist and Holy Spirit. The Eucharistic Epiclesis in 20<sup>th</sup> Century Theology*, Alcuin Club Collections, 57 (Great Wakering: Mayhew-McCrimmon, 1975); also *id.*, "Eucharistic Prayer: Epiclesis," in A. HEINZ, H. RENNINGS, eds., *Gratias agamus. Studien zum eucharistischen Hochgebet. Für Balthasar Fischer*, Pastoralliturgische Reihe in Verbindung mit der Zeitschrift "Gottesdienst" (Freiburg/Basel/Vienna: Herder, 1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> B. NEUNHEUSER, "Das Eucharistische Hochgebet als Konsekrationsgebet," in A. HEINZ, H. RENNINGS, *Gratias agamus* 315-326

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> "Prex eucharistica. Nunc centrum et culmen totius celebrationis habet, ipsa nempe Prex eucharistica, *prex scilicet gratiarum actionis et sanctificationis*": *EDIL* § 1449 (emphasis added), *cf.* § 1450; *DOL* § 1444, *cf.* § 1445; B. NEUNHEUSER 3 2 1.

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  EDIL § 1084-1085 = DOL § 2608-2609.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> EDIL §§ 1085-1087 = DOL §§ 2609-2611: "forma constat verbis eiusdem precationis consecratoriae".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Catechismus Catholicae Ecclesiae, Typica Latina editio (Vatican: Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 1997) §1352, emphasis added.

through the Son, to send the Spirit..."<sup>55</sup> It is also reflected in what the new *Catechism of the Catholic Church* has to say about the eucharistic consecration: "In the Institution Narrative, by the words and action of Christ and the power of the Holy Spirit, His Body and Blood are made sacramentally present under the species of bread and wine..."<sup>56</sup>

This view that the prayer of consecration is the entire core of the anaphora, not just some segment of it set apart as an isolated "formula," is, I think, more faithful to the earlier common tradition of the undivided Church. Several patristic texts lend themselves to this interpretation, using the term "epiclesis" for the whole prayer over the gifts. Among the earliest 2<sup>nd</sup> century witnesses to the eucharist in the period following the New Testament, Justin's, Apology I, 65-67, written ca. AD 150, testifies to a prayer over the gifts. After that prayer, the gifts were no longer "ordinary food or ordinary drink but...flesh and blood of that same Jesus who was made flesh" (I, 66). 57 From the same period (ca. 185), Irenaeus, Adversus haereses IV, 18.5, calls this consecration prayer "the invocation (ten epiklesin) of God."58 And although Cyril/John II of Jerusalem, Mystagogic Catechesis (post 380) 3, 3 and 5, 7, also uses the term epiclesis in its present, restricted sense, <sup>59</sup> in another passage, Mystagogic Catechesis 1, 7, the word is usually interpreted as referring to the entire anaphora: "Before the holy

epiclesis of the adorable Trinity the bread and wine of the eucharist was ordinary bread and wine, whereas after the epiclesis the bread becomes the body of Christ and the wine the blood of Christ."<sup>60</sup> That, in my view, should suffice for a common profession of our faith in the eucharistic consecration. The rest can be left to theology.

#### The Words of Institution as Consecratory

As we have seen, both before and after the scholastic interval and the dispute between East and West over the epiclesis, 61 reputable Catholic theologians rejected theologies that would isolate the Institution Narrative from its essential setting within the anaphora. Does that mean that the Words of Institution are not consecratory? Not at all. For the Fathers of the Church they are indeed consecratory, for they are words eternally efficacious in the mouth of Jesus. The classic Latin doctrine on the Words of Institution as "words of consecration" can be traced back to St. Ambrose (339-397), who states the teaching unambiguously (though not restrictively—i.e., sensu aiente, not sensu negante) in his De sacramentis IV, 4.14-17,62 5.21-23, and De mysteriis IX, 52-54.<sup>63</sup> But Ambrose is not speaking of the words as a "formula." Not until the 12th century do the scholastics formulate the thesis that the Words of Institution are the essential "form of the sacrament' which alone effect the consecration of the bread and wine.64

That more narrow view is not the authentic tradition of the Fathers of the Church. St. John Chrysostom (ca. 340/50-†407), for instance, attributes consecratory efficacy both to the Words of Institution and to the epiclesis. Chrysostom states in at least seven different homilies that what happens in the eucharist happens by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> JOINT COMMISSION FOR THEOLOGICAL DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE CATHOLIC CHURCH AND THE ORTHODOX CHURCH, "The Mystery of the Church and the Eucharist in the Light of the Mystery of the Holy Trinity," *Information Service* 49 (1982/II-III) 108; *Origins* 12 (April 12, 1982) 158; French text in *La documentation catholique* 79 (1982 = No. 1838, 17 oct.) 942; *Episkepsis* no. 277 (juillet-août 1982) 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Catechismus Catholicae Ecclesiae § 1375.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> PE 68-72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> SC 264:611; cf. also Adv. haer. 1, 13.2, SC 264:190-91. Indeed, "epiclesis" is commonly used for the entire prayer over the gifts even in sources as late as the 4th century: Hippolytus, Refutatio omnium haeresium (Philosophoumena) VI, 39:2, PG 16.3:3258 (= CPG §1899; on its disputed authenticity cf. CPG §1870); Firmilian of Caesarea, cited in Cyprian, Ep. 75, 10, CSEL 3.2:818-translation and discussion of this text with relevant literature in A. BOULEY, From Freedom to Formula. The Evolution of the Eucharistic Prayer from Oral Improvisation to Written Texts, Catholic University of America Studies in Christian Antiquity, 21 (Washington, DC The Catholic University of America Press, 1981) 143-145; G. A. MICHELL, "Firmilian and Eucharistic Consecration," JTS 5 (1954) 215-220; Didaskalia VI, 22:2: Didascalia apostolorum. The Syriac Version translated and accompanied by the Verona fragments, with an introduction and notes, by R.H. CONNOLLY (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1929) 252-253. Cf. J.W. TYRER, "The Meaning of epiklesis," JTS 25 (1923-1924) 139-150; esp. 142-145, 148; O. CASEL, "Neuere Beiträge zur Epiklesenfrage," Jahrbuch für Liturgiewissenschaft 4 (1924) 169-178, esp. 170-171. Some authors would also include in this list BASIL, De Spiritu sancto 27, SC 17bis:480 = PG 32:188 (= CPG §2839). But I agree with GELSTON (The Eucharistic Prayer of Addai and Mari 15-17) that Basil is probably referring to the epiclesis in the narrow sense of the term.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> SC 126bis:124, 154.

<sup>60</sup> SC 126bis:94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> On East-West issues in eucharistic theology from two of the major recent Catholic theologians writing on the issue, in addition to my studies above in note 4 and others cited in this present essay, see E.J. KILMARTIN, "The Active Role of Christ and the Holy Spirit in Sanctification of the Eucharistic Elements," *Theological Studies* 45 (1984) 225-253, esp. 235ff; C. GIRAUDO, "L'epiclesi eucaristica. Proposta per una soluzione «ortodossa» della controversia fra Oriente e Occidente," *Rassegna di teologia* 41 (2000) 5-24. On Kilmartin's liturgical theology, see most recently the excellent study of J.M. HALL, *We Have the Mind of Christ. The Holy Spirit and Liturgical Memory in the Thought of Edward J. Kilmartin*, A Pueblo Book (Collegeville: The Liturgical Press, 2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Cited below at note 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> SC 25bis:110, 114, 186-188 = CSEL 73:51-53, 55-56, 112-113 (=CPL §§154-155).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> J.R. GEISELMANN, *Abendmahlslehre* 192-194, 144-147; J.J. HUGHES, "Eucharistic Sacrifice. Transcending the Reformation Deadlock," *Worship* 13 (1969) 540; J.A. JUNGMANN, *The Mass of the Roman Rite*, cited above at note 39.

the power of the Holy Spirit, 65 a teaching common to both the Greek and Latin Churches. In at least one instance it is clear Chrysostom is talking about the epiclesis. But in his *Homily on the Betrayal of Judas (De proditione Judae hom.* 1/2, 6), he attributes the consecration to Christ in the Words of Institution:

It is not man who causes what is present to become the body and blood of Christ, but Christ himself, who was crucified for us. The priest is the representative when he pronounces those words, but the power and the grace are those of the Lord. "This is my body," he says. This word changes the things that lie before us; and just as that sentence, "increase and multiply," once spoken, extends through all time and gives to our nature the power to reproduce itself; likewise that saying, "This is my body," once uttered, from that time to the present day, and even until Christ's coming, makes the sacrifice complete at every table in the churches.<sup>66</sup>

Note that Chrysostom assigns consecratory power *not to the priest's liturgical repetition* of Jesus' words now, but *to the historical institution itself*, i.e., to the original utterance of Jesus whose force extends to all subsequent eucharistic celebrations.<sup>67</sup>

In the 8th century St. John Damascene, "last of the Greek Fathers" (ca. 675-753/4), teaches the exact same doctrine in his *De fide orthodoxa* 86 (IV, 13): "God said 'This is my blood,' and 'This is my blood,' and 'do this in memory of me.' *And by his all-powerful command it is done until he comes*. For that is what he said, until he should come, and the overshadowing power of the Holy Spirit becomes, through the invocation [i.e., epiclesis], the rain to this new tillage." This is the classic Eastern Orthodox teaching: the power of consecration comes from the words of Christ, the divine mandate that guarantees the eucharistic conversion for all time.

But this is no different from the position of Ambrose (339-397), who obviously attributes the efficacy of Jesus' words not to the prayer of the priest, <sup>70</sup> but to the indefectible effectiveness of the Word of God, as is perfectly clear in his *De sacramentis* IV, 4.14-17:

14. ...to produce the venerable sacrament, the priest does not use his own words but the words of Christ. So it is the word of Christ which produces this sacrament. 15. Which word of Christ? The one by which all things were made. The Lord commanded and the heavens were made, the Lord commanded and the earth was made, the Lord commanded and the seas were made, the Lord commanded and all creatures were brought into being. You see, then, how effective the word of Christ is. If then there is such power in the word of the Lord Jesus that things which were not began to be, how much more effective must they be in changing what already exists into something else!... 17. Hear, then, how the word of Christ is accustomed to change all creatures and to change, when it will, the laws of nature...<sup>71</sup>

This is exactly what Chrysostom says on other occasions: in the liturgy the same Jesus accomplishes the same eucharist, the same marvels, in the liturgy as at the Last Supper. <sup>72</sup> For instance, his *Homily 2 on II Timothy*, affirms:

The gifts which God bestows are not such as to be the effects of the virtue of the priest. All is from grace. His [the priest] part is but to open his mouth, while God works all. He [the priest] only completes the sign (*symbolon*). The offering is the same whoever offers it, Paul or Peter. It is the same one Christ gave to his disciples, and which priests now accomplish. The latter is in no way inferior to the former, because the same one who sanctified the one,

<sup>65</sup> De sacerdotio III, 4:40-50; VI, 4:34-44, SC 272:142-146, 316 = PG 48:642-645, 681 CPG §4316); Oratio de beato Philogonio 3, PG 48:753 (= CPG §4319); De resurr. mortuorum 8, PG 50:432 (= CPG §4340); In pentec. hom. 1, 4, PG 50:458-459 (= CPG §4343); In Ioh. hom. 45, 2, PG 59:253 (= CPG §4425); In I Cor hom. 24, 5, PG 61:204 (= CPG §4428). But in In De coemet. et de cruce 3, Chrysostom is clearly speaking of the epiclesis: PG 49:397-398 (=CPG §4337).

<sup>66</sup> PG 49:380, 389-390 (=CPG §4336).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Ch. 29, SC 4bis:178-190; cf. the commentary of S. SALAVILLE, ibid 314-315, and J.H. McKENNA, Eucharist and Holy Spirit 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Ed. B. KOTTER 2:194.71-76; *cf.* N. ARMITAGE, "The Eucharistic Theology of the Exact Exposition of the Orthodox Faith" (English trans. from *ibid* 293).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> But the epiclesis of the Holy Spirit is the decisive liturgical moment, for the Damascene continues: "...the bread of the prothesis, the wine, and the water, are converted supernaturally into the body of Christ and the blood, through the invocation (epiclesis) and intervention of the Holy Spirit." Ed. B. KOTTER 2:195; trans. ARMITAGE 294.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> As Nicholas CABASILAS accuses them in his commentary on the liturgy, chap. 29.10, *SC* 4bis:184-86.

<sup>71 &</sup>quot;14. ...ut conficiatur uenerabile sacramentum, iam non suis sermonibus utitur sacerdos, sed utitur sermonibus Christi. Ergo sermo Christi hoc conficit sacramenturn. 15. Quis est sermo Christi? Nempe is quo facta sunt omnia. lussit dominus facturn est caelum, iussit dominus facta est terra, iussit dominus facta sunt maria, iussit dominus omnis creatura generatus est. Vides ergo quam operatorius sermo sit Christi. Si ergo tanta uis est in sermone domini lesu ut inciperent esse quae non erant, quanto magis operatorius est ut sint quae erant et in aliud commutentur... 17. Accipe ergo quemadmodum sermo Christi creaturam omnem mutare consueuerit et mutet quando uult instituta naturae...": SC 25bis:110 = CSEL 73:52-53 (= CPL §154); English trans. adapted in part from E. MAZZA, Mystagogy (New York: Pueblo Publishing, 1989) 183; Cf. AMBROSE, De mysteriis IX, 52: "The sacrament you receive is produced by the word of Christ," SC 25bis:186 = CSEL 73:112 (= CPL § 155).

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>$  In Mt hom. 50 (51), 3 and hom. 82 (83), 5, PG 58:507, 744 (= CPG §4424).

sanctifies the other too. For just as the words which God spoke are the same as the ones the priest pronounces now, so is the offering the same, just like the baptism which he gave.<sup>73</sup>

In this same sense, therefore, the Words of Institution are always consecratory, even when they are not recited, as in the Anaphora of Addai and Mari. For they are consecratory not because they are a formula the priest repeats in the eucharistic prayer, but because Jesus' pronouncing of them at the Last Supper remains efficaciously consecratory for every eucharist until the end of time.

#### Conclusion

By way of conclusion, then, I believe one can say there *are* irreducible local differences in the *liturgical expression* of what I would take to be the fully reconcilable *teaching* of both East and West on the eucharist: that the gifts of bread and wine are sanctified via a prayer, the anaphora, which applies to the present gifts of bread and wine what Jesus handed on. *How* the individual anaphoras make this application has varied widely depending on local tradition, particular history, and the doctrinal concerns of time and place. In my view these differences *cannot* with any historical legitimacy be seen in dogmatic conflict with parallel but divergent expressions of the same basic realities in a different historico-ecclesial milieu.

That is the approach I have taken here with regard to Church, magisterium, and dogma, reasoning as follows:

- 1. The whole undivided Church of East and West held that the eucharistic gifts were consecrated in the eucharistic prayer.
- 2. The *theologia prima* in the eucharistic prayers of East and West expressed this differently.

- 3. The *theologia secunda* or theological reflection on these prayers in East and West also was different. The West stressed the *Verba Domini*. The East stressed the Spirit epiclesis, while not denying the efficacy of the Words of Institution.
- 4. Problems arose only in the Late Middle Ages when the Latin West *unilaterally* shifted the perspective by dogmatizing its hylomorphic theology.

The above four points are not theory but demonstrable historical facts. From them, I would argue further:

- Since this western innovation narrows the earlier teaching of the undivided Church, the East rejected it—and in my opinion should have rejected it.
- 2. Since the post-Florentine Latin *Decreta* canonizing this view are highly questionable, I offered some elements for their reinterpretation.
- 3. Finally, I showed how Catholic teaching has for over a century been moving towards recovery of the view that what an earlier theology was pleased to call the "form" of a sacrament is the central prayer of the ritual, and not some single isolated formula within that prayer. This prayer can be understood and interpreted only within its liturgical context. The Words of Institution are not some magical formula, but part of a prayer of the Church operative only within its worship context. In East and West this context was and is and will remain diverse within the parameters of our common faith that Jesus, through the ministers of his Church, nourishes us with the mystery of his Body and Blood.
- 4. None of this denies the teaching that the Words of Jesus are consecratory. For the Fathers, they are *always* consecratory because he once said them, not just because someone else repeats them. And so they are also consecratory in Addai and Mari, even though that ancient prayer does not have the priest repeat these words *verbatim* in direct discourse, but adverts to them more obliquely.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> PG 62:612 (= *CPG* §4437). On this point see Y. CONGAR, *Je crois en l'Esprit Saint* 3:303-304.

#### APPENDIX

### I. THE ROMAN CANON MISSAE (mid-4th c.)

- 1. Hanc igitur oblationem servitutis nostrae, sed et cunctae familiae tuae, quaesumus, Domine, ut placates accipias...
- 2. Quam oblationem tu, Deus, in omnibus, quaesumus, benedictam, adscriptam, ratam, acceptabilemque facere digneris, ut nobis Corpus et Sanguis fiat dilectissimi Filii tui Domini nostri Jesu Christi
- 3. Qui pridie quam pateretur... (= INSTITUTION NARRATIVE)
- 4. Unde et memores...ejusdem Christi Filii tui Domini nostri tam beatae passionis, nec non et ab inferis resurrectionis, sed et in caelos gloriosae ascensionis, offerimus praeclarae majestati tuae, de tuis donis ac datis, hostiam puram, hostiam sanctam, hostiam immaculatam, Panem sanctum vitae aeternae, et Calicem salutis perpetuae.
- 5. Supra quae propitio ac sereno vultu repicere digneris, et accepta habere, sicut accepta habere dignatus es munera pueri tui Abel...
- 6. Supplices te rogamus, omnipotens Deus, iube haec perferri per manus sancti angeli tui in sublime altare tuum in conspectu divinae majestatis tuae, ut quotquot ex hac altaris participatione sacrosanctum Filii tui corpus et sanguinem sumpserimus, omni benedictioni caelesti et gratia repleamur.

- 1. Therefore, Lord, we ask that you be pleased to accept this oblation of our ministry and also of your whole family...
- 2. Which oblation we ask you, God, deign to make in all things blessed, and acceptable, that it might become for us the Body and Blood of your beloved Son our Lord Jesus Christ
- 3. Who on the day before he suffered... (= INSTITUTION NARRATIVE)
- 4. Remembering, therefore...the blessed passion of this same Christ your Son our Lord, as well as his resurrection from the dead and glorious ascension into heaven, we offer to your glorious majesty, from your own given gifts, a pure offering, a holy offering, an immaculate offering, the holy Bread of eternal life and the Chalice of eternal salvation.
- 5. Deign to look on them with a propitious and kindly regard, and accept them as you accepted the gifts of your child Abel...
- 6. Humbly we implore you, almighty God, bid these offerings be carried by the hands of your holy angel to your altar on high in the presence of your divine majesty, so that those of us who, sharing in the sacrifice at this altar, shall have received the sacred body and blood of your Son, may be filled with every heavenly blessing and grace.

### II. FROM THE POSTSANCTUS OF ADDAI AND MARI (3rd c.)<sup>74</sup>

- 1. Do you, O my Lord, in your manifold mercies make a good remembrance for all the upright and just fathers, the prophets and apostles and martyrs and confessors, 2. in the commemoration of the Body and Blood of your Christ, which we offer to you on the pure and holy altar, as you have taught us in his life-giving Gospel...
- 3. And we also, O my Lord, your servants who are gathered and stand before you, 4. and have received by tradition the example which is from you, rejoicing and glorifying and exalting 5. and commemorating this mystery of the passion and death and resurrection of our Lord Jesus Christ.
- 6. And let your Holy Spirit come, O my Lord, and rest upon 7. this offering of your servants, 8. that it may be to us for the pardon of sins and for the forgiveness of shortcomings, and for the resurrection from the dead, and for new life in the kingdom of heaven.
- 9. And for your dispensation which is towards us we give you thanks and glorify you 10. in your Church redeemed by the precious Blood of your Christ, 11. with open mouths and unveiled faces offering glory and honor and thanksgiving and adoration to your holy name, now and at all times, and for ever and ever. Amen!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> A. GELSTON, The Eucharistic Prayer of Addai and Mari 121-123.

# A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF INTERCHURCH AND INTERCONFESSIONAL THEOLOGICAL DIALOGUES

Eighteenth Supplement - 2003

## ABBREVIATIONS FOR CONFESSIONAL FAMILIES CHURCHES AND COUNCILS

A	Anglican	M	
AC	Assyrian Church of the East	MECC	Middle East Council of Churches
AIC	African Instituted Churches	Mn	Mennonite
B	Baptist	Mo	Moravian
CC	Chaldean Catholic Church	0	Eastern Orthodox (Byzantine)
CEC	Conference of European Churches	OC	Old Catholic (includes Polish National)
CCEE	. Council of European Episcopal Conferences	00	Oriental Orthodox (Non-Chalcedonian)
CP	Constantinople Patriarchate	Pe	Pentecostal
D	Disciples of Christ	R	Reformed
DOMBES	Groupe des Dombes	RC	Roman Catholic
E	Evangelicals	SDA	Seventh-Day Adventist
FC	Free Churches	U	United Churches
FO	Faith and Order	W	Waldensian
$L \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	Lutheran (includes German 'Evangelische')	WCC	World Council of Churches

#### LIST OF DIALOGUES

AD.	A1:	Dantint	International	I Dam
A-B:	Anglican	-Babtist	Internationa	i Fonim

A-D/aus: Anglican Church of Australia-Churches of Christ Conversations

A-L: Anglican-Lutheran International Commission

A-L / africa: All Africa Anglican-Lutheran Commission

A-L / aus: Anglican-Lutheran Conversations in Australia

A-L / can: Canadian Lutheran Anglican Dialogue

**A-L/eng-g:** Representatives of the Evangelical Church in Germany (EKD) and of the Church of England

A-L/eng-nordic regions: Representatives of the Nordic countries and of the Church of England

A-L / eur: Anglican-Lutheran European Regional Commission

A-L / usa: Episcopal-Lutheran Dialogue in the USA

**A-L-R / eng-f:** Official Dialogue between the Church of England and the Lutheran-Reformed Permanent Council in France

A-M: Anglican-Methodist International Commission

A-M / eng: Anglican-Methodist Conversation in Great Britain

A-Mo: Anglican-Moravian Conversations

A-Mo / usa: Moravian-Episcopal Dialogue in the USA

A-O: Anglican-Orthodox Joint Doctrinal Commission

A-O / usa: Anglican-Orthodox Theological Consultation in the USA

A-OC: Anglican-Old Catholic Theological Conversations

A-OC / na: Anglican-Old Catholic North American Working Group

A-OO: Anglican-Oriental Orthodox Dialogue

A-OO / copt: Anglican-Coptic Relations

A-R: Anglican-Reformed International Commission

**A-RC:** Anglican-Roman Catholic International Commission (ARCIC) **A-RC / aus:** Anglican-Roman Catholic Commission of Australia

A-RC / b: Belgian Anglican-Roman Catholic Committee

A-RC / br: Brazilian Anglican-Roman Catholic National Commission

A-RC / can: Canadian Anglican-Roman Catholic Dialogue Commission

A-RC / eng: English Anglican-Roman Catholic Committee

A-RC/eur: Anglican-Roman Catholic Working Group in Western Europe

A-RC / f: Anglican-Catholic Joint Working Group in France

A-RC / usa: Anglican-Roman Catholic Dialogue in the USA

A-U/aus: Conversations between the Anglican Church of Australia and the Uniting Church in Australia

AC-CC: Joint Commission for Unity between the Assyrian Church of the East and the Chaldean Catholic Church

AC-OO/copt: Theological Dialogue between the Assyrian Church of the East and the Coptic Orthodox Church

AC-OO / syr: Bilateral Commission between the Assyrian Church of the East and the Syrian Orthodox Church

AC-RC: Mixed Committee for Theological Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Assyrian Church of the East

AIC-R: Dialogue between the African Instituted Churches and the World Alliance of Reformed Churches

B-L: Baptist-Lutheran Dialogue

B-L/g: Baptist-Lutheran Dialogue in Germany

**B-L / n:** Baptist-Lutheran Dialogue in Norway

B-L / sf: Baptist-Lutheran Conversation in Finland

B-L / usa: Baptist-Lutheran Dialogue in the USA

B-M-W / italy: Baptist-Methodist-Waldensian Relations in Italy

**B-Mn:** Baptist-Mennonite Theological Conversations

**B-O:** Baptist-Orthodox Preparatory Dialogue

B-R: Baptist-Reformed Dialogue

B-RC: Baptist-Roman Catholic International Conversations

**B-RC / f:** Baptist-Catholic Joint Committee in France

B-RC / usa (ab): American Baptist-Roman Catholic Dialogue

B-RC / usa (sb): Southern Baptist-Roman Catholic Dialogue

CEC-CCEE: Joint Committee of Conference of European Churches and Council of European Episcopal Conferences

**D-O / rus:** Disciples of Christ-Russian Orthodox Dialogue

**D-R:** Disciples of Christ-Reformed Dialogue

**D-RC:** Disciples of Christ-Roman Catholic International Commission for Dialogue

D-U/aus: Conversations between the Churches of Christ in Australia and the Uniting Church in Australia

D-U / usa: Disciples of Christ-United Church of Christ Dialogue in the USA

**DOMBES:** Dialogues des Dombes

E-RC: Evangelical-Roman Catholic Dialogue on Mission

E-SDA: Evangelical-Seventh-day Adventist Theological Dialogue

FC-O / g: Free Churches-Orthodox Dialogue in Germany

FO: Faith and Order conferences, consultations, studies

L-M: International Lutheran-Methodist Joint Commission

L-M / n: Conversation between the Church of Norway and the United Methodist Church in Norway

L-M/s: Dialogue between the United Methodist Church in Sweden and Church of Sweden

L-M / usa: US Lutheran-United Methodist Dialogue

L-Mn / f: Lutheran-Mennonite Dialogue in France

L-Mn/g: Theological Dialogue between the United Evangelical Lutheran Church in Germany (VELKD) and the Association of Mennonite Assemblies in Germany (AMG)

L-Mn / usa: Lutheran-Mennonite Dialogue in the USA

L-Mo / usa: Lutheran-Moravian Dialogue in the USA

L-O: Lutheran-Orthodox Joint Commission

L-O / g-cp: Theological Dialogue between the Evangelical Church in Germany (EKD) and the Ecumenical Patriarchate

**L-O** / **g-rom:** Theological Dialogue between the Evangelical Church in Germany (EKD) and the Romanian Orthodox Church

L-O / g-rus: Theological Dialogue between the Evangelical Church in Germany (EKD) and the Russian Orthodox Church

L-O / sf: Theological Discussions between the Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland and the Finnish Orthodox Church

L-O / sf-rus: Theological Discussions between the Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland and the Russian Orthodox Church

L-O / usa: Lutheran-Orthodox Dialogue in the USA

L-O-R / f: Dialogue between Representatives of the Inter-Orthodox Bishops' Committee in France and the Protestant Federation of France

L-O-R / na: Lutheran-Orthodox-Reformed Theological Conversations in North AmericaL-OC / g: Dialogue between the United Evangelical Lutheran Church in

L-OC / g: Dialogue between the United Evangelical Lutheran Church in Germany (VELKD) and the Old Catholic Church in Germany

 $\label{lem:L-OO/copt:} L-OO/copt: The ological Dialogue between the Coptic Evangelical Church and the Coptic Orthodox Church$ 

 $\mbox{L-OO\,/\,copt-s:}$  Coptic Orthodox-Lutheran Dialogue in Sweden

L-OO / india: Dialogue between the Orthodox Syrian Church of the East and the Lutheran Churches in India

L-Pe/sf: Lutheran-Pentecostal Dialogue in Finland

L-Pe-R / f: Pentecostal-Protestant Dialogue in France

L-R: Lutheran-Reformed Joint Commission

L-R/arg: Dialogue between the Evangelical Church of the Rio de la Plata and the Evangelical Congregational Church of Argentina

**L-R**/**aus:** Dialogue between the Lutheran Church of Australia and the Reformed Churches of Australia

L-R / can: Canadian Lutheran-Reformed Conversations

L-R / f: Fédération Protestante de France

**L-R/usa:** Lutheran-Reformed Committee for Theological Conversations in the USA

L-R-RC: Lutheran-Reformed-Roman Catholic Dialogue

L-R-RC / f: Catholic-Protestant Joint Working Group in France

L-R-SDA / f: Protestant-Seventh-day Adventist Dialogue in France

L-R-U / eur: Leuenberg Church Fellowship

L-RC: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Commission on Unity

L-RC/arg: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Dialogue Commission in Argentina

L-RC / aus: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Dialogue in Australia

L-RC / br: National Roman Catholic-Lutheran Commission in Brazil

L-RC / can: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Dialogue in Canada

L-RC/g: Joint Commission of the Evangelical Church in Germany (EKD) and the German Episcopal Conference (DB)

L-RC / india: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Dialogue in India

L-RC / jap: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Joint Commission in Japan

L-RC / n: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Discussion Group in Norway

L-RC / s: Official Working Group of Dialogue between the Church of Sweden and the Catholic Diocese of Stockholm

L-RC / sf: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Relations in Finland

L-RC / usa: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Dialogue in the USA

L-SDA: Lutheran-Seventh-Day Adventist Consultations

L-U/aus: Theological Dialogue between the Lutheran Church of Australia and the Uniting Church in Australia

M-O: Methodist-Orthodox Commission

M-R: Methodist-Reformed Dialogue

M-RC: Joint Commission between the Roman Catholic Church and the World Methodist Council

M-RC / eng: English Roman Catholic-Methodist Committee

M-RC/usa: Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the United Methodist Church in the USA

Mn-R: Mennonite World Conference and World Alliance of Reformed Churches

Mn-RC: Mennonite-Catholic International Dialogue

O-OC: Joint (Mixed) Orthodox-Old Catholic Theological Commission

**O-OO:** Joint Commission of the Theological Dialogue between the Orthodox Church and the Oriental Orthodox Churches

O-OO/rus: Theological Dialogue between the Russian Orthodox Church and the Oriental Orthodox Churches

O-R: Orthodox-Reformed International Dialogue

O-R / ch: Protestant-Orthodox Dialogue Commission in Switzerland

O-R / na: Orthodox-Reformed Conversations in North America

O-R / rus: Dialogue between the World Alliance of Reformed Churches and the Russian Orthodox Church

O-RC: Joint International Commission for Theological Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the Orthodox Church

O-RC / ch: Orthodox-Roman Catholic Dialogue in Switzerland

O-RC / f: Joint Catholic-Orthodox Committee in France

O-RC/g: Greek Orthodox-Roman Catholic Joint Commission in Germany

O-RC / rom: Joint Commission for Dialogue between the Romanian Orthodox Church and the Romanian Church United with Rome (Greek-Catholic)

O-RC / rus: Theological Conversations between Representatives of the Russian Orthodox Church and the Roman Catholic Church

O-RC/rus-g: Theological Dialogue between the Russian Orthodox Church and the German Episcopal Conference

O-RC/usa: North American Catholic-Orthodox Theological Consultation

O-U/aus: Theological Dialogue between the Greek Orthodox Archidiocese of Australia and the Uniting Church in Australia

OC-R-RC / ch: Old Catholic-Reformed-Roman Catholic Dialogue in Switzerland

OC-RC: Old Catholic-Roman Catholic Conversations

OC-RC / ch: Dialogue Commission of the Old Catholic and the Roman Catholic Churches in Switzerland

OC-RC / g: Dialogue between the Old Catholic Church and the Roman Catholic Church in Germany

 $\pmb{OC\text{-RC}/na:}\ Joint Commission of the Polish National Catholic Church and$ 

the National Conference of Catholic Bishops

OO-R: Oriental Orthodox-Reformed Theological Dialogue

OO-RC: Oriental Orthodox-Roman Catholic Relations

OO-RC / armenia: Armenian Apostolic Church-Catholic Church Joint Commission

OO-RC / copt: International Joint Commission between the Catholic Church and the Coptic Orthodox Church

OO-RC/eritrea: Eritrean Orthodox Church and Catholic Church Relations

OO-RC / ethiop: Ethiopian Orthodox Church and Catholic Church Relations

OO-RC / india: Joint International Commission for Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Malankara Orthodox Syrian Church

OO-RC/syr-india: Joint International Commission for Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Malankara Syrian Orthodox Church

OO-RC / usa: Official Oriental Orthodox-Roman Catholic Consultation

Pe-R: Pentecostal-Reformed Dialogue

Pe-RC: Pentecostal-Roman Catholic International Dialogue

R-RC: Reformed-Roman Catholic Joint Study Commission

R-RC/a: Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the Presbyterian Reformed Church in Austria

#### PERIODICALS SURVEYED

ACK aktuell; Acta Apostolicae Sedis; Actualité des religions; AFER-African Ecclesial Review; American Baptist Quarterly; Amicizia ebraicocristiana; Amitié; Angelicum; The Anglican; Anglican Theological Review; Anglican World; Annales theologici; Anuario de Historia de la Iglesia; The Asia Journal of Theology; Associated Christian Press Bulletin.

Bausteine für die Einheit der Christen; Briefing; Bulletin du Centre Protestant d'Études; Bulletin of Ecumenical Theology.

Calvin Theological Journal; Carthaginensia; Catholic International; Catholica; CCA News-Christian Conference of Asia; CEC-KEK Monitor; Centro - News from the Anglican Centre in Rome; Centro Pro Unione Bulletin; Chrétiens en Marche; Christian Orient; Der Christliche Osten; Città nuova; Una città per il dialogo; La Civiltà cattolica; Commonweal; Communio; Concilium; Confronti; Contacts; Convivium Assisiense; Courier; Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient; Cultures and Faith; Current Dialogue; Currents in Theology and Mission.

Il Diaconato in Italia; Diakonia; DIAKONIA News; Diálogo ecuménico; Distinctive Diaconate News; Doctrine and Life; La Documentation catholique; Eastern Churches Journal; ECC News; Ecclesia Mater; Echoes; Ecumenical Letter on Evangelism; The Ecumenical Review; Ecumenical Trends; Ecumenism; EEF-NET; Ekklesia; Ekumenismo; Encounter; ENI-Ecumenical News International & Nouvelles œcuméniques internationales; Episkepsis; ESBVM Newsletter; Études; Exchange.

First Things; Forum Focus; Forum Letter; Foyers mixtes; The Greek Orthodox Theological Review; Gregorianum; Herder Korrespondenz; Heythrop Journal; Information Service & Service d'Information; Interchurch Families; International Bulletin of Missionary Research; International Centre of Newman Friends Newsletter; International Review of Mission; Internationale kirchliche Zeitschrift; Irénikon; Irish Theological Quarterly; Istina; Italia ortodossa.

Jeevadhara; Journal of Ecumenical Studies; Kerygma und Dogma.

Lettera da Taizé; LibreSens; Lutheran Forum; Lutheran Quarterly; LWF/LWB Documentation; LWI-Lutheran World Information.

R-RC / b: Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the Presbyterian Reformed Church in Belgium

R-RC / ch: Protestant/Roman Catholic Dialogue Commission in Switzerland

R-RC / nl: Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the Reformed Church in the Netherlands

R-RC/scot: Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the Church of Scotland

R-RC / usa: Roman Catholic-Presbyterian Reformed Consultation in the LISA

**R-SDA:** International Theological Dialogue between the Seventh-day Adventist Church and the World Alliance of Reformed Churches

RC-SDA: Conversations between the Seventh-day Adventist Church and the Roman Catholic Church

RC-U/aus: Working Group of the Roman Catholic Church and the Uniting Church in Australia

 $\operatorname{RC-U}/\operatorname{can:}$ Roman Catholic-United Church Dialogue Group in Canada

RC-W / italy: Roman Catholic-Waldensian Relations in Italy

RC-WCC: Joint Working Group between the Roman Catholic Church and the World Council of Churches

WCC: World Council of Churches - assemblies, convocations, relations

MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim; MECC News Report; Melita theologica; Mid-Stream; Ministerial Formation; Missionalia; Le Monde copte; NADEO Newsletter; Näköala utsikt; NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering; Nicolaus; Nouvelle revue théologique; Nuevo siglo.

O Odigos; Oecumenica Civitas; Ökumenische Rundschau; Ökumenisches Forum; Oikumene; One in Christ; Oriente cristiano; Origins; The Orthodox Church; Orthodoxes Forum; L'Osservatore Romano (weekly English); Ostkirchliche Studien.

Pastoral Ecuménica; Pneuma; Positions luthériennes; Presencia Ecuménica; Priests and People; Proche-Orient Chrétien; Pro Dialogo; Pro Ecclesia; Protestantesimo; Quaderni della Segreteria Generale CEI; Qîqajôn di Bose.

Reformed World; Il Regno; Relaciones Interconfesionales; Religioni per la pace; Renovación Ecuménica; Reseptio; Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques; Revue des sciences religieuses; Rinnovamento nello Spirito Santo; The Romanian Patriarchate News Bulletin.

SAE Notizie; Scottish Journal of Theology; SEDOS Bulletin; SICO-Servizio informazione per le chiese orientali; SIDIC-Service International de Documentation Judéo-Chrétien; SMT-Svensk Missions Tidskrift; Sobornost; Society for Pentecostal Studies Newsletter; SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse mensuel & supplément; St. Ansgar's Bulletin, St. Nersess Theological Review; St. Vladimir's Theological Quarterly; Stimme der Orthodoxie; Studie Ecumenici; Studia i dokumenty ecumeniczne; Studia Liturgica; Studies in Interreligious Dialogue.

The Tablet; Tam-Tam AACC-All Africa Conference of Churches; Tempo e Presença; Texte aus der VELKD; Theoforum; Theological Studies; Theologische Revue; Theology Digest.

Una Sancta; Unitás; Unité chrétienne; Unité des Chrétiens; Unity Digest; V Edinosti; La Vita in Cristo e nella Chiesa; WARC Up-Date; Wereld en Zending; The Window; Worship; Zeitzeichen.

#### **Key to sub-headings:**

INFORMATION: facts, communiqués, surveys, brief reports REFLECTION AND REACTIONS: essays, responses, commentaries, theological papers

TEXTS AND PAPERS: documents, reports, statements, official responses

## Key to reading the bibliographical entry:

For periodical entries: the first number refers to the volume and the second refers to the issue followed by the year and page numbers, thus: *Christian Orient* 16, 4(1995) 180-191 = pages 180-191 in volume 16, issue no. 4 in 1995 of *Christian Orient*.

#### **GENERAL**

#### INFORMATION

- Best, T. F., Church Union Correspondents, Survey of Church Union Negotiations, 1999-2002 (Faith and Order Paper 192). Geneva: World Council of Churches, 2002.
- Cardenal Kasper opina que el ecumenismo está en crisis, *Nuevo siglo* 2, 7 (2002) 13.
- Chronique des Églises: Allemagne, Irénikon 74, 4 (2001) 595-597.
- Chronique des Églises: Communion anglicane, Irénikon 74, 4 (2001) 594f.
- Chronique des Églises: Église catholique, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 577-587.
- Havinga, A., Dutch Theologians urge Protestants to Share Eucharist, ENI-Ecumenical News International 23 (2002) 16f.
- Herlinger, C., Des responsables d'église des États-Unis ont le rêve de former une communauté œcuménique plus ouverte à tous, *ENI-Nouvelles* œcuméniques internationales 10 (2002) 10f.
- Inter Anglican Standing Commission on Ecumenical Relations, Communiqué, Anglican World 105 (2002) 39f.
- Juan Pablo II: dispuesto a revisar la primacía de Roma, *Nuevo siglo* 2, 1 (2002) 6
- Lossky, N., ed., Dictionary of the Ecumenical Movement, 2nd ed. Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.
- Noko, I., Report of the Secretary: Office for Ecumenical Affairs, LWF Documentation 46 (2001) 27-36.
- Préchalcédoniens et autres chrétiens, Irénikon 75, 1 (2002) 70-72.
- Quatrième réunion des chefs des églises orientales orthodoxes du Moyen-Orient, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, 1/2 (2001) 155-158.
- Radano, J. A., The Catholic Church and Bilateral Dialogues since 1997, p. 75-77 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.
- Sgroi, P., La chiesa evangelica tedesca sulla comunione fra le chiese, Studi ecumenici 20, 1 (2002) 92.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

- Antone, H. S., New Ecumenism, *CCA News-Christian Conference of Asia* 37, 3 (2002) 4-7.
- Avis, P., The Significance of Regional Agreements for International Dialogues: An Anglican Perspective, p. 23-28 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002
- Bertalot, R., *Ecco la serva del Signore: una voce protestante* (Scripta Pontificiae Facultatis Theologicae Marianum. Nova series 28). Roma: Marianum, 2002.
- Bishops' Conference of England and Wales, *The Search for Christian Unity:*A Popular Version of the Directory for the Application of Principles and Norms on Ecumenism. London: Catholic Bishops' Conference of England and Wales, 2002.
- Bliss, F. M., What does "Church" mean Today, *Priests & People* 16, 1 (2002) 9-13.
- Bouwen, F., Les relations œcuméniques au Moyen-Orient: pour une évaluation théologique, *Proche-orient chrétien* 52, 1/2 (2002) 92-111.

- Brown, T. D., Full Communion: the Catholic Understanding, *NADEO Newsletter* 12, 1 (2002) 4f.
- Bueno de la Fuente, E., El ecumenismo hoy: la unidad más allá de las discrepancias, *Pastoral ecuménica* 19, 56 (2002) 137-160.
- Carter, D., The Holy Spirit and Ecumenism, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 3 (2002) 1-7.
- Carter, D., Love Bade me Welcome: A British Methodist Perspective on the Church. Peterborough: Epworth, 2002.
- Carter, D., Unity in Legitimate Diversity?, *One in Christ* 37, 3 (2002) 3-11.
- Cereti, G., Il servizio petrino del vescovo di Roma come ministero di comunione per la chiesa universale nei documenti del dialogo ecumenico (2), Studi ecumenici 20, 1 (2002) 59-75.
- Chiaretti, G., Naso, P., Troppi granelli di sabbia, *Confronti* 29, 12 (2002) 23-25
- Chiarinelli, L., Per un servizio d'amore riconosciuto: intorno al ministero petrino, Lettera di collegamento 27, 38 (2002) 68-78.
- Clavairoly, F., Protestantism and Theology of Ministries: Ecumenical Perspectives, *Theology Digest* 49, 1 (2002) 51-55.
- Davies, S. E., Conceptualization of the Church's Authority in the World, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 8 (2002) 1-6.
- Dulles, A., The Petrine Office at the Service of Unity, *Origins* 31, 42 (2002) 704-708. (=*Briefing* 32, 6 (2002) 8-14).
- Dulles, A., L'ufficio petrino al servizio dell'unità, *Il Regno documenti* 47, 17/910 (2002) 575-580.
- Fahey, M. A., Ecumenical Ecclesiology, p. 111-127 in: Phan, P. C., ed., The Gift of the Church: A Textbook on Ecclesiology in Honor of Patrick Granfield. Collegeville, MN: Liturgical Press, 2000.
- La Fédération protestante de France face à son engagement œcuménique, *Unité des chrétiens* 127 (2002) 4f.
- Fernández Rodríguez, P., La iglesia católica en diálogo con otros cristianos y otras religiones. *Renovación ecuménica* 34, 137 (2002) 13-22.
- Fiddes, P. S., Baptism and the Process of Christian Initiation, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 1 (2002) 48-65.
- Fischer, B., Ökumenische Gottesdienste als gemeinsame Eucharistiefeiern? Zur Entwicklung ökumenischer Gottesdienste in der Schweiz, p. 371-391 in: Bürki, B. & Klöckner, M., eds., *Liturgie in Bewegung*. Freiburg/Genève: Universitätsverlag/Labor et Fides, 2000. = *Liturgie en mouvement*.
- Florio, M., Quale ospitalità eucaristica? A proposito del recente documento dottrinale "One bread one body", *Studi ecumenici* 20, 1 (2002) 45-57.
- Forster, C., À la recherche de la pleine communion, *Unité des chrétiens* 127 (2002) 3
- Fortino, E. F., Il dialogo ecumenico, p. 335-353 in: Fisichella, R., ed., *Il Concilio Vaticano II: recezione e attualità alla luce del Giubileo*. Cinisello Balsamo (Milano): San Paolo, 2000.
- Friedrich, J., Vertrauen in die ökumenische Gemeinschaft stiften: Bericht des Catholica-Beauftragten der Vereinigten Evangelisch-Lutherischen Kirche Deutschlands, *Texte aus der VELKD* 114 (2002) 3-23.
- Fuchs, L. F., Retrieving the Ecumenical Spirit, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 2 (2002) 7-11.
- Gaßmann, G., Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis: doch teilweise recht provinziell, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 3 (2002) 367f.
- Gaßmann, G., Retrospective of an Ecumenical Century, p. 65-86 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., *Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey* (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.

- Geldbach, E., Zur Frage der Kirchengemeinschaft in Europa, p. 154-169 in: Brosseder, J. & Link, H.-G., eds., Gemeinschaft der Kirchen: Traum oder Wirklichkeit? (Ökumene konkret 3). Zürich/Neukirchen-Vluyn: Benzinger/Neukirchener Verlag, 1993.
- Griswold, F. T., Unity has Necessity for Deep and Costly Prayer, Centro -News from the Anglican Centre in Rome 8, 6 (2002) 10.
- Gros, J., Biblically-Sanctioned Authority: The Role of the Scripture in the Church, Ecumenical Trends 31, 8 (2002) 7-9.
- Gros, J., Multiple Expectations and Forms of Regional Unity: A Presentation of the US Context, One in Christ 37, 4 (2002) 37-54.
- Gros, J., Our Hunger for Communion at the One Table of the Lord, Mid-Stream 41, 1 (2002) 1-26.
- Hachem, G., Pluralisme ecclésiologique et communion, Proche-orient chrétien 52, 1/2 (2002) 76-91.
- Hallensleben, B., Kirchliche Communio im Zeichen der Schwesterkirchen: eine orthodox-katholische Frage im Gespräch mit reformatorischer Theologie, p. 241-264 in: Join-Lambert, A. & Klöckner, M., eds., Liturgia et unitas: liturgiewissenschaftliche und ökumenische Studien zur Eucharistie und zum gottesdienstlichen Leben in der Schweiz. Freiburg/Genève: Universitätsverlag/Labor et Fides, 2001.
- Hasselmann, N., Kommentar zur Votum der EKD zum geordneten Miteinander bekenntnisverschiedener Kirchen "Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis", Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 450f.
- Hell, S., Der Anspruch auf Wahrheit, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 2 (2002) 209-222.
- Hell, S., Kritische Anmerkungen zum EKD-Text "Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis", Ökumenische Rundschau 51,4(2002) 452-457.
- Hill, C., Constantinople, Canterbury and Rome: Learning from Present Difficulties, p. 97-110 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.
- Hind, J., Sign but Not Guarantee: Reflections on the Place of the Historic Succession of Bishops within the Apostolic Continuity of the Church in Some Current Ecumenical Texts, p. 146-161 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement, Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Hintzen, G., Anglikanisch-lutherische Kirchengemeinschaft: Impuls für den katholisch-lutherischen Dialog?, Catholica 56, 4 (2002) 303-318.
- Huber, J. G., Lausanne and Geneva 2002: Finding a Remedy for our Ecumenical Amnesia, Ecumenical Trends 31, 11 (2002) 13f.
- Joannes Paulus PP. II, Toward a Common Date for Easter, Information Service 108/4 (2001) 159-162. =Vers une date commune de Pâques (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 164-167).
- Jüngel, E., Dialogo sull'ecclesiologia, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 6/899 (2002) 145-150.
- Kantzavelos, D., The Declaration "Dominus Iesus": on the Unicity and Salvific Universality of Jesus Christ and the Church and Notes on the Expression "Sister Churches": A Greek Orthodox Response, Ecumenical Trends 31, 3 (2002) 8-10.
- Kasper, W., Communio Leitbegriff katholischer ökumenischer Theologie: Situation und Zukunft der Ökumene, Catholica 56, 4 (2002) 243-262.
- Kasper, W., Ein Herr, ein Glaube, eine Taufe: ökumenische Perspektiven der Zukunft, Der christliche Osten 57, 1 (2002) 4-18. (=Bausteine für die Einheit der Christen 42, 165/166 (2002) 6-18).
- Kasper, W., L'engagement œcuménique de l'église catholique, La Documentation catholique 99, 10/2270 (2002) 484-491.
- Kasper, W., The Future of Ecumenism, *Theology Digest* 49, 3 (2002) 203-210.

- Kasper, W., Il futuro dell'ecumenismo in Italia dopo la Novo millennio ineunte e la Charta ecumenica, Lettera di collegamento 27, 38 (2002) 12-27.
- Kasper, W., The New Situation Ecumenism is Facing, Origins 32, 5 (2002)
- Kasper, W., Peace in the World, Dialogue among Christians and with Other Religions, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 2/1725 (2002) 9. = Pace nel mondo, dialogo fra i cristiani e fra le religioni (=Il Regno documenti 47, 3/896 (2002) 66f).
- Kasper, W., Present Situation and Future of the Ecumenical Movement, Information Service 109/1-2 (2002) 11-20. = Situation actuelle et avenir du mouvement œcuménique (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 14-23).
- Kasper, W., Situazione e visione del movimento ecumenico, Il Regno attualità 47, 4/897 (2002) 132-141.
- Knauer, P., Gemeinschaft im Wort Gottes: zur Frage der eucharistischen Gastfreundschaft, Herder Korrespondenz 56, 6 (2002) 291-295.
- Koslowski, J., Pfingstkirchen, charismatische Bewegung und Ökumene: eine aktuelle Analyse, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 6 (2002) 106-109.
- Larchet, J. C., La questione del Filioque nella recente chiarificazione del consiglio pontificale per l'unità dei cristiani (seconda ed ultima parte), Italia ortodossa 24, ½ (2002) 12-32
- Larchet, J. C., La question du filioque. À propos de la récente "clarification" du Conseil pontifical pour la promotion de l'unité des chrétiens, Theologia 70, 4 (1999) 761-812.
- Lauster, J., Verso la piena comunione, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 143-152. Maffeis, A., La figura del vescovo nel dialogo ecumenico, Oecumenica Civitas
- 2, 2 (2002) 247-260. McPartlan, P., Le prospettive dimenticate, p. 366-371 in: Fisichella, R., ed., // Concilio Vaticano II: recezione e attualità alla luce del Giubileo. Cinisello Balsamo (Milano): San Paolo, 2000.
- Meyer, H., Der göttliche Impuls der Communio, *Ostkirchliche Studien* 51, 2 (2002) 108-119.
- Meyer, H., Kirchesein im ökumenischen Gespräch: das ekklesiologische Selbstverständnis der eigenen Kirche im Dialog mit den anderen Kirchen, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 2 (2002) 131-144.
- Murphy-O'Connor, C., The Question of Authority, *Origins* 32, 22 (2002)
- Neuhaus, R. J., Multi-speed Ecumenism, First Things 123 (2002) 67f.
- Neumann, B., Die Frage der Rechtfertigung im Dialog der katholischen Kirche mit den Freikirchen, *Catholica* 56, 3 (2002) 193-211.
- Neuner, P., Aufruf zur Diskussion über das Votum der EKD zum geordneten Miteinander bekenntnisverschiedener Kirchen, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 1 (2002) 93.
- Norwood, D., Unity in Reconciled Diversity, *One in Christ* 37, 3 (2002) 65-71. Ocáriz, F., Primato di Pietro ed ecumenismo, p. 372-383 in: Fisichella, R., ed., Il Concilio Vaticano II: recezione e aftualità alla luce del Giubileo. Cinisello Balsamo (Milano): San Paolo, 2000.
- Oeldemann, J., Rechtfertigung und Theosis im Kontext des ökumenischen Dialogs mit der Orthodoxie, *Catholica* 56, 3 (2002) 173-192.

  Oppegaard, S., The Significance of Regional Agreements for International Dialogues: How do we Dialogue on God's Saving Presence?, p. 3-13 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.
- Oppenheim, P., "Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis" als Gesprächsangebot?, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 469-473.
- Ouellet, M., Der päpstliche Rat zur Förderung der Einheit der Christen im Jahre 2001, *Catholica* 56, 2 (2002) 87-110.
  Pannenberg, W., Anglikanismus und Ökumene, *Kerygma und Dogma* 48, 3
- (2002) 197-202
- Paris: le CECEF répond à l'ACAT sur l'intercommunion, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 270 (2002) 11f.
- Plathow, M., Evangelische Identität und Kirchengemeinschaft, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, Beilage zu: 6 (2002) I-X.
- Plenary Meeting of the Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity: Study Documents, Information Service 109/1-2 (2002) 20-42. = Documents d'étude pour l'assemblée plénière (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 24-47).

- Pontificium Consilium pro Laicis, *Ecumenismo e dialogo interreligioso: il contributo dei fedeli laici: seminario di studio, Vaticano, 22-23 giugno 2001* (Laici oggi). Città del Vaticano: Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 2002.
- Radano, J. A., John Paul II and a Common Date for Easter, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 5 (2002) 3-8.
- Radano, J. A., Utunum sint: John Paul II's Ecumenical Commitment, p. 71-80 in: New Catholic Encyclopedia: Jubilee Volume, the Wojtyla Years. Detroit/Washington, DC: Gale Group/The Catholic University of America, 2001.
- Reierson, G. B., Ecumenism from "The Bottom Up", *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 3 (2002) 11-15.
- Ritschl, D., Kommentar zum Votum der EKD zum geordneten Miteinander bekenntnisverschiedener Kirchen, Ökumenische Rundschau 51,1 (2002) 92.
- Rusch, W. G., An Ecumenist Looks at Two Centuries, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 6 (2002) 1-7.
- Scherle, P., Woran uns liegt: Überlegungen zum evangelischen Abendmahlsverständnis im ökumenischen Horizont, *Ökumenische Rundschau* 51, 3 (2002) 369-379.
- Schuegraf, O., Notwendige äussere Ordnung in unterschiedlichen Vorstellungen?, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 463-468.
- Schütte, H., "Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis": ein Votum des Rats der Evangelischen Kirche in Deutschland, *Bausteine für die Einheit der Christen* 42, 165/166 (2002) 19-23.
- Scola, A., Ecclesiologia in prospettiva ecumenica: qualche linea di metodo, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 377-402.
- Sgroi, P., Per una teologia del dialogo: la koinonia come fondamento dell'ecumenismo, del dialogo interreligioso e della teologia del pluralismo religioso, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 2 (2002) 143-152. (=*Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 403-427).
- Slenczka, N., Die Einheit der Kirche und die Wahrheit der Reformation, Kerygma und Dogma 48, 3 (2002) 172-195.
- Soujeole, B.-D. de la, The Minister in Marriage: Ecumenical Considerations, *Theology Digest* 49, 2 (2002) 121-127.
- Stahl, R., Stellungnahme der AcK in Bayern zu "Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis", Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 473f.
- Staples, P., Some Ecumenical Observations, p. 259-263 in: Jongeneel, J. A. B., ed., Experiences of the Spirit: Conference on Pentecostal and Charismatic Research in Europe at Utrecht University 1989 (Studien zur interkulturellen Geschichte des Christentums 68). Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 1991.
- Tanner, M., The Ecumenical Agenda, p. 271-291 in: Hannaford, R., ed., A Church for the Twenty-first Century: Agenda for the Church of England (Canterbury Books). Leominster: Gracewing, 1998.
- Tanner, M., The Relations between Multilateral Dialogues and Their Impact on International Bilateral Dialogues and on Regional Agreements, and the Ways in which Bilateral and Regional Agreements Impact the Faith and Order Agenda, p. 46-56 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.
- Theodorou, E. D., Der Ökumenismus an der Schwelle des dritten Millenniums aus orthodoxer Sicht, *Theologia* 70, 4 (1999) 593-614.
- Thönissen, W., Ein offner Prozess: Eucharistiegemeinschaft im Kontext von Kirchengemeinschaft, Herder Korrespondenz 56, 10 (2002) 524-528.
- Thönissen, W., Die Problematik von Grund und Gestalt: eine Skizze zur ökumenischen Hermeneutik, *Catholica* 56, 2 (2002) 111-127.
- Tjørhom, O., The Goal of Visible Unity: Reaffirming Our Commitment, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 1 (2002) 162-171.
- Türk, M., Communio as Unity: A Key Concept of Ecumenical Dialogue, p. 14-22 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., *Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues*:

- The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.
- Turckheim, G. de, Hospitalité bien tempérée, *Actualité des religions* 40 (2002) 31.
- Urban, H.-J., "Damit die Welt glaube": der ökumenische Prozess im Dienst des christlichen Zeugnisess (Konfessionskundliche Schriften des Johann-Adam-Möhler-Instituts 22). Paderborn: Bonifatius, 2000.
- Urban, H.-J., Überlegungen aus katholischer Sicht zur EKD-Text Nr. 69 "Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis", Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 458-462.
- Vercruysse, J. E., Drie in één? Katholieken, orthodoxen en protestanten: enig in de éne oecumenische beweging?, *Collationes* 32, (2002) 165-186.
- Vidalis, M., La célébration des mariages interconfessionnels en Suisse, p. 424-445 in: Join-Lambert, A. & Klöckner, M., eds., *Liturgia et unitas: liturgiewissenschaftliche und ökumenische Studien zur Eucharistie und zum gottesdienstlichen Leben in der Schweiz.* Freiburg/Genève: Universitätsverlag/Labor et Fides, 2001.
- Vischer, L., World Communions, the WCC and the Ecumenical Movement, The Ecumenical Review 54, 1 (2002) 142-161.
- Wagner, H., ed., Einheit aber wie? Zur Tragfähigkeit der ökumenischen Formel vom "differenzierten Konsens" (Quaestiones disputatae 184). Freiburg/Basel/Wien: Herder, 2000.
- Wainwright, G., Ut unum sint, ut mundus credat: Classic Ecumenism from J. R. Mott to John Paul II, p. 35-48 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., *Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey* (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.
- Welker, M., Le pluralisme œcuménique: l'exemple de la sainte cène, *Positions luthériennes* 50, 4 (2002) 363-372.
- Wicks, J., Lights and Shadows over Catholic Ecumenism, *Centro Pro Unione Bulletin* 61 (2002) 11-17.
- Wood, D., Dialogue, Organic Union and Covenanting in Australia, p. 29-35
  in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190).
  Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

- 5ème réunion des chefs des églises orientales orthodoxes du Moyen-Orient, *Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient* 44 (2002) 18-22.
- Bishops' Conference of England and Wales, Ecumenical Declarations of Welcome, *Briefing* 32, 10 (2002) 35-37.
- Evangelische Kirche in Deutschland. Rat, Kirchengemeinschaft nach evangelischem Verständnis: ein Votum zum geordneten Miteinander bekenntnisverschiedener Kirchen, Ökumenische Rundschau 51,1 (2002) 84-01
- Le Caire: 4ème rencontre des chefs des églises orthodoxes orientales, *Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient* 43, 1/3 (2001) 143-149.

## A-B: (2000) Anglican-Baptist International Forum, Europe phase - Norwich meeting INFORMATION

- Cupit, L. A., A Report on Recent Bilateral Conversations between the Baptist World Alliance and Others, p. 73 fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.
- Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican
   Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Baptist International Forum (ABIF), p.
   68f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The
   Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International

*Dialogues of Christian World Communions.* (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-B: (2001) Anglican-Baptist International Forum, Asia phase - Yangon meeting

#### INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Baptist International Forum (ABIF), p. 68f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-B: (2002) Anglican-Baptist International Conversations, Africa phase - Nairobi meeting INFORMATION

INFORMATION

Anglicans et autres chrétiens: baptistes, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 75f. Communiqué, *Anglican World* 105 (2002) 38.

Sgroi, P., Anglican-Baptist International Conversations (ABIC), Studi ecumenici 20, 2 (2002) 206.

## A-L: Anglican-Lutheran International Commission REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Root, M., Porvoo in the Context of the Worldwide Anglican-Lutheran Dialogue, p. 15-33 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-L: General INFORMATION

Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations Involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Anglican-Lutheran, p. 82 fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Gaßmann, G., World-wide Anglican-Lutheran Context, *The Window* 50 (1996) [2].

## A-L: (1995-10) Commission meeting on diaconate - Hanover REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Anglican-Lutheran Report on Diaconate Offers New Ecumenical Opportunity, *The Window* 51 (1996) [4].

McPartlan, P., The Permanent Diaconate: Catholic and Ecumenical Perspectives, *Briefing* 32, 4 (2002) 10-16.

## A-L: (2000-02) Alexandria meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Lutheran International Working Group (ALIWG), p. 67f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., *Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions*. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-L: (2001-03) Skálholt meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Lutheran International Working Group (ALIWG), p. 67f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Luthériens et autres chrétiens: anglicans, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 230f.

## A-L: (2002-05) Porto Alegre meeting INFORMATION

Burigana, R., Anglicani e luterani a Porto Alegre, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 12/905 (2002) 417.

Final Meeting of the Anglican-Lutheran International Working Group: Report "Growth in Communion" to be Submitted to Governing Bodies, LWI-Lutheran World Information 4/5 (2002) 16.

Lideranças anglicanas e luteranas visitam a sede da IECLB, *Nuevo siglo* 2, 7 (2002) 2.

Meeting of the Anglican-Lutheran International Working Group, Porto Alegre, Brazil, 11-14 May 2002, *Anglican World* 106 (2002) 54f.

Sgroi, P., Incontro finale del gruppo di lavoro internazionale anglicanoluterano, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 479.

#### A-L\africa

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Bakare, S., The African Anglican-Lutheran Commission and the Porvoo Common Statement, p. 34-46 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-L\can: (2001-07) Waterloo Declaration INFORMATION

Anglikanisch-lutherische Abendmahlsgemeinschaft in Kanada, Orthodoxes Forum 16, 1 (2002) 88.

Anglikanska in luteranska Cerkev v Kanadi, *V Edinosti* 56 (2001) 190. Canada, *Irénikon* 74, 3 (2001) 435.

Cornélis, J., Les anglicans et les luthériens du Canada ont signé un accord de communion, *Unité des chrétiens* 125 (2002) 35.

Members of the Lutheran Biennial Convention and of the Anglican General Synod [...], *Ecumenism* 36, 144 (2001) 42.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Simons, J., The Waterloo Declaration: Ecumenical Breakthrough and New Beginning, *Ecumenism* 36, 144 (2001) 34-38.

## A-L\eng-g: (1991-) Meissen Commission INFORMATION

Meissen Commission Reports on Five Years of English-German Relationships, *The Window* 54 (1997) [4].

#### A-L\eng-g: (1991/96) Meissen Report REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Reardon, M., Intercommunion and the Meissen and Porvoo Agreements, *One in Christ* 37, 1 (2002) 57-72.

## A-L \eng-ire-nordic-bal regions: (1992) Porvoo Common Statement REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Bakare, S., The African Anglican-Lutheran Commission and the Porvoo Common Statement, p. 34-46 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.

Beck, B. E., The Porvoo Common Statement: A Methodist Response, p. 245-257 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.

Bouteneff, P., The Porvoo Common Statement: An Orthodox Response, p. 231-244 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement*. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications. 2002.

Busch Nielsen, K., Apostolicity and Succession in the Porvoo Common Statement: without Confusion, without Separation, p. 182-200 in:

- Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement.* Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Forsberg, J., The Reception and Implementation of the Porvoo Common Statement in the Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland, p. 59-65 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement.* Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Furberg, T., The Sending and Mission of the Church in the Porvoo Common Statement, p. 201-215 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Hill, C., The Porvoo Process in the Church of England, p. 47-53 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Holze, H., The Ecclesiology of the Porvoo Common Statement: A Lutheran Perspective, p. 98-113 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B, Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Lodberg, P., The Danish "No" to Porvoo, p. 76-86 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Meyer, H., Some Observations Concerning the Unity Concept in the Porvoo Common Statement, p. 132-145 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Neill, J., The Porvoo Process in the Church of Ireland, p. 53-59 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Pädam, T., The Reception and Implementation of the Porvoo Common Statement in Estonia, p. 65-69 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Puglisi, J. F., The Porvoo Common Statement from a Catholic Perspective, p. 219-230 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Reardon, M., Intercommunion and the Meissen and Porvoo Agreements, One in Christ 37, 1 (2002) 57-72.
- Root, M., Porvoo in the Context of the Worldwide Anglican-Lutheran Dialogue, p. 15-33 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications. 2002.
- Saarinen, R., The Porvoo Common Statement and the Leuenberg Concord: Are They Compatible?, p. 258-269 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement.* Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Schumacher, J., Entering a New Millennium Together: Porvoo and the Church of Norway, p. 70-75 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement*. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.
- Sykes, S. W., The Doctrine of the Church in the Porvoo Common Statement, p. 89-97 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement*. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.

- Tanner, M., The Concept of Unity in the Porvoo Common Statement: Visible Unity and Ecclesial Diversity, p. 114-131 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement.* Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002. Tjørhom, O., Apostolicity and Apostolic Succession in the Porvoo Common Statement: Necessary or a Mere "Optional Extra" in the Church's Life?, p. 162-181 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement.* Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications. 2002.
- Tustin, D., The Background and Genesis of the Porvoo Common Statement, p. 3-14 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., *Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement*. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002.

## $A\text{-}L \setminus eng\text{-}ire\text{-}nordic\text{-}bal regions:}$ (2002-03) Porvoo communion church leaders' consultation - Tallinn INFORMATION

Forsberg, J., Porvoo kirkkoyhteisön toinen kirkonjohtajien neuvottelu 7.-12.3.2002 Tallinnassa, *Reseptio* 1 (2002) 3.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

- Church Leadership in a Changing World: Conference Recommendations, *Reseptio* 1 (2002) 6-9.
- The Porvoo Communion Church Leaders' Consultation: Briefing Document, Reseptio 1 (2002) 4f.

## A-L $\$ usa: (1997) Concordat of Agreement reactions INFORMATION

Episcopalians and Lutherans in the USA to Vote on Concordat of Agreement this Summer, *The Window* 52 (1997) [2].

## A-L\usa: (2001-01) Full communion relationship INFORMATION

Anglikanska in luteranska Cerkev v Zdruzenih drzavah Amerike, V Edinosti 56 (2001) 188.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

 Cady, S. G., Webber, C. L., Lutherans and Episcopalians Together: A Guide to Understanding. Cambridge, MA/Boston: Cowley Publications, 2001.
 Marshall, R. F., Psalmic Bishops, Currents in Theology and Mission 29, 1 (2002) 40-44.

## A-L-R\eng-f: Official Dialogue between the Church of England and the Lutheran-Reformed Permanent Council in France INFORMATION

- Ceremonies in Canterbury and Paris [...] Marked an Historical Accord between the Main French Protestant Churches and the Anglican Churches of Britain and Ireland [...], CEC-KEK Monitor 36 (2001) 11.
- An Historical Accord between France's Main Protestant Churches and the Anglican Churches of Britain and Ireland, *Ecumenism* 36, 144 (2001) 39.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Jurgensen, W., Analyse luthérienne à la lumière de l'accord de Reuilly, *Unité des chrétiens* 127 (2002) 18-22.

## A-M: (1996) "Sharing in the Apostolic Communion" INFORMATION

Nordby, L. E., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the World Methodist Council and Member Churches 1997-2001: Anglican-Methodist dialogue, p. 88 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth

Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-M \ eng: Anglican-Methodist Conversations in Great Britain INFORMATION

Anglican-Methodist Unity Closer, The Tablet 256, 8443 (2002) 28.

Avis, P. D. L., Anglican-Methodist: Church of England; Methodist Church, p. 28-30 in: Best, T. F., *Survey of Church Union Negotiations*, 1999-2002 (Faith and Order Paper 192). Geneva: World Council of Churches. 2002.

Maxey, S., United Kingdom: Informal Conversations: Church of England; Methodist Church; United Reformed Church, p. 25-28 in: Best, T. F., Survey of Church Union Negotiations, 1999-2002 (Faith and Order Paper 192). Geneva: World Council of Churches, 2002.

The Methodist Conference of Great Britain and the General Synod of the Church of England [...], *Ecumenism* 37, 148 (2002) 37.

Ein neuer Vorstoß für anglikanisch-methodistische Kirchengemeinschaft, Herder Korrespondenz 56, 2 (2002) 106.

A Step Toward the Reunion of the Church of England and the Methodist Church [...], *Ecumenism* 37, 145 (2002) 40.

## A-M\eng: (2001-12) Common Declaration INFORMATION

Aubé-Élie, C., Un pas vers l'unité, Unité des chrétiens 128 (2002) 40.

Burigana, R., Accordo tra anglicani e metodisti in Inghilterra?, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 2/895 (2002) 50.

Burigana, R., Inghilterra - anglicani e metodisti, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 16/909 (2002) 559.

English Anglicans and Methodists Move One Step Closer to Church Unity, ENI-Ecumenical News International 13 (2002) 16f. =Les anglicans et les méthodistes anglais progressent sur la voie de l'unité (=ENI-Nouvelles œcuméniques internationales 13 (2002) 17f).

Nordby, L. E., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the World Methodist Council and Member Churches 1997-2001: England, p. 88f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., *Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions*. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Rapprochement entre anglicans et méthodistes, *La Documentation catholique* 99, 16/2276 (2002) 795.

Sgroi, P., Verso un "patto" fra anglicani e metodisti in Inghilterra, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 1 (2002) 91.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Carter, D., The Proposed Anglican-Methodist Covenant, One in Christ 37, 2 (2002) 67-80.

Davie, M., "Yes" and "No": A Response to Angus MacLeay, Unity Digest 25 (2002) 9-17.

MacLeay, A., A Different Perspective on the Anglican Methodist Formal Conversations, *Unity Digest* 25 (2002) 4-8.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Anglicans et autres chrétiens: méthodistes, Irénikon 75, 1 (2002) 72-75.

## A-M-R $\backslash$ eng: Informal conversations planned INFORMATION

Avis, P., Anglican-Methodist: Church of England; Methodist Church, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 3 (2002) 394-396.

Maxey, S., United Kingdom: Informal Conversations: Church of England; Methodist Church; United Reformed Church, p. 25-28 in: Best, T. F., Survey of Church Union Negotiations, 1999-2002 (Faith and Order Paper 192). Geneva: World Council of Churches, 2002.

Maxey, S., United Kingdom: Informal Conversations: Church of England; Methodist Church; United Reformed Church, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 3 (2002) 391-394.

## A-Mo\usa: (2001-11) Bethlehem (PA) meeting INFORMATION

Sgroi, P., Moravi e anglicani americani verso la condivisione eucaristica, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 1 (2002) 90.

## A-Mo\usa: (2003?) Eucharistic sharing INFORMATION

An Interim Agreement for Eucharistic Sharing has been Approved [...] between the Moravian Church in America and the Episcopal Church, *Ecumenism* 37, 148 (2002) 37.

New Moravian-Episcopal Link, The Window 70 (2002) [4].

## A-O: Anglican-Orthodox Joint Doctrinal Commission INFORMATION

Limouris, G., An Account of the Bilateral International Dialogues of the Orthodox Church: International Commission of the Orthodox-Anglican Theological Dialogue, p. 100 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., *Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions*. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-O: (1998-06) Bucharest meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: International Commission of the Anglican-Orthodox Theological Dialogue (ICAOTD), p. 71 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-O: (1999-10) Salisbury meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: International Commission of the Anglican-Orthodox Theological Dialogue (ICAOTD), p. 71 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-O: (2001-02) Volos meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: International Commission of the Anglican-Orthodox Theological Dialogue (ICAOTD), p. 71 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-O: (2002-06) Abergavenny meeting INFORMATION

International Commission of the Anglican-Orthodox Theological Dialogue, Communiqué: Abergavenny, Wales, 26th-28th June 2002, *Anglican World* 107 (2002) 41.

Sgroi, P., La commissione internazionale di dialogo fra anglicani ed ortodossi, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 478f.

## A-OC: General INFORMATION

Eßer, G., Anglikanisch - alt-katholische Beziehungen, ACK aktuell 2 (2002) 32f.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Holeton, D. R., Eucharistic Epiclesis: A Possible Way Forward for Communion among the Churches, p. 160-168 in: Join-Lambert, A. & Klöckner, M., eds., Liturgia et unitas: liturgiewissenschaftliche und ökumenische Studien zur Eucharistie und zum gottesdienstlichen Leben in der Schweiz. Freiburg/Genève: Universitätsverlag/Labor et Fides, 2001.

## A-OC: (1998) Frankfurt meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Old Catholic International Co-ordinating Council (AOCICC), p. 71f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-OC: (1999) Norwich meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Old Catholic International Co-ordinating Council (AOCICC), p. 71f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-OC: (2000) Rotterdam meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Old Catholic International Co-ordinating Council (AOCICC), p. 71f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-OC: (2001-04) 8th Annual Seminar - Oberplanitzing INFORMATION

Anglicans et autres chrétiens: vieux-catholiques, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 227f.

## A-: (2001-07) Preparatory meeting - Midhurst INFORMATION

Alemazian, N., Bilateral Dialogues of the Armenian Catholicosate of the Great House of Cilicia (Armenian Orthodox Church), Antelias-Lebanon: Anglican-Oriental Orthodox International Commission, p. 95-97 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Anglicans et autres chrétiens: préchalcédoniens, Irénikon 74, 3 (2001) 417.

## A-: (2002-11) Etchmiadzin meeting INFORMATION

Burigana, R., Accordo tra anglicani e ortodossi orientali, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 22/915 (2002) 772.

#### A-R: General

#### INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Anglican-Reformed Dialogue, p. 107 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions. (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-RC: Anglican-Roman Catholic International Commission (ARCIC) INFORMATION

The ARCIC Dialogue on Mary, 1999 - Present, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 55. =Le dialogue ARCIC sur Marie, de 1999 à nos jours (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 61).

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

- Bruno, F., Collegialità e sinodalità: categorie per un papato ecumenico alla luce del dialogo cattolico romano-anglicano. Venetiarum: [s.n.], 2002.
- Geldbach, E., Das Verhältnis der anglikanischen Gemeinschaft zu Rom, p. 82-99 in: Brosseder, J. & Link, H.-G., eds., Gemeinschaft der Kirchen: Traum oder Wirklichkeit? (Ökumene konkret 3). Zürich/Neukirchen-Vluyn: Benzinger/Neukirchener Verlag, 1993.
- Hind, J., Primacy and Unity Some Anglican Reflections, *One in Christ* 37, 1 (2002) 31-35.
- Meeking, B., Let Devotion Develop Communion, p. 473-501 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., *Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey* (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.
- Sagovsky, N., The ARCIC Statements, p. 129-148 in: Hoose, B., ed., Authority in the Roman Catholic Church: Theory and Practice. Aldershot: Ashgate, 2002.
- Tanner, M., The Vision of Malta: A Way for the Future?, p. 153-168 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., *Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey* (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.

#### A-RC: General

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Together Let us Seek Ways to Overcome Obstacles, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 26/1749 (2002) 4.

Reardon, M., Reardon, R., Anglican-Roman Catholic Families, *Centro* - *News from the Anglican Centre in Rome* 8, 4 (2002) 9.

## A-RC: (1997-08) Scripture, tradition, authority draft-Alexandria, Virginia INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Roman Catholic International Commission (ARCIC), p. 69f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

# A-RC: (1998-09) ARCIC-II joint statement on the gift of authority: Authority in the Church III - Palazzola (Rome) meeting INFORMATION

The ARCIC Dialogue on Authority in the Church, 1994-1998, *Information Service* 109/1-2(2002) 54f. =Le dialogue ARCIC sur l'autorité dans l'église, 1994-1998 (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 60f).

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

- Denaux, A., L'autorité dans l'église: un défi pour les anglicans et les catholiques romains, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 10-34.
- McManus, E., The Re-reception of Papal Primacy by ARCIC II, *One in Christ* 37, 1 (2002) 16-30.
- Sherlock, C., Re-receiving God's "Yes" in Christ Together: Some Issues in The Gift of Authority, *One in Christ* 37, 1 (2002) 3-15.

## A-RC: (1999-09) Mississauga meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Roman Catholic International Commission (ARCIC), p. 69f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## A-RC: (2000-05) Mississauga meeting INFORMATION

The Mississauga Consultation, May 2000, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 56. =La consultation de Mississauga, mai 2000 (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 61f).

## A-RC: (2000-08) ARCIC-II Paris meeting INFORMATION

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: Anglican-Roman Catholic International Commission (ARCIC), p. 69f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Mednarodna anglikansko-katoliska teoloska komisija, V Edinosti 56 (2001) 183

# A-RC: (2001) International Anglican-Roman Catholic Commission for Unity and Mission (IARCCUM) INFORMATION

ARC Dialogue, *Briefing* 32, 2 (2002) 12.

Aubé-Élie, C., La commission catholique-anglicane rencontre le pape, Unité des chrétiens 126 (2002) 42.

Bolen, D., Anglican Communion-Catholic Church Relations, *L'Osservatore Romano*, *English ed.* 35, 17/1740 (2002) 9f.

Catholics and Anglicans Agree on Mary, *The Tablet* 256, 8445 (2002) 30. Catholiques et autres chrétiens: anglicans, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 566f. Communiqué, *Anglican World* 105 (2002) 38f.

Hamid, D., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the Anglican Communion, 1997-2001: the Anglican-Roman Catholic International Working Group (ARCWG), p. 70 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

A New International Commission, November 2001, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 57. =Une nouvelle consultation internationale, novembre 2001 (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 62).

Nova delovna skupina "Mednarodna anglikansko-katoliska komisija za edinosti in misijo", V Edinosti 57, (2002) 281.

Nuevo grupo de trabajo ecuménico anglicano-católico, *Nuevo siglo* 2, 1 (2002) 6.

Sgroi, P., La "Commissione anglicano-cattolica per l'unità e la missione" comincia i propri lavori, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 1 (2002) 90.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

International Anglican-Roman Catholic Commission for Unity and Mission, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 154-156. =Commission international anglicane-catholique pour l'unité et la mission (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 158-160).

### A-RC: (2001-09) ARCIC meeting on mariology - Dublin INFORMATION

Anglicans et autres chrétiens: catholiques, *Irénikon* 74, 2 (2001) 226f. Anglicans et autres chrétiens: catholiques, *Irénikon* 74, 3 (2001) 416f.

Anglikansko-katoliska teoloska komisija ja imela od 27. avgusta do 3. septembra 2001, *V Edinosti* 57, (2002) 283.

Bolen, D., Anglican Communion-Catholic Church Relations, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 17/1740 (2002) 9f.

Members of the Anglican Roman Catholic International Commission [...], Ecumenism 36, 144 (2001) 40.

## A-RC: (2002-07) ARCIC meeting on mariology - Vienna INFORMATION

Anglican-Roman Catholic International Commission, Communiqué: Vienna, 18 July 2002, Anglican World 107 (2002) 40.

ARCIC II, *Information Service* 3/110 (2002) 180. =Commission international anglicane-catholique (ARCIC) (=Service d'information 3/110 (2002) 190f). Burigana, R., ARCIC, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 16/909 (2002) 559.

Catholics and Anglicans Agree on Mary, *The Tablet* 256, 8445 (2002) 30. Sgroi, P., Comunicato dell'ARCIC, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 477.

## A-RC\usa: (2002-03) Menlo Park meeting INFORMATION

Sgroi, P., La consulta anglicano-romano cattolica negli USA e il problema dell'autorità, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 2 (2002) 209.

## AC-CC: (2001-07) Guidelines for Admission to the Eucharist INFORMATION

The Announcement that Chaldean Catholics and Assyrians can Receive Communion at Each Other's Liturgies [...], Ecumenism 36, 144 (2001) 41.

Aubé-Élie, C., Rapprochements œcuméniques dans les églises d'Orient, *Unité des chrétiens* 126 (2002) 40.

Bouwen, F., Assyriens et chaldéens: admission mutuelle à l'eucharistie, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, 3/4 (2002) 333-335.

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: Église assyrienne d'Orient, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 564-566.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Oeldemann, J., Wachsende Gemeinschaft zwischen den Kirchen ostsyrischer Tradition: Hintergrund und Bedeutung der vatikanischen Richtlinien für die eucharistische Gastfreundschaft zwischen Assyrern und Chaldäern, Der christliche Osten 57, 1 (2002) 19-22.

Réflexion sur l'admission à l'eucharistie entre l'église chaldéenne et l'église assyrienne d'Orient, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, 3/4 (2002) 338-347.

Thöle, R., Gegenseitige Zulassung zur Kommunion vereinbart, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 1 (2002) 13f.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Admission à l'eucharistie entre l'église chaldéenne et l'église assyrienne d'Orient, *La Documentation catholique* 99, 5/2265 (2002) 213f.

Guidelines for Admission to the Eucharist between the Chaldean Church and the Assyrian Church of the East, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 148-152. =Orientations pour l'admission à l'eucharistie entre l'église chaldéenne et l'église assyrienne d'Orient (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 151-156).

Orientamenti per l'ammissione all'eucaristia fra la chiesa caldea e la chiesa assira dell'Oriente, *La Vita in Cristo e nella chiesa* 51, 1 (2002) 42f.

Orientations pour l'admission à l'eucharistie entre l'église chaldéenne et l'église assyrienne d'Orient, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51,3/4(2002)335-338.

Richtlinien für die Zulassung zur Eucharistie zwischen der Chaldäischen Kirche und der Assyrischen Kirche des Ostens, *Der christliche Osten* 57, 1 (2002) 23-25.

# AC-RC: Mixed Committee for Theological Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Assyrian Church of the East INFORMATION

The Assyrian Church of the East, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 52f. =L'église assyrienne de l'Orient (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 57f).

## $\begin{tabular}{ll} AC-RC: (2001-11) Catholic-Assyrian Committee seventh meeting - Rome \\ INFORMATION \end{tabular}$

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: Église assyrienne d'Orient, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 64f.

The Joint Committee for Theological Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Assyrian Church of the East, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 153. =Comité mixte pour le dialogue théologique entre l'église catholique et l'église assyrienne d'Orient (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 156f).

Septième réunion du comité mixte pour le dialogue théologique entre l'église catholique et l'église assyrienne de l'Orient, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, 3/4 (2002) 408-410.

# AIC-R: (1999-10) Dialogue between the African Instituted Churches and the World Alliance of Reformed Churches INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: African Instituted Churches-Reformed Dialogue, p. 110f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## B-L: General INFORMATION

Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Baptist-Lutheran, p. 83 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## B-Mn: Baptist-Mennonite Theological Conversations INFORMATION

Cupit, L. A., A Report on Recent Bilateral Conversations between the Baptist World Alliance and Others, p. 73f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## B-O: Baptist-Orthodox preparatory dialogue INFORMATION

Cupit, L. A., A Report on Recent Bilateral Conversations between the Baptist World Alliance and Others, p. 73 fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## B-R: Baptist-Reformed Dialogue INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Baptist-Reformed Dialogue, p. 106 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## B-RC: Baptist-Roman Catholic International Conversations INFORMATION

Baptist-Catholic Relations, *Information Service* 109/1-2(2002)67f.=Relations baptistes-catholiques (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 73f).

## B-RC: (2000-12) Rome meeting INFORMATION

Cupit, L. A., A Report on Recent Bilateral Conversations between the Baptist World Alliance and Others, p. 73fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Radano, J. A., Baptist-Catholic Relations in 2001, *L'Osservatore Romano, English ed.* 35, 12/1735 (2002) 9.

## B-RC: (2001-12) Buenos Aires meeting INFORMATION

Buenos Aires: dialogan por primera vez en América Latina bautistas y católicos, *Renovación ecuménica* 34, 135 (2002) 30.

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: baptistes, Irénikon 75, 1 (2002) 66f.

Cupit, L. A., A Report on Recent Bilateral Conversations between the Baptist World Alliance and Others, p. 73fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Dialogan por la primera vez en América Latina bautistas y católicos, *Nuevo siglo* 2, 2 (2002) 1, 3.

Meeting of Baptist and Catholic Leaders, Buenos Aires, December 6-7 2001, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 166f. =Rencontre des responsables baptistes et catholiques, Buenos Aires (Argentine), 6-7 décembre 2001 (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 171-173).

Radano, J. A., Baptist-Catholic Relations in 2001, *L'Osservatore Romano*, *English ed.* 35, 12/1735 (2002) 9.

## B-RC\f: (1998) Reflections on baptism TEXTS AND PAPERS

Comité mixte baptiste-catholique en France, Convictions baptistes et catholiques sur le baptême (1998), *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 29-32.

Comité mixte baptiste-catholique en France, Convictions baptistes et catholiques sur le baptême et la cène-eucharistie, p. 244-257 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

## B-RC\f: (2001-03) Paris meeting TEXTS AND PAPERS

Convictions Baptistes et catholiques sur le baptême et la cène-eucharistie, p. 244-257 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

## $B\text{-}RC \setminus usa$ (sb): (2001-10) End of Southern Baptist-Roman Catholic Conversations

#### INFORMATION

In an October 23 Joint Statement, the Cosponsors of the U.S. Southern Baptist-Catholic Conversation have Announced the Termination of their Formal Conversations, *Ecumenism* 37, 145 (2002) 38.

## CEC-CCEE: (2001-04) Seventh European Ecumenical Encounter - Strasbourg

#### INFORMATION

Charta Oecumenica, ACK aktuell 2 (2002) 55.

Díez, J. L., Primer aniversario de la "Carta ecuménica europea", *Pastoral ecuménica* 19, 56 (2002) 239.

KEK-CCEE, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 235-237.

Maras, T., Pot ekumenske listine, V Edinosti 57, (2002) 99-104.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Carbonnier, J., Un événement oecuménique en Europe: la Charte oecuménique d'avril 2001, *Amitié* 1 (2002) 16-21.

En guise de conclusion: notre plus grande espérance de réconciliation et de paix, *Unité chrétienne* 148 (2002) 33f.

Fernández Rodríguez, P., La Carta ecuménica, una esperanza para el futuro, Renovación ecuménica 34, 136 (2002) 11-13.

García Hernando, J., ¿Qué se hizo...?, Pastoral ecuménica 19, 56 (2002) 127-135.

Gennadios, metr. d'Italia, La Charta oecumenica e la recezione nelle chiese ortodosse, Lettera di collegamento 27, 38 (2002) 42-50.

Ionita, V., Comment est née la charte œuménique européenne?, Unité chrétienne 148 (2002) 4-7.

Une lecture dynamique de la charte, *Unité chrétienne* 148 (2002) 8-32.

Long, G., La Charta oecumenica e l'Europa unita, *Lettera di collegamento* 27, 38 (2002) 39-41.

Parmentier, É., La charte œcuménique et son élaboration, *Amitié* 3 (2002) 19-24

Prieur, J.-M., La charte œcuménique européenne: aspects théologiques, Positions luthériennes 50, 3 (2002) 227-236.

Savio, V., Recezione della Charta oecumenica in Italia, Lettera di collegamento 27, 38 (2002) 54-56.

Sironi, E. M., Una "charta oecumenica" per l'Europa, *O Odigos* 20, 2 (2001) 3-6.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Carta ecuménica: directrices de la Conferencia de Iglesias Europeas (KEK) y del Consejo de Conferencias Episcopales Europeas (CCEE) con vistas a una colaboración creciente entre las iglesias en Europa, *Renovación ecuménica* 34, 136 (2002) 6-10.

Charta œcumenica: Leitlinien für die wachsende Zusammenarbeit unter den Kirchen in Europa, Ökumenisches Forum 23/24, (2000-2001) 389-398.

Charta Oecumenica: riktlinjer för det växande samarbetet mellan kyrkorna i Europa (Sveriges Kristna Råds skriftserie 1). Sundbyberg: Sveriges Kristna Råd. 2001.

Charte œcuménique européenne: lignes directrices en vue d'une collaboration croissante entre les églises en Europe, *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 57-64. Ekumenska listina, *V Edinosti* 56 (2001) 42-54.

## CEC-CCEE: (2002-01) Ottmaring meeting INFORMATION

Allemagne, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 265 (2002) 11.

The Annual Meeting of the Joint Commssion of the Conference of European Churches (KEK) and the Council of the Catholic Episcopal Conferences of Europe (CCEE), *Ecumenism* 37, 145 (2002) 40.

Aubé-Élie, C., Rencontre annuelle du comité conjoint de la KEK et du CCEE, Unité des chrétiens 127 (2002) 33.

Burigana, R., Charta oecumenica: primo bilancio, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 4/897 (2002) 130.

Gemeinsamer Ausschuss KEK-CCEE plant Fortsetzung zur Charta Oecumenica, ACK aktuell 2 (2002) 49-51.

Gemeinsamer Ausschuss KEK-CCEE plant Fortsetzung zur Charta Oecumenica, CEC-KEK Monitor 38 (2002) 5. =Charta Oecumenica

follow-up planned by CEC-CCEE joint committee (= CEC-KEK Monitor 38 (2002) 6).

KEK-CCEE, Irénikon 75, 1 (2002) 80f.

## CEC-CCEE: (2002-09) Ottmaring meeting INFORMATION

Un an après l'adoption de la charte œcuménique, *La Documentation catholique* 98, 19/2279 (2002) 947.

Burigana, R., Charta œcumenica - primo bilancio, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 18/911 (2002) 630.

Charta Oecumenica: A European Best Seller, *CEC-KEK Monitor* 41 (2002) 3f. =Consultation européenne sur la Charta Œcumenica Europäische Konsultation zur Charta Oecumenica aus, *ACK aktuell* 3 (2002) 50.

Zu einer Ökumenischen Konsultation über die Charta Oecumenica [...], Herder Korrespondenz 56, 10 (2002) 540.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

El ecumenismo en Europa y la carta ecuménica, *Relaciones Interconfesionale* s 26, 65 (2002) 74f.

Lettera da Ottmaring, Il Regno documenti 47, 17/910 (2002) 539.

#### CWC: (2001-05) VIII Forum on Bilateral Conversations - Annecy TEXTS AND PAPERS

Report of Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues, p. 57-65 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## D-R: Disciples of Christ-Reformed Dialogue INFORMATION

Lahutsky, N., Report on "Progress since the Last Forum" Disciples of Christ, p. 78-81 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications. 2002.

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Disciples of Christ-Reformed Dialogue, p. 107 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## ${\bf D\text{-}RC: Disciples of Christ-Roman Catholic International Commission for Dialogue}$

#### INFORMATION

Disciples of Christ-Roman Catholic Dialogue 1998-2001, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 62-65. =Dialogue avec les Disciples du Christ, 1998-2001 (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 68-71).

Lahutsky, N., Report on "Progress since the Last Forum" Disciples of Christ, p. 78-81 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## D-RC: (2001-05) Rome meeting INFORMATION

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: disciples, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 221-223.

## D-RC: (2002-05) Bose meeting INFORMATION

International Dialogue between the Disciples of Christ and the Catholic Church, *Information Service* 110/3 (2002) 177f. =Commission

internationale de dialogue entre les disciples du Christ et l'église catholique (=Service d'information 110/3 (2002) 189).

#### DOMBES: Dialogues des Dombes REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Clifford, C., Evolution du Groupe des Dombes, *Chrétiens en marche* 39, 75 (2002) 4f.

Turckheim, G. de, Où en est le groupe des Dombes?, *Actualité des religions* 44 (2002) 39.

#### DOMBES: (1996) Mary in the plan of God REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Llamas, E., Mariología y ecumenismo. En torno al documento mariano de les Dombes, *Diálogo ecuménico* 37, 118 (2002) 191-225.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Blancy, A., Jourjon, M., Groupe des Dombes, *Mary in the Plan of God and in the Communion of the Saints: Toward a Common Christian Understanding*. New York: Paulist Press, 2002.

## E-RC: Evangelical-Roman Catholic Dialogue INFORMATION

Alliance évangélique mondiale - église catholique romaine: consultations internationales, p. 237-243 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

Relationship with the World Evangelical Alliance, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 68f. =Relations avec l'Alliance Évangélique Mondiale (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 74f).

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Débats, p. 11-137 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

Geisler, N. L., MacKenzie, R. E., Roman Catholics and Evangelicals: Agreements and Differences. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 1995.

Rausch, T. P., ed., *Catholics and Evangelicals: Do They Share a Common Future?* New York/Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press, 2000.

#### E-RC: (1977-1984) Mission Report TEXTS AND PAPERS

Le dialogue catholique romain-évangélique sur la mission 1977-1984, p. 143-206 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

## E-RC\usa: Evangelical-Roman Catholic Relations in USA REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Rausch, T. P., ed., *Catholics and Evangelicals: Do They Share a Common Future?* New York/Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press, 2000.

## E-RC \ usa: (1994-03) Christian Mission in the third millennium TEXTS AND PAPERS

Évangéliques et catholiques ensemble: la mission chrétienne au troisième millénaire, p. 207-226 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

## E-RC\usa: (1997-10) Theme on salvation - New York REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

George, T., "The Gift of Salvation" (Le don du salut): une remarquable déclaration sur ce que nous entendons par l'Évangile, p. 227-230 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Le don du salut (The Gift of Salvation), p. 231-236 in: Schweitzer, L., ed., *Le dialogue catholiques-évangéliques: débats et documents* (La foi en dialogue). Vaux-sur-Seine/Cléon d'Andran: Edifac/Excelsis, 2002.

## E-RC\usa: (2002) Your word is truth TEXTS AND PAPERS

Your Word is Truth, First Things 125 (2002) 38-42.

## FC-O\g: (2002-07) Free Churches-Orthodox Dialogue in Germany INFORMATION

Erste Begegnung Orthodoxe Kirchen-evangelische Freikirchen, ACK aktuell 2 (2002) 19.

## FO: Faith and Order Commission. General INFORMATION

75e anniversaire de Foi et Constitution, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 271 (2002) 3-5.

75ème anniversaire de Foi et Constitution, Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient 44 (2002) 49.

Sgroi, P., 75 anni di Fede e Costituzione, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 475.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Le 75e anniversaire de Foi et Constitution, *Unité des chrétiens* 128 (2002) 4.
Crow, jr., P. A., The Roman Catholic Presence in the Faith and Order Movement, *Centro Pro Unione Bulletin* 62 (2002) 3-15.

García Hernando, J., A los setenta y cinco años de la primera conferencia de "Fe y Constitución" del Consejo Ecuménico de las Iglesias, *Pastoral ecuménica* 19, 57 (2002) 263-269.

Hogger, M., Foi et Constitution: 75 ans, *Chrétiens en marche* 39, 76 (2002)

Kärkkäinen, V.-M., The Spirit in the Ecumenical Movement's Theologies, p. 98-104 in: Pneumatology: the Holy Spirit in Ecumenical, International and Contextual Perspective. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2002.

Kasper, W., The Celebration of the 75th Anniversary of the First World Conference on Faith and Order, *Information Service* 110/3 (2002) 173-176.
 Célébration du 75e anniversaire de la première conférence mondiale de Foi et Constitution (=Service d'information 110/3 (2002) 184-187).

Tanner, M., Lausanne 75: The Search for Justice and Peace, *Anglican World* 107 (2002) 10f.

Wicks, J., Ecumenismo: dottrina e teologia, p. 354-365 in: Fisichella, R., ed., Il Concilio Vaticano II: recezione e attualità alla luce del Giubileo. Cinisello Balsamo (Milano): San Paolo, 2000.

#### FO: (1982) Lima BEM Report REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Houtepen, A. W. J., Lima - 20 Jahre später, *Internationale kirchliche Zeitschrift* 92, 3 (2002) 179-209.

Kraft, S., Lex orandi - lex credendi: altkatholische Überlegungen 20 Jahre nach der Veröffentlichung der Dokumente und der Eucharistischen Liturgie von Lima, *Internationale kirchliche Zeitschrift* 92, 3 (2002) 235-244.

Neuner, P., Impulse und ihre Folgen: eine systematisch-theologische Bilanz zur Wirkungsgeschichte der Lima-Dokumente, *Ökumenische Rundschau* 51, 4 (2002) 403-424.

Radano, J. A., The BEM Report has made a Big Contribution, *L'Osservatore Romano*, *English ed.* 35, 8/1731 (2002) 10.

Vischer, L., The Convergence Texts on Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry: How did They take Shape? What have They Achieved?, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 4 (2002) 431-454.

Vischer, L., Die Konvergenztexte über Taufe, Abendmahl und Amt: wie sind sie entstanden? Was haben sie gebracht?, *Internationale kirchliche Zeitschrift* 92, 3 (2002) 139-178.

#### FO: (1982) Lima BEM Responses REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Clapsis, E., BEM - 20 Years Later: An Orthodox Contribution, *Internationale kirchliche Zeitschrift* 92, 3 (2002) 225-234.

Ecclesiology and Sacraments in an Ecumenical Context: Working Group Paper, p. 130-137 in: Meeks, M. D., ed., *The Future of the Methodist Theological Traditions*. Nashville: Abingdon, 1985.

Tanner, M., The Effect of Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry on the Church of England, *Internationale kirchliche Zeitschrift* 92, 3 (2002) 210-224.

## FO: (1998) A treasure in earthen vessels REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Rossi, T. F., La fatica dell'interpretazione: il documento di Fede e Costituzione "Un tesoro in vasi di creta", *Studi ecumenici* 20, 3 (2002) 323-341.

## FO: (1998) The nature and purpose of the church INFORMATION

Faith and Order, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 73f. =Foi et constitution (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 79f).

Nature and Purpose of the Church: Dialogue Discussion, *CEC-KEK Monitor* 36 (2001) 5.

Nature et but de l'église, CEC-KEK Monitor 36 (2001) 5.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Attridge, M., Beattie, P., Clifford, C., MacOherson, D., Skira, J., A Reflection on The Nature and Purpose of the Church: A Stage on the Way to a Common Statement, *One in Christ* 37, 2 (2002) 81-95.

Gertler, T., Stellungnahme aus römisch-katholischer Sicht zu "Das Wesen und die Bestimmung der Kirche", MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundliche n Instituts Bensheim 53, 4/5 (2002) 66-69.

Leb, I.-V., Wesen und Bestimmung der Kirche - eine Stellungnahme aus orthodoxer Sicht, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 4/5 (2002) 71-73.

Morris, J. N., "Das Wesen und Bestimmung der Kirche" - eine anglikanische Antwort, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 4/5 (2002) 69-71.

Mühling-Schlapkohl, M., Mißverständnisse verbinden? Kritische Stellungnahme zum Studiendokument "Das Wesen und die Bestimmung der Kirche" (WBK) aus evangelischer Sicht, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 4/5 (2002) 73-75.

Plathow, M., "Wesen und die Bestimmung der Kirche": eine Einführung in die Studie der ÖRK-Abteilung "Glaube und Kirchenverfassung", MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 4/5 (2002) 63-66.

## FO: (2000-10) Standing Commission meeting - Gazzada INFORMATION

Burigana, R., Fede e costituzione, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 4/897 (2002) 130.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Faith and Order Standing Commission, *Minutes of the Meeting of the Faith and Order Standing Commission: 9-16 January 2002, Gazzada, Italy* (Faith and Order Paper 191). Geneva: World Council of Churches. Commission on Faith and Order, 2002.

## FO: (2002-09) Seventh International Consultation for united and uniting churches - Driebergen INFORMATION

Havinga, A., United Churches Show "Hope for the Future" of Ecumenical Movement, ENI-Ecumenical News International 18 (2002) 19.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

7th International Consultation on United and Uniting Churches, Driebergen, The Netherlands, 11-19 September 2002, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 4 (2002) 525-529.

#### FO: Apostolic Faith

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Gaßmann, G., Toward the Common Expression of the Apostolic Faith Today: Introduction to a Faith and Order Project, p. 93-100 in: Meeks, M. D., ed., What should Methodists Teach? Wesleyan Tradition and Modern Diversity. Nashville: Kingswood Books. 1990.

Martikainen, E., From Recognition to Reception: The Apostolic Faith and the Unity of the Church in the World Council of Churches (European university studies. Series 23. Theology 739). Bern: Peter Lang, 2002.

Wainwright, G., Methodism and the Apostolic Faith, p. 101-117 in: Meeks, M. D., ed., What should Methodists Teach? Wesleyan Tradition and Modern Diversity. Nashville: Kingswood Books, 1990.

## L-M: International Lutheran-Methodist Joint Commission INFORMATION

Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations Involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Methodist-Lutheran, p. 87 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## L-M\n: (1997-01) Altar and pulpit agreement INFORMATION

Nordby, L. E., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the World Methodist Council and Member Churches 1997-2001: the Nordic countries, p. 89 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## $L\text{-}M \setminus \text{sf:} (2002\text{-}12)$ Lutheran-Methodist Dialogue in Finland INFORMATION

Lärosamtal i mellan lutheraner och metodister, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 3 (2002) 21.

## L-M\usa: (2002-02) Orlando meeting INFORMATION

Burigana, R., Dialogo luterani-metodisti, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 6/899 (2002) 199

Luthériens et autres chrétiens: méthodistes, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 77f.

Metodistas y luteranos dialogan sobre sacramentos, *Nuevo siglo* 2, 3 (2002) 21.Sgroi, P., Il dialogo fra luterani e metodisti discute sui sacramenti, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 2 (2002) 208.

US Lutheran-United Methodist Dialogue Discusses Sacraments, *LWI-Lutheran World Information* 2 (2002) 2.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Gamble, B., A United Methodist Understanding of The Unity We Seek, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 5 (2002) 9-14.

## L-M-R-RC: (2001-11) Consultation on Justification - Columbus, OH INFORMATION

Bolen, D., Methodist-Catholic Relations in 2001, *L'Osservatore Romano*, *English ed.* 35, 19/1742 (2002) 9.

Consultation sur la justification, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 567f.

Gemeinsame Erklärung über die Rechtfertigungslehre - Reformierte und Methodisten an gemeinsamer lutherisch/römisch-katholischer Konsultation beteiligt, ACK aktuell 1 (2002) 44f.

Radano, J. A., Reformed-Catholic Relations in 2001, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 16/1739 (2002) 10.

Sgroi, P., Inaugurato il dialogo multilaterale fra cattolici, luterani e altre denominazioni protestanti, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 1 (2002) 94.

Soglasje glede opravicenja so obravnavali predstavniki katoliske Cerkve, Svetovne luteranske zveze, Svetovne reformirane zveze in Svetovnega metodisticnega sveta [...], V Edinosti 57, (2002) 287.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Botman, H. R., Should the Reformed Join In?, *Reformed World* 52, 1 (2002) 12-17.

Réamonn, P., Introduction, Reformed World 52, 1 (2002) 1-4.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Unity in Faith: The Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification in a Wider Ecumenical Context, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 165f. =Unité dans la foi: déclaration conjointe sur la doctrine de la justification dans un plus vaste contexte oecuménique (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 170f).

Unity in Faith: The Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification in a Wider Ecumenical Context. Geneva: LWF Office for Ecumenical Affairs, 2002.

## L-Mn\usa: (2002-02) Goshen meeting INFORMATION

Luthériens et autres chrétiens: mennonites, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 76f. Sgroi, P., Inizia il dialogo fra luterani e mennoniti, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 2 (2002) 208f.

## L-O: Lutheran-Orthodox Joint Commission INFORMATION

Limouris, G., An Account of the Bilateral International Dialogues of the Orthodox Church: The International Joint Commission of the Orthodox-Lutheran Dialogue, p. 98f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Holm, B. K., Den luthersk-ortodokse dialog (1997-2000), *NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering* 3 (2002) 5-7.

## L-O: General INFORMATION

Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations Involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Lutheran-Orthodox, p. 83fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Kallis, A., Kirchengemeinschaft evangelisch: ekklesiologische Mißverständnisse im Evangelisch-orthodoxen Dialog, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 4/5 (2002) 80-83.

## L-O: (1994-07) Preparatory meeting - Venice TEXTS AND PAPERS

Romanidis, I., Some Soteriological Presuppositions on the Seven Ecumenical Councils: Lutheran-Orthodox Preparatory Meeting, 5-10 July 1994, Venice, Italy, *Theologia* 70, 4 (1999) 639-645.

### L-O: (1997-10) Preparatory committee meeting - Princeton TEXTS AND PAPERS

Grace, Justification and Synergy, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 3 (2002) 7f.

## L-O: (1998-08) 9th plenary statement - Sigtuna TEXTS AND PAPERS

Authority in and of the Church in the Light of the Ecumenical Councils, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 3 (2002) 8f.

## L-O: (1999-10) Preparatory committee meeting - Chania, Crete TEXTS AND PAPERS

The Mystery of the Church. Word and Sacraments (mysteria) in the Life of the Church, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 3 (2002) 9f.

## L-O: (2000-11) 10th plenary - Damascus TEXTS AND PAPERS

Décima reunión de la comisión de diálogo ortodoxos-luteranos, *Diálogo ecuménico* 37, 118 (2002) 299-303.

The Mystery of the Church. Word and Sacraments (mysteria) in the Life of the Church, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 3 (2002) 10.

## L-O $\$ g: (2002-12) Mixed marriage document REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Thöle, R., Ehen zwischen evangelischen und orthodoxen Christen und Christinnen: gemeinsames seelsorgerliches Handeln der Kirchen in Deutschland möglich, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 6 (2002) 101f.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Orthodoxen Kirche in Deutschland, Evangelische Kirchen in Deutschland, Ehen zwischen evangelischen und orthodoxen Christen und Christinnen: Hinweise zum gemeinsamen seelsorgerlichen Handeln unserer Kirchen in Deutschland, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 6 (2002) 112f. (=Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 519-523).

## L-O $\gray = 0.01-07$ 12th EKD-O meeting - Brandeburg INFORMATION

Allemagne, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 252f.

Orthodox-evangelischer Dialog über Europa, *Orthodoxes Forum* 16, 1 (2002)

## L-O $\setminus$ g-rus: (2002-06) Düsseldorf meeting INFORMATION

Dialog zwischen der Russischen-Orthodoxen Kirche und der Evangelischen Kirche Deutschlands, ACK aktuell 2 (2002) 21f.

German, metr. Wolgograd and Kamyschin, Koppe, R., Kommuniqué der 3. Begegnung im bilateralen theologischen Dialog zwischen der Russischen Orthodoxen Kirche und der Evangelischen Kirche in Deutschland nach dem Neubeginn in Bad Urach (Bad Urach III), Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 512-519.

## L-O\sf: (2001-07) Oulu meeting INFORMATION

Suomen evankelis-luterilaisen kirkon ja Suomen ortodoksisen kirkon väliset seitsemännet teologiset neuvottelut 2001, *Reseptio* 1 (2002) 11-15.

## L-O\sf-rus: (1977) 4th theological conversation - Kiev REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Kärkkäinen, V.-M., The Holy Spirit and Justification: The Ecumenical Significance of Luther's Doctrine of Salvation, *Pneuma* 24, 1 (2002) 26-39.

## L-O\sf-rus: (2001-04) Preparatory meeting - Moscow INFORMATION

Patriarchate of Moscow, Eastern Churches Journal 8, 2 (2001) 196.

## L-O $\backslash$ sf-rus: (2002-10) 12th theological conversation - Moscow INFORMATION

Lärosamtal i Moskva, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 3 (2002) 22.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Rjabyh, J. A., Suomen evangelis-luterilaisen kirkon ja Venäjän ortodoksisen kirkon XII teologiset neuvottelut (28.09.-5.10.2002), Reseptio 2 (2002) 51-60.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Askola, I., Sosiaalieettisten teemojen arviointi ekumeenisen liikkeen näkökulmasta, Reseptio 2 (2002) 47-50.

Hällström, G., Dogmaattisten temojen arviointia, Reseptio 2 (2002) 23-28.Kvist, H.-O., Kirkkojen rauhantyö rakkauden Jumalan luomakuntaa koskevan suunnitelman toteutuksessa. Reseptio 2 (2002) 29-46.

Pihkala, J., Venäläisneuvottelujen arviointia opillisen tematiikan näkökulmasta 1970-1999. *Reseptio* 2 (2002) 8-22.

Tiedonanto Suomen ev.-lut. kirkon ja Venäjän ortodoksisen kirkon (VOK) teologiset neuvottelut, *Reseptio* 2 (2002) 3-7.

## L-O-R: (2001-09) Consultation on the reception of the dialogues INFORMATION

Reception of Dialogues between Orthodox and Protestant Churches, CEC-KEK Monitor 37 (2001) 7.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Cleve, F., "Har fälten vitnat till skörd?" Nya möjligheter till evangelisk-ortodox dialog, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 1 (2002) 3-5.

## L-O-R\f: (2001-11) 19th annual Orthodox-Protestant meeting - Paris INFORMATION

Aubé-Élie, C., La rencontre annuelle orthodoxes-protestants, Unité des chrétiens 126 (2002) 43.

Chronique des Églises: France, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 608f.

Paris: 19e rencontre orthodoxes-protestants de France, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 265 (2002) 7f.

## L-R: Lutheran-Reformed Joint Commission INFORMATION

Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations Involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Lutheran-Reformed, p. 84fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Lutheran-Reformed Dialogue, p. 105f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## L-R: (2002) Called to Communion and Common Witness INFORMATION

Take New Steps to Deepen Communion, Lutheran-Reformed Group Says, WARC Update 12, 2/3 (2002) 14f.

## L-R-Pe \ f: Dialogue between the Protestant Federation of France and Assemblies of God INFORMATION

Chronique des Églises: France, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 609-611.

## L-R-RC: Lutheran-Reformed-Roman Catholic Dialogue on mixed marriages

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Roman Catholic-

Lutheran-Reformed Dialogue, p. 106 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., *Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions* (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## L-R-RC: (2001-02) Consultation about indulgences - Rome INFORMATION $\,$

Radano, J. A., Reformed-Catholic Relations in 2001, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 16/1739 (2002) 10.

## L-R-RC\f: (1972-12) Baptism and Marriage agreement TEXTS AND PAPERS

Comité mixte catholique-protestant en France, Déclaration commune sur le baptême (1973), *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 28f.

Comité mixte catholique-protestant en France, Accord doctrinal sur le mariage (1973), *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 48f.

## L-R-RC\f(centre-est): (1992-11) Common witness and non-proselytism REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Propositions pastorales pour le baptême, *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 8-11. Propositions pastorales pour le mariage, *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 12-21. Propositions pastorales pour les funérailles, *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 22-25.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Témoignage commun et non-prosélytisme, *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 3-7.

## L-R-SDA $\backslash$ f: Dialogue between the Protestant Federation of France and the Seventh Day Adventist

INFORMATION

Chronique des Églises: France, Irénikon 74, 4 (2001) 609-611.

## L-R-U\eur: (1973) The Leuenberg agreement REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Birmelé, A., La communion entre églises issues de la Réforme: à propos de la visibilité de l'unité. *Positions luthériennes* 50, 1 (2002) 39-57.

Parmentier, É., L'œcuménisme, une chance pour l'Europe, *Amitié* 3 (2002) 25-28.

Saarinen, R., The Porvoo Common Statement and the Leuenberg Concord: Are They Compatible?, p. 258-269 in: Tjørhom, O., ed., Apostolicity and Unity: Essays on the Porvoo Common Statement. Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge, UK/Geneva: William B. Eerdmans/WCC Publications, 2002

## L-R-U \ eur: (2001-06) 5th Leuenberg plenary assembly - Belfast INFORMATION

Communion de Leuenberg, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 237-239.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Vander Borght, E., The Leuenberg Document "Church-people-state-nation": A Critical Assessment, Exchange 31, 3 (2002) 278-298.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Informe final de la 5a asamblea general de la comunidad de iglesias de Leuenberg, *Diálogo ecuménico* 37, 118 (2002) 287-298.

Leuenberg Church Fellowship, Contribution des églises issues de la Réforme

Leuenberg Church Fellowship, Contribution des églises issues de la Réforme en Europe sur les relations entre les chrétiens et les juifs, *Istina* 47, 2 (2002) 134-195.

### L-RC: Lutheran-Roman Catholic Commission on Unity INFORMATION

The International Lutheran-Roman Catholic Commission for Unity, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 57f. =La commission internationale luthérienne-catholique pour l'unité (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 63).

## L-RC: General INFORMATION

- Australia: Cardinal Cassidy on Lutherans and Roman Catholics in Dialogue, LWI-Lutheran World Information 8 (2002) 3.
- Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations Involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Lutheran-Roman Catholic, p. 85fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions(Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

- Link, H.-G., "Was uns miteinander verbindet, ist stärker als das, was uns noch trennt": Bemerkungen zum evangelisch-katholischen Gespräch (1967-1992), p. 59-81 in: Brosseder, J. & Link, H.-G., eds., Gemeinschaft der Kirchen: Traum oder Wirklichkeit? (Ökumene konkret 3). Zürich/Neukirchen-Vluyn: Benzinger/Neukirchener Verlag, 1993.
- Pfnür, V., Una rinnovata comunione delle chiese, p. 403-415 in: Fisichella, R., ed., *Il Concilio Vaticano II: recezione e attualità alla luce del Giubileo*. Cinisello Balsamo (Milano): San Paolo, 2000.
- Schütte, H., Ökumenische Irritation: gegensätzliche Positionen zum ordinierten Amt und zum Ziel der Einheitsbemühugen, Bausteine für die Einheit der Christen 42, 167/168 (2002) 3-5.

## L-RC: (1999-06) "Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification" final agreement

#### INFORMATION

- The Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification, *Information Service* 109/1-2(2002)57.=Ladéclaration conjointe sur la doctrine de la justification (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 62f).
- Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations Involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Lutheran-Roman Catholic, p. 85fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.
- Reinterpretation of Justification and Relevance of Joint Declaration: Bridging the Gap between Academic and Life-oriented Dialogue, *LWI-Lutheran World Information* 4/5 (2002) 8.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

- Birmelé, A., Un choix fondamental dans le dialogue oecuménique moderne: la différence comme partie intégrante du consensus, *Nouvelle revue théologique* 124, 1 (2002) 3-29.
- Birmelé, A., Place centrale du Christ dans le mystère du salut, *Oecumenica Civitas* 2. 1 (2002) 3-18.
- Brinkman, M. E., Christological Implications of the Ecumenical Agreement on Justification, p. 567-575 in: Merrigan, T. & Haers, J., eds., *The Myriad Christ: Phurality and the Quest of Unity in Contemporary Christology* (Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium 152). Leuven: Leuven University Press/Peeters, 2000.
- Bühler. P., Were the Reformed Overlooked?, *Reformed World* 52, 1 (2002) 38-45.
- Case-Winters, A., The Joint Declaration: A Reformed Commentary, Reformed World 52, 1 (2002) 5-11.
- Clifford, C. E., The Joint Declaration, Method, and the Hermeneutics of Ecumenical Consensus, *Journal of Ecumenical Studies* 38, 1 (2001) 79-91.
- Fackre, G., The Ecumenical Import of the Joint Declaration, *Reformed World* 52, 1 (2002) 46-55.
- Falconer, A. D., Confessing the One Faith, *Reformed World* 52, 1 (2002) 27-37.
- Falconer, A. D., The Joint Declaration: A Faith and Order Perspective, *Journal of Ecumenical Studies* 38, 1 (2001) 5-16.

- Huovinen, E., How do We Continue? The Ecumenical Commitments and Possibilities of the Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification, *Pro Ecclesia* 11, 2 (2002) 167-183.
- Kärkkäinen, V.-M., Justification as Forgiveness of Sins and Making Righteous: the Ecumenical Promise of a New Interpretation of Luther, *One in Christ* 37, 2 (2002) 32-45.
- Knauer, P., Zum Verstehensgrund der gemeinsamen Erklärung zur Rechtfertigungslehre, Catholica 56, 4 (2002) 263-280.
- Legrand, H.-M., Le consensus différencié sur la doctrine de la justification (Augsburg 1999): quelques remarques sur la nouveauté d'une méthode, *Nouvelle revue théologique* 124, 1 (2002) 30-56.
- Lorenzen, L. F., Response to Alan D. Falconer's "The Joint Declaration: a Faith and Order Perspective", *Journal of Ecumenical Studies* 38, 1 (2001) 17-19
- Meyer, H., La "déclaration commune sur la doctrine de la justification" du 31 octobre 1999, p. 185-209 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., *Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey* (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.
- Pesch, O. H., Die gemeinsame Erklärung zur Rechtfertigungslehre: Probleme und Aufgaben, Ökumenisches Forum 23/24, (2000-2001) 223-251.
- Petersen, W. H., The Lutheran-Roman Catholic Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification: Soteriological and Ecclesiological Implications from an Anglican Perspective, *Journal of Ecumenical Studies* 38, 1 (2001) 50-63.
- Rigal, J., La sacramentalité comme question oecuménique, *Nouvelle revue théologique* 124, 1 (2002) 57-78.
- Rusch, W. G., The History and Methodology of the Joint Declaration on Justification: A Case Study in Ecumenical Reception, p. 169-184 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., *Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey* (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.
- Senesi, M. E., Letture di un dialogo: note in margine all'accordo sulla giustificazione tra cattolici e luterani, *Oecumenica Civitas* 1, 2 (2001) 293-310
- Turcescu, L., Soteriological Issues in the 1999 Lutheran-Roman Catholic Joint Declaration on Justification: an Orthodox Perspective, *Journal of Ecumenical Studies* 38, 1 (2001) 64-72.
- Türk, M., The Reception of the Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification, *One in Christ* 37, 2 (2002) 61-66.
- Wainwright, G., The Lutheran-Roman Catholic Agreement on Justification: Its Ecumenical Significance and Scope from Methodist Point of View, *One in Christ* 37, 2 (2002) 3-31 (*Journal of Ecumenical Studies* 38, 1 (2001) 20-42).
- Weinrich, M., Bekenntnis als dynamischer Prozess, *Zeitzeichen* 3, 2 (2002)
- Weinrich, M., The Reformed Reception of the Joint Declaration, Reformed World 52, 1 (2002) 18-26.
- Wood, S., Lutherans and Roman Catholics: Two Perspectives on Faith, *One in Christ* 37, 2 (2002) 46-60.

## L-RC: (2000-09) Fourth series - 6th meeting - Bose INFORMATION

Katolisko-luteranska teoloska komisija, *V Edinosti* 56 (2001) 175. Luteransko-katoliska komisija za edinost, *V Edinosti* 57, (2002) 286.

## L-RC: (2001-09) Fourth series-7 th meeting-Smidstrup Strand, Denmark INFORMATION

Luthériens et autres chrétiens: catholiques, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 228-230.

## L-RC: (2002-09) Fourth series - 8th meeting - Würzburg INFORMATION

The Lutheran-Catholic Commission on Unity, Information Service 110/3

(2002) 180f. =Commission luthérienne-catholique pour l'unité (=Service d'information 110/3 (2002) 192f).

Lutheran-Roman Catholic Commission on Unity Discusses Apostolicity of the Church, *LWI-Lutheran World Information* 8 (2002) 11.

## L-RC\g: (2000) Communio Sanctorum INFORMATION

Vernichtende Kritik der Evangelisch-Theologischen Fakultät Tübingen an lutherisch-katholischem Dokument, *Herder Korrespondenz* 56, 4 (2002) 212f.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Döring, H., Die ekklesiale Dimension der Schriftinspiration: Überlegungen zur Selbstauslegungskraft des Wortes Gottes im Anschluss an das Dokument "Communio Sanctorum", *Catholica* 56, 1 (2002) 1-27.

Gründel, J. & Huber, I. eds., *Arbeitshilfe zu "Communio Sanctorum"*. Bamberg/München: [s.n.], 2002.

Klausnitzer, W., Eine römisch-katholische Stellungnahme zu den Aussagen über den "Petrusdienst" in "Communio Sanctorum", Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 2 (2002) 225-234.

Sparn, W., Viele Kirchen-ein Petrusdienst? Eine evangelische Stellun gnahme zu "Communio Sanctorum", Ökumenische Rundschau 51,2 (2002) 225-235.

Zentrum für ökumenische Forschung der Universität München, "Communio sanctorum-die Kirche als Gemeinschaft der Heiligen": Kommentar zu dem neuesten Dokument der Bilateralen Arbeitsgruppe der Deutschen Bischofskonferenz und der Kirchenleitung der Vereinigten Evangelisch-Lutherischen Kirchen Deutschlands, *Una Sancta* 57, 1 (2002) 61-83.

## L-RC\g: (2002-01) Wolfenbüttel meeting INFORMATION

Burigana, R., Germania: cattolici e luterani, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 4/897 (2002) 130.

LWF President, Roman Catholic Bishop Caution against Ecumenical Egalitarianism, *LWI-Lutheran World Information* 1 (2002) 3.

## L-RC \ usa: (1995) IX-Statement on scripture and tradition TEXTS AND PAPERS

Scripture and Tradition: Lutherans and Catholics in Dialogue IX, *Lutheran Forum* 36, 1 (2002) 32f.

## L-RC\usa: (2001-12) Baltimore meeting INFORMATION

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: luthériens, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 65f. Sgroi, P., Il dialogo cattolico-luterano negli USA: verso una dichiarazione sulla koinonia, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 1 (2002) 90f.

#### L-RC\usa: (2002-05) Washington meeting Lutheran-Catholic Dialogue, *The Window* 70 (2002) [2].

Sgroi, P., Continua il dialogo cattolico-luterano negli USA, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 479.

## L-SDA: Lutheran-Seventh-Day Adventist Consultations INFORMATION

Beach, B., General Conference of Seventh-day Adventists: General Conference of Seventh-day Adventists -Lutheran World Federation Conversations, p. 103f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Critical Dialogue Required for Further Fellowship with Adventists: Statement from the LWF German National Committee, LWI-Lutheran World Information 4/5 (2002) 15.

Geldbach, E., LWB und STA im Gespräch und das Deutsche Nationalkomitee des LWB, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 3 (2002) 48-53.

Lutheraner streiten über Adventisten, Zeitzeichen 3, 3 (2002) 71.

Luthériens et autres chrétiens: adventistes, Irénikon 75, 1 (2002) 78f.

Oppegaard, S., Dialogues and Conversations Involving the LWF and Lutheran Churches: Lutheran-Seventh-day Adventist, p. 87 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Heinz, H., Auszüge aus einer adventischen Antwort zur Stellungnahme des Deutschen Nationalkomitees des Lutherischen Weltbundes, ACK aktuell 1 (2002) 57-59.

Rösler, K., Lutheraner bleiben auf Distanz zu den Adventisten, *ACK aktuell* 1 (2002) 32f.

#### L-SDA: (1998-05) Lutheran-Seventh-Day Adventists Conversations' Final Report - Cartigny INFORMATION

German Lutherans Require Further Clarification on Dialogue with Adventists, LWI-Lutheran World Information 2 (2002) 2.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Adventisten und Lutheraner im Gespräch. Collonges sur Salève: Faculté Adventiste de Théologie, 2000.

Adventists and Lutherans in Conversation: Report of the Bilateral Conversations between the Lutheran World Federation and the Seventh-Day Adventist Church, 1994-1998. Collonges sur Salève: Faculté Adventiste de Théologie, 2000.

## M-O: Methodist-Orthodox Commission INFORMATION

Nordby, L. E., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the World Methodist Council and Member Churches 1997-2001: Orthodox-Methodist Dialogue, p. 88 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## M-R: Methodist-Reformed Dialogue INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Methodist-Reformed Dialogue, p. 108 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## M-RC: Joint Commission between the Roman Catholic Church and the World Methodist Council INFORMATION

Nordby, L. E., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the World Methodist Council and Member Churches 1997-2001: Catholic-Methodist Dialogue, p. 88 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., *Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions* (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## M-RC: General REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Carter, D., Can the Roman Catholic and Methodist Churches be Reconciled?, Ecumenical Trends 37, 1 (2002) 1-9.

Wainwright, G., The Ecumenical Scope of Methodist Liturgical Revision, Centro Pro Unione Bulletin 62 (2002) 16-26.

## M-RC: (2001) Report of the Quinquennium 1997-2001 INFORMATION

Bolen, D., Methodist-Catholic Relations in 2001, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 19/1742 (2002) 9.

The Catholic-Methodist Dialogue, Information Service 109/1-2(2002)61f.=Le dialogue catholique-méthodiste (=Service d'information 109/1-2(2002)67f).

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Carter, D., Speaking the Truth in Love, *One in Christ* 37, 1 (2002) 73-82. Del Colle, R., Commento [a Dire la verità nella carità], *Il Regno documenti* 47, 7/900 (2002) 247-252.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Decir la verdad en el amor: la autoridad de enseñanza en los católicos y los metodistas: relación de la comisión mixta iglesia católica romana - consejo metodista mundial (1997-2001), *Diálogo ecuménico* 37, 117 (2002) 67-130. Dire la verità nella carità, *Il Regno documenti* 47, 7/900 (2002) 232-246. Speaking the Truth in Love: Teaching Authority among Catholics and Methodists, *One in Christ* 37, 3 (2002) 82-123.

# M-RC $\setminus$ usa: Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the United Methodist Church in the USA INFORMATION

Nordby, L. E., International Bilateral Dialogues Involving the World Methodist Council and Member Churches 1997-2001: USA, p. 89 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### M-RC\usa(tenn): (2001-07) Knoxville meetings REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Foraker, G. A., Yearning to be One, y'all: Reflections on a Roman Catholic/United Methodist Dialogue in Knoxville, Tennessee, Summer 2001, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 1 (2002) 10-13.

## Mn-R: Mennonite World Conference and World Alliance of Reformed Churches

#### INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Mennonite-Reformed Dialogue, p. 107 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## Mn-RC: Mennonite-Catholic relations INFORMATION

Mennonite-Catholic Relations, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 66f. =Relations mennonites-catholiques (=*Service d'information* 109/1-2 (2002) 72f).

## Mn-RC: (2001-11) Mennonite-Catholic International Dialogue fourth meeting - Assisi $\,$

#### INFORMATION

Burkhardt, F., Mennonites and Catholics find Common Ground on Adult Baptism, *Courier* 17, 1 (2002) 14.

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: mennonites, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 67-70. Mennonite-Catholic International Dialogue, *Ecumenical Trends* 37, 1 (2002) 15f.

Mennonite-Catholic International Dialogue, November 27 - December 3, 2001: press release, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 158. =Communiqué de presse (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 162f).

Radano, J. A., Mennonite-Catholic Relations in 2001, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 16/1739 (2002) 10.

## O-OC: Joint (Mixed) Orthodox-Old Catholic Theological Commission INFORMATION

Limouris, G., An Account of the Bilateral International Dialogues of the Orthodox Church: The Orthodox-Old Catholic Dialogue, p. 101 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Lanne, E., L'Union de Utrecht: point de vue d'un catholique romain, Internationale kirchliche Zeitschrift 92, 2 (2002) 118-134.

## O-OC: (1996) Consultation on place of women in the Church - Levadia and Konstancin

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Gender and the Image of Christ, *Anglican Theological Review* 84, 3 (2002) 489-755.

# O-OO Joint Commission of the Theological Dialogue between the Orthodox Church and the Oriental Orthodox Churches INFORMATION

Alemazian, N., Bilateral Dialogues of the Armenian Catholicosate of the Great House of Cilicia (Armenian Orthodox Church), Antelias-Lebanon: Oriental Orthodox Churches-Orthodox Churches Theological Dialogue, p. 92-94 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Limouris, G., An Account of the Bilateral International Dialogues of the Orthodox Church: The Dialogue between the Eastern Orthodox Churches and the Oriental Orthodox Churches, p. 101 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., *Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions* (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## O-OO\ egypt: (2001-04) Mixed marriages agreed statement INFORMATION

Accord pastoral entre coptes orthodoxes et grecs orthodoxes, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, 1/2 (2001) 189f.

A Joint Document on Marriage between an Orthodox and a Prechalcedonian Christian [...], *Ecumenism* 36, 144 (2001) 41.

Orthodoxes et autres chrétiens: préchalcédoniens, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 225f.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Le Caire: protocole pastorale entre l'église copte orthodoxe et le patriarcat grec orthodoxe d'Alexandrie, *Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient* 43, 1/3 (2001) 149f.

## O-OO\ rus: (2001-03) Coordinating committee for the dialogue first meeting - Moscow INFORMATION

Patriarchate of Moscow, Eastern Churches Journal 8, 2 (2001) 193f.

# O-OO\rus: (2001-09) Joint commission for theological dialogue between the Russian Orthodox Church and the Eastern Prechalcedonian Churches first meeting - Moscow INFORMATION

Alemazian, N., Bilateral Dialogues of the Armenian Catholicosate of the Great House of Cilicia (Armenian Orthodox Church), Antelias-Lebanon:

Joint Commission for the Relations between the Oriental Orthodox Churches in the Middle East-Russian Orthodox Church, p. 94f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

The Joint Commission for Theological Dialogue between the Russian Orthodox Church and the Eastern Prechalcedonian Churches, *Ecumenism* 36, 144 (2001) 40.

Préchalcédoniens et autres chrétiens: orthodoxes, Irénikon 74, 3 (2001) 413f.

## O-R: Orthodox-Reformed International Dialogue INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Orthodox-Reformed Dialogue, p. 109 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## O-R: (2000-04) On the sacraments - Pittsburgh INFORMATION

Limouris, G., An Account of the Bilateral International Dialogues of the Orthodox Church: The Joint Commission of the Orthodox-Reformed Theological Dialogue, p. 101 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

#### O-RC: Joint International Commission for Theological Dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the Orthodox Church INFORMATION

Joint International Commission for the Theological Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Orthodox Church, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 42-44. =Commission mixte international pour le dialogue théologique entre l'église catholique et l'église orthodoxe (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 47f).

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Janezic, S., Delo katolisko-pravoslavne teoloske komisije, V Edinosti 56 (2001) 55-62.

Kociorva, M. G., L'iniziazione cristiana nei documenti del dialogo teologico ortodosso-cattolico. Venetiarum: [s.n.], 2002.

Limouris, G., Being in Dialogue for Full Koinonia/communion with Regard to the International Orthodox-Roman Catholic Theological Dialogue, p. 137-151 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., *Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey* (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.

Syty, J., L'ecclesiologia eucaristica e i dialoghi ecumenici cattolico-ortodossi ufficiali, p. 37-41 in: *Il primato nell'ecclesiologia ortodossa attuale: il contributo dell'ecclesiologia eucaristica di Nicola Afanasieff e Joannis Zizioulas* (Studia antoniana 46). Roma: Pontificium Athenaeum Antonianum, 2002.

#### O-RC: General REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

The Catholic-Orthodox Dialogue, *Eastern Churches Journal* 8,2 (2001) 154f. Cioffari, G., II dibattito sul Filioque nella teologia russa: una finestra sul dialogo cattolico-ortodosso, *Nicolaus* 29, 1 (2002) 53-72.

Damaskinos de Suisse, Ratzinger, J., Échange de lettres entre le métropolite Damaskinos de Suisse et le cardinal Joseph Ratzinger sur l'état actuel du dialogue œcuménique, *Episkepsis* 32, 596 (2001) 8-23.

Erickson, J. H., Reception in the Orthodox Church: Contemporary Practice, The Ecumenical Review 54, 1 (2002) 66-75. Garvey, J., Competing Orthodoxies: Can the East face Rome?, *Commonweal* 129, 13 (2002) 8f.

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Tend Towards the Ecumenism of Holiness, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 11/1733 (2002) 1 f.

Neuhaus, R. J., Multi-speed Ecumenism, First Things 123 (2002) 67f.

Oeldemann, J., Die Komplementarität der Traditionem: Grundlagen, Problemfelder und Perspektiven des ökumenischen Dialogs mit der Orthodoxie, *Catholica* 56, 1 (2002) 44-67.

Oeldemann, J., Mügge, M., Perspektiven für den Dialog: Kontakte zwischen Orthodoxen und Katholiken aufbreitere Basis stellen, *Der christliche Osten* 57. 5 (2002) 270-274.

Stavrou, M., L'ecclésialité du baptême des autres chrétiens dans la conscience de l'église orthodoxe, Contacts 54, 199 (2002) 260-291.

#### O-RC: (1980-06) 1st official dialogue - Patmos-Rhodes REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Bouwen, F., Plan initial du dialogue théologique catholique - orthodoxe, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, 1/2 (2001) 137-143.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Plan pour la mise en route du dialogue théologique entre l'église catholique romaine et l'église orthodoxe, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, 1/2 (2001) 143-149

## O-RC: (1993-06) 7th plenary meeting - Balamand, Lebanon REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Bourgine, B., La réception de la déclaration de Balamand, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 538-560.

## O-RC: (2000-07) Emmitsburg meeting INFORMATION

Limouris, G., An Account of the Bilateral International Dialogues of the Orthodox Church: The International Joint Commission for the Theological Dialogue between the Orthodox Church and the Roman Catholic Church, p. 99f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## O-RC: (2002-11) Annual November 30 Istanbul visit TEXTS AND PAPERS

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Towards Full Communion: Better Communications, Theological Dialogue to Remove Divisions, *L'Osservatore Romano, English ed.* 35, 50/1772 (2002) 2. =Relancer le dialogue théologique (=*La Documentation catholique* 99, 22/2282 (2002) 1067f).

#### O-RC\ch: (1985) Mixed Marriage TEXTS AND PAPERS

Église catholique romaine et églises orthodoxes en Suisse (1985): les mariages mixtes entre fidèles catholiques romains et orthodoxes, *Unité chrétienne* 145 (2002) 50-53.

## O-RC $\$ f: (2002-03) Châtenay-Malabry meeting INFORMATION

Paris: comité de dialogue théologique catholique-orthodoxe, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 268 (2002) 14f.

## O-RC $\setminus$ g: (2002) The Communion of Saints TEXTS AND PAPERS

Gemeinsame Kommission der Griechisch-Orthodoxen Metropolie von Deutschland und der römisch-katholischen Kirche in Deutschland, Die Gemeinschaft der Heiligen als Gabe und Aufgabe, *Der christliche Osten* 57, 2 (2002) 93-116.

## O-RC $\backslash$ middle east: (1996- ) Antioch Patriarchates and church unity REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Laham, G., Eastern Catholic Churches: Windows between East and West, *Ecumenical Trends* 31, 9 (2002) 1-6.

## O-RC $\setminus$ pol: (2002) Creation of the dialogue commission INFORMATION

Chronique des Églises: Pologne, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 617. Pologne, *SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel* 265 (2002) 17.

## O-RC\rom: (2002-10) Bucarest meeting INFORMATION

Roumanie, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 272 (2002) 23.

## O-RC \ rom: (2002-10) Patriarch of Romania's visit to Rome INFORMATION

Brunelli, G., Teoctist a Roma, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 18/911 (2002) 595. Gestos fraternos entre ortodoxos y católicos de Rumania, *Relaciones Interconfesionales* 26, 65 (2002) 76f.

Hoffnung auf das Geschenk der vollen Einheit: offizieller Besuch des orthodoxen Patriarchen von Rumänien, Teoctist, im Vatikan, Der christliche Osten 57. 6 (2002) 339-341.

Katholisch-orthodoxes "Gipfeltreffen" im Vatikan, Herder Korrespondenz 56, 11 (2002) 590.

Marchesi, G., Il fraterno incontro ecumenico tra il papa e il patriarca ortodosso rumeno, &&La Civiltà cattolica!! 153, 3657 (2002) 274-283.

Oikoumenike kinesis, Ekklesia 80, 1 (2003) 85f.

Romanian Orthodox Patriarch Teoctist Reciprocated for the Trip John Paul II made to Romania in May 1999 [...], *Diakonia* 35, 2 (2002) 149f.

Rome: visite du patriarche de Roumanie au Vatican, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 272 (2002) 2-4.

Sgroi, P., Teoctist di Romania in visita in Italia e dal papa, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 477.

Vatikan und rumänisch-orthodoxe Kirche für mehr Dialog, ACK aktuell 3 (2002) 56f.

The Visit of the Romanian Orthodox Church Delegation Headed by his Beatitude Patriarch Teoctist to Vatican and Italy, 7-14 October 2002, *The Romanian Patriarchate News Bulletin* 7, 7/8 (2002) 1-14.

Visita del patriarca Teoctist de Rumania al papa Juan Pablo II, Pastoral ecuménica 19, 57 (2002) 116f.

Visite du patriarche Téoctiste de l'église orthodoxe de Roumanie au Vatican (7-13 octobre), Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient 44 (2002) 50.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Teoctist I, Romanian Orthodox Patr., Common Declaration, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 42/1764 (2002) 5.

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Teoctist I, Romanian Orthodox patr., Common Meeting of Pope John Paul II and his Beatitude Teoctist, Patriarch of Romania, *L'Osservatore Romano, English ed.* 35, 42/1764 (2002) 3f. =Joint Declaration Signed in the Vatican by Pope John Paul II and Patriarch Teoctist of the Romanian Orthodox Church (=Diakonia 35, 2 (2002)

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Teoctist I, Romanian Orthodox patr., Declaratio coniuncta, Acta apostolicae sedis 94, 12 (2002) 716-718.

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Teoctist I, Romanian Orthodox patr., Declaración común, Relaciones Interconfesionales 26, 65 (2002) 67f.

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Teoctist I, Romanian Orthodox patr., Incontro a Roma, *Il Regno documenti* 47, 19/912 (2002) 596-599.

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Teoctist I, Romanian Orthodox Patr., Joint Statement of his Holiness Pope John Paul II and his Beatitude Teoctist, Patriarch of the Romanian Orthodox Church, *The Romanian Patriarchate News Bulletin* 7, 7/8 (2002) 15f.

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Teoctist I, Romanian Orthodox patr., Visite du patriarche Téoctiste de l'église orthodoxe de Roumanie au Vatican, *La Documentation catholique* 98, 20/2280 (2002) 973-985.

# O-RC $\setminus$ rus: Theological conversations between representatives of the Russian Orthodox Church and the Roman Catholic Church INFORMATION

The Patriarchate of Moscow, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 46-48. =Patriarcat de Moscou (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 51-53).

Tension dans les relations au sommet entre Rome et Moscou, *Istina* 47, 3 (2002) 317-320.

Vatican-Orthodox Talks Remain on Hold, The Tablet 256, 8430 (2002) 28.

#### O-RC\rus: General

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Aleksij II, Rome - Moscou, Chrétiens en marche 39, 75 (2002) 3.

# O-RC $\setminus$ u: (1989- ) Ecumenical relations between the Ukrainian Greek-Catholic Church and the Orthodox Church INFORMATION

Tension dans les relations au sommet entre Rome et Moscou, *Istina* 47, 3 (2002) 317-320.

## O-RC\usa: Orthodox/Roman Catholic Consultation in the United States REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

FitzGerald, T., A Commitment to Reconciliation and Unity: The Recent Statements of North American Orthodox-Catholic Theological Consultation, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 1 (2002) 196f.

## O-RC\usa: (1999-06) 56th meeting on Baptism - New York TEXTS AND PAPERS

Baptism and "Sacramental Economy": An Agreed Statement of the North American Orthodox-Catholic Theological Consultation, St. Vladimir's Orthodox Seminary, Crestwood, New York, 5 June 1999, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 1 (2002) 197-203.

## O-RC \ usa: (2001-05) 60th meeting on filioque - Crestwood, NY INFORMATION

Orthodoxes et autres chrétiens: catholiques, *Irénikon* 74, 2 (2001) 224f. Orthodoxy in America, *Eastern Churches Journal* 8, 2 (2001) 252-254.

## O-RC\usa: (2001-10) 18th annual JCOCB meeting - Milwaukee INFORMATION

Orthodoxes et autres chrétiens: catholiques, Irénikon 74, 4 (2001) 569f.

## O-RC\usa: (2001-10) 61th meeting on filioque - Washington, DC INFORMATION

Orthodoxes et autres chrétiens: catholiques, *Irénikon* 74, 4 (2001) 569f.
The 61st Meeting of the North American Orthodox Catholic Theological Consultation, *Ecumenism* 37, 145 (2002) 38f.

## O-RC \ usa: (2002-06) 62th meeting on filioque - Washington, DC INFORMATION

États-Unis, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 270 (2002) 18f.

## O-RC\usa: (2002-10) 19th annual JCOCB meeting - Chicago INFORMATION

États-Unis, *SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel* 273 (2002) 12f. Orthodox, Catholic Bishops meet in Chicago, *The Orthodox Church* 38, 11/12 (2002) 10.

## OO-R: Oriental Orthodox-Reformed Theological Dialogue INFORMATION

Alemazian, N., Bilateral Dialogues of the Armenian Catholicosate of the Great House of Cilicia (Armenian Orthodox Church), Antelias-Lebanon: Oriental Orthodox Churches-World Alliance of Reformed Churches, p. 91f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications. 2002.

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Oriental Orthodox-Reformed Dialogue, p. 109f in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## OO-R: (2001-01) 7th session - Antelias meeting INFORMATION

Dialogue entre les églises orientales et l'alliance mondiale des églises réformées, *Proche-orient chrétien* 51, ½ (2001) 182f.

# OO-RC $\backslash$ copt: International Joint Commission between the Catholic Church and the Coptic Orthodox Church INFORMATION

The Coptic Orthodox Church, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 50f. =L'église copte orthodoxe (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 55f).

## OO-RC\copt: (1976-08) Vienna meeting REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Suttner, E. C., Vorchalcedonische und chalcedonische Christologie: die eine Wahrheit in unterschiedlicher Begrifflichkeit, Una Sancta 57, 1 (2002) 6-15.

#### OO-RC\india: The Joint International Commission for Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Malankara Orthodox Syrian Church INFORMATION

Malankara Orthodox Churches, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 52. =L'églisemalankare orthodoxe (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 57).

## OO-RC\india: (2000-09) Kottayam meeting INFORMATION

Syro-Malankara Church, Eastern Churches Journal 8, 2 (2001) 312-314.

## OO-RC\india: (2001-10) Kottayam meeting INFORMATION

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: préchalcédoniens, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 60-64. Joint Commission of the Roman Catholic/Malankara Orthodox Syrian Church, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 164f. =Commission mixte de dialogue entre l'église catholique et l'église malankare orthodoxe syrienne (=Service d'information 108/4 (2001) 169f).

# OO-RC \ syr: (1984-06) Common declaration Pope John Paul II and Syrian Orthodox Patriarch Mar Ignatius Zakka I Iwas, Patriarch of Antioch and All the East

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Joannes Paulus PP. II, Ignatios Zakka I Iwas, Syrian Orthodox Patr. Antioch, Dichiarazione comune del papa e del patriarca siro-ortodosso di Antiochia Moran Mar Ignatium Zakka I Iwas, p. 125-129 in: Alzati, C. & Locati, P., eds., L'unità multiforme: Oriente e Occidente nella riflessione di Giovanni Paolo II (Ricerche Europa 7). Milano: La Casa di Matriona, 1991.

# OO-RC $\backslash$ syr-india: The Joint International Commission for Dialogue between the Catholic Church and the Malankara Syrian Orthodox Church

#### INFORMATION

Malankara Orthodox Churches, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 52. =L'église malankare orthodoxe (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 57).

## OO-RC\syr-india: (2000-09) Kottayam meeting INFORMATION

Syro-Malankara Church, Eastern Churches Journal 8, 2 (2001) 311f.

## OO-RC\syr-india: (2001-10) Mulanthuruthy meeting INFORMATION

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: préchalcédoniens, *Irénikon* 75, 1 (2002) 60-64. Joint Commission of the Roman Catholic/Malankara Syrian Orthodox Church, *Information Service* 108/4 (2001) 163f. =Commission mixte de dialogue entre l'église catholique et l'église malankare syro-orthodoxe (=*Service d'information* 108/4 (2001) 168f).

## OO-RC\usa: (2000-06) New York meeting INFORMATION

Oriental Orthodox - Catholic dialogue, Eastern Churches Journal 8, 2 (2001) 267f

## Pe-R: Pentecostal-Reformed relations INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Pentecostal-Reformed Dialogue, p. 110 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## Pe-R: (2002-05) Pentecostal-Reformed dialogue - Amsterdam INFORMATION

Pentecostales dialogan con la iglesia reformada, Nuevo siglo 2, 7 (2002) 13.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Kloeden, G. von, "A Lack of Surprise"? Bericht von einem Dialog zwischen Pfingstkirchen und dem Reformierten Weltbund, *Ökumenische Rundschau* 51, 4 (2002) 482-486.

#### Pe-RC: Pentecostal-Roman Catholic International Dialogue REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Hollenweger, W. J., Der Vatikanisch/Pfingstliche Dialog, p. 188-199 in: Charismatisch-pfingstliches Christentum: Herkunft, Situation, Ökumenische Chancen. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2002.

Sandidge, J. L., An Update on the Ecumenical Activities of Pentecostals, p. 239-246 in: Jongeneel, J. A. B., ed., Experiences of the Spirit: Conference on Pentecostal and Charismatic Research in Europe at Utrecht University 1989 (Studien zur interkulturellen Geschichte des Christentums 68). Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 1991.

#### Pe-RC: General

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Ranaghan, K., Catholics and Pentecostals Meet in the Spirit, p. 114-144 in: Ranaghan, K. & Ranaghan, D., eds., *As the Spirit Leads Us.* Paramus: Paulist Press, 1971.

#### Pe-RC: (1998) Fourth Report 1990-1997 REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Kärkkäinen, V.-M., Are Pentecostals Oblivious to Social Justice? Theological and Ecumenical Perspectives, *Missionalia* 29, 3 (2001) 387-404.

## Pe-RC: (1998-06) Fifth phase INFORMATION

International Catholic-Pentecostal Dialogue, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 65f. = Dialogue international catholique-pentecôtiste (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 71f).

## Pe-RC: (2001-07) Celje meeting INFORMATION

Catholiques et autres chrétiens: pentecôtistes, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 223f.

## Pe-RC: (2002-07) Sierra Madre meeting INFORMATION

International Pentecostal-Catholic Dialogue, Information Service 110/3 (2002)
 179f. = Dialogue international catholique-pentecôtiste (=Service d'information 110/3 (2002) 191f).

## Pe-W\italy: Pentecostal-Waldensian relations INFORMATION

Sgroi, P., Il sinodo valdese, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 480-482.

## R-RC: Reformed-Roman Catholic Joint Study Commission INFORMATION

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues Co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Roman Catholic-Reformed Dialogue, p. 105 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

## R-RC: (2000-09) Joint commission - Castel Gandolfo INFORMATION

Katolisko-reformirana teoloska komisija, V Edinosti 56 (2001) 176.

## R-RC: (2001-08) Cape Town meeting INFORMATION

Radano, J. A., Reformed-Catholic Relations in 2001, L'Osservatore Romano, English ed. 35, 16/1739 (2002) 10.

Réformés et autres chrétiens: catholiques, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 232f.

## R-RC: (2002-09) Dromantine meeting INFORMATION

International Reformed-Catholic Dialogue, *Information Service* 110/3 (2002) 181f. =Dialogue international catholique-réformé (=*Service d'information* 110/3 (2002) 193).

### $R\text{-}RC \setminus usa:$ (2000-12) Discussion about petrine ministry - Louisville INFORMATION

Contacts with Member Churches of WARC, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 60f. =Les contacts avec les églises membres de l'ARM (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 66).

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Presbyterian Church (USA), El sucesor de Pedro, *Diálogo ecuménico* 37, 117 (2002) 131-168.

## R-RC \ usa: (2001-03) Discussion about petrine ministry - Rome INFORMATION

Contacts with Member Churches of WARC, *Information Service* 109/1-2 (2002) 60f. =Les contacts avec les églises membres de l'ARM (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 66).

## R-RC \ usa: (2002) Marriage and family - Round VI TEXTS AND PAPERS

Bush, J. C. & Cooney, P. R., eds., Interchurch Families: Resources for Ecumenical Hope: Catholic/Reformed Dialogue in the United States. Louisville/Washington, DC: Westminster/John Knox Press/United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, 2002.

# R-SDA: (2001-04) International Theological Dialogue between the Seventh-day Adventist Church and the World Alliance of Reformed Churches first meeting Jongny sur Vevey, Switzerland INFORMATION

Beach, B., General Conference of Seventh-day Adventists: Seventh-day Adventists - World Alliance of Reformed Churches Theological Dialogue, p. 102fin: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications, 2002.

Pedroso Mateus, O., International Theological Dialogues co-sponsored by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches: Adventist-Reformed Dialogue, p. 111 in: Falconer, A. D., ed., Eighth Forum on Bilateral Dialogues: The Implications of Regional Bilateral Agreements for the International Dialogues of Christian World Communions (Faith and Order Paper 190). Geneva: WCC Publications. 2002.

Réformés et autres chrétiens: adventistes, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 233f.

## RC-SDA: Conversations between Adventists and Catholics INFORMATION

Adventistische und katholische Theologen im Dialog, ACK aktuell 3 (2002) 33f.

Recent Conversations between Adventists and Catholics, *Information Service* 110/3 (2002) 177. =Conversation entre adventistes du septième jour et catholiques (=Service d'information 110/3 (2002) 188f).

## RC-W\italy: Roman Catholic-Waldensian relations REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Giachetti, P., L'esperienza ecumenica di un vescovo, *Oecumenica Civitas* Quaderno I (2001) 65-73.

## RC-W\italy: (2000) Mixed marriage agreement INFORMATION

Lorusso, L., I matrimoni misti tra cattolici e valdesi in Italia: testo comune e testo applicativo, *O Odigos* 21, 3 (2002) 3-9.

Mercol, G., Una feconda intesa per la celebrazione dei matrimoni interconfessionali, p. 34-37 in: Nel Pinerolese un cammino di otto secoli verso traguardi ecumenici: scritti e documenti vari (Studi, ricerche, documenti sulla Chiesa e sul cattolicesimo pinerolese 9). Pinerolo: Archivio della Diocesi. 2002.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Polastro, M., I matrimoni misti e la pratica pastorale, *Lettera di collegamento* 27, 38 (2002) 79-86.

# RC-WCC: Joint Working Group Between the Roman Catholic Church and the World Council of Churches REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Duprey, P., Maury, J., Brefinventaire des activités de l'église catholique et du conseil œcuménique des églises depuis 1984, p. 104-109 in: W. A. Visser't Hooft, pionnier de l'œcuménisme: Genève - Rome. Paris: Cerf/Les Bergers et les Mages, 2001.

Raiser, K., Catholicity Revisited, p. 111-136 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.

Visser 't Hooft, W.-A., Le développement des relations entre Rome et le mouvement œcuménique, p. 43-93 in: W. A. Visser't Hooft, pionnier de l'œcuménisme: Genève - Rome. Paris: Cerf/Les Bergers et les Mages, 2001.

#### RC-WCC: (1995-09) Moral issues study document REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Aagaard, A. M., Ethics on JWG Agenda, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 4 (2002) 15f.

Arola, P., Att vara kyrka: att söka strategier för etisk normgivning i den ekumeniska rörelsen, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 4 (2002) 8-10.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

The Ecumenical Dialogue on Moral Issues: Potential Sources of Common Witness or of Divisions, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 4 (2002) 15-

#### RC-WCC: (1998-2005) New series proposed INFORMATION

Relationship with the World Council of Churches, 1998-2001, Information Service 109/1-2 (2002) 69-73. =Relations avec le Conseil Œcuménique des Églises (1998-2001) (=Service d'information 109/1-2 (2002) 75-79).

#### RC-WCC: (2001-05) Dromantine meeting INFORMATION

Conseil Œcuménique des Églises: groupe mixte de travail, Irénikon 74, 2 (2001) 240f.

#### RC-WCC: (2002-03) Joint Working Group Executive meeting - Rome INFORMATION

Oikoumenike kinesis, Ekklesia 79, 5 (2002) 372f.

#### RC-WCC: (2002-05) Stjärnholm meeting INFORMATION

Joint Working Group between the Catholic Church and the World Council of Churches, Information Service 110/3 (2002) 178f. =Réunion du groupe mixte de travail (=Service d'information 110/3 (2002) 189f).

Oikoumenike kinesis, Ekklesia 79, 5 (2002) 372f.

Sgroi, P., Incontro del gruppo misto di lavoro CEC-CCR, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 476.

#### **WCC: World Council of Churches** REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Aagaard, A. M., In Defense of the Body: Writings on "Being Church" in Ecumenical Conversation, Centro Pro Unione Bulletin 61 (2002) 3-10.

Bouteneff, P., Quelle prière pour le mouvement œcuménique - confessionnelle ou interconfessionnelle?, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 269 (2002) 23-25.

Grace, E., Worship in the Context of the WCC: The Tradition of "ecumenical Worship" in Light of the Recent Orthodox Critique, The Ecumenical Review 54, 1 (2002) 3-27.

Kärkkäinen, V.-M., The Spirit in the Ecumenical Movement's Theologies, p. 98-104 in: Pneumatology: the Holy Spirit in Ecumenical, International and Contextual Perspective. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2002.

Konstantinidis, C., Some Thoughts and Proposals for the Positive Participation of the Orthodox Churches in the World Council of Churches, p. 87-95 in: Tillard, J.-M. R., ed., Agapè: études en l'honneur de Mgr Pierre Duprey (Analecta Chambesiana 3). Chambésy/Genève: Centre Orthodoxe du Patriarcat Œcuménique, 2000.

Robra, M., Methodology in Approaching Moral and Ethical Issues, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Örientering 4 (2002) 10-14. Scherzberg, L., Das Koinonia-Konzept des Ökumenischen Rates und der

Gemeinschaftsbegriff, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 2 (2002) 157-166.

Turckheim, G. de, Le COE sauvé des eaux?, Actualité des religions 34 (2002)

Vall Vilardell, H., El movimiento ecuménico y nuestro futuro, Pastoral ecuménica 19, 55 (2002) 9-27.

#### WCC: 4th Assembly (Uppsala 1968) TEXTS AND PAPERS

Tucci, R., Mouvement œcuménique, conseil œcuménique des églises et église catholique romaine, p. 93-103 in: W. A. Visser't Hooft, pionnier de l'œcuménisme: Genève - Rome. Paris: Cerf/Les Bergers et les Mages. 2001.

Visser 't Hooft, W.-A., Le mandat du mouvement œcuménique, p. 205-220 in: W. A. Visser't Hooft, pionnier de l'œcuménisme: Genève - Rome. Paris: Cerf/Les Bergers et les Mages, 2001.

#### WCC: 9th Assembly (Porto Alegre 2006) INFORMATION

9ème assemblée générale du COE, Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient 44

Gabrielli, D., A Porto Alegre nel 2006 la IX assemblea generale del CEC, Confronti 29, 10 (2002) 7.

Neunte ÖRK-Vollversammlung in Porto Alegre, ACK aktuell 2 (2002) 48f. The Ninth Assembly of the World Council of Churches (WCC) will be in Porto Alegre, Brazil, in 2006, Ecumenism 37, 148 (2002) 37.

Porto Alegre: sede de la próxima asamblea general del CMI, Nuevo siglo2, 9 (2002) 1, 8.

Próxima asamblea del Consejo Ecuménico de las Iglesias, Pastoral ecuménica 19, 57 (2002) 114.

Sgroi, P., A Porto Alegre la 9a assemblea mondiale del CEC, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 476.

World Council of Churches to Hold Next Assembly in Brazil, ENI-EcumenicalNews International 16 (2002) 32.

#### WCC: (1963-09) World Council of Churches Central Committee -Rochester, NY

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Eucharistic Guidelines, The Ecumenical Review 54, 1 (2002) 194-196.

#### WCC: (1997-) Common Understanding and Vision study process and responses

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Contribution du Conseil Pontifical pour la Promotion de l'Unité des Chrétiens au projet de document "Vers une conception et une vision communes du Conseil Œcuménique des Églises", p. 111-125 in: W. A. Visser't Hooft, pionnier de l'œcuménisme: Genève - Rome. Paris: Cerf/Les Bergers et les Mages, 2001.

#### WCC: (2001-02) World Council of Churches Central Committee -Potsdam-Berlin

#### INFORMATION

CEI: comisión especial para los ortodoxos, Pastoral ecuménica 19, 57(2002)

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

World Council of Churches. Central Committee, Minutes of the Fifty-first Meeting. Geneva: World Council of Churches. Central Committee, 2001.

#### WCC: (2001-11) Special commission meeting - Berekfürdö INFORMATION

Commission spéciale pour la participation orthodoxe au COE, *Irénikon* 74,4 (2001) 572-575.

Sgroi, P., Chiese in cammino verso l'unità, Studi ecumenici 20, 1(2002) 89f.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Gabrielli, D., Un "consenso" per l'ortodossia, *Confronti* 29, 1 (2002)17-19. Huotari, V., Raportti KMN:ssa ortodoksien osallistumista käsittelevänerityiskomission kokouksesta Berekfordossa Unkarissa 15.-20.11.2001, *Reseptio* 1 (2002) 28-34.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Kinnamon, M., Ecclesiology, NEO-Nordisk Ekumenisk Orientering 2 (2002)7-9.

Scouteris, K., Common Prayer, The Ecumenical Review 54, 1 (2002) 33-37.

## WCC: (2002-06) Special commission meeting - Järvenpää INFORMATION

Accordo tra CEC e ortodossi sul nuovo "consiglio", *Confronti* 29,7/8(2002) 8. Comisión sobre participación de los ortodoxos aprueba método de consenso, *Nuevo siglo* 2, 7 (2002) 12.

Helsinki: 4e session de la commission spéciale de dialogue entre l'église orthodoxe et le COE, SOP-Service orthodoxe de presse: mensuel 270(2002) 1f

Matté, M., Sperimentare la fiducia: CEC - ortodossi, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 12/905 (2002) 377.

Rapport de la commission spéciale sur la participation des orthodoxes au COE, Courrier œcuménique du Moyen Orient 44 (2002) 50.

Scudder, T., WCC Special Commission on Orthodox Participation Completes Work, MECC News Report 14, 1 (2002) 33.

Sonderkommission ÖRK-Orthodoxie legt Abschlussbericht vor, *ACK aktuell* 2 (2002) 46-48.

Sonderkommission zur orthodoxen Mitarbeit des ÖRK legte Zwischenresümee vor, *Orthodoxes Forum* 16, 1 (2002) 84.

Special Commission on Orthodox Participation in WCC Concludes Study, *The Orthodox Church* 38, 5/6 (2002) 10.

#### REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Bouteneff, P., Célébrations confessionnelles ou interconfessionnelles? *Chrétiens en marche* 39, 75 (2002) 2.

Bouteneff, P., La celebrazione confessionale o interconfessionale: un dibattito interno, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 465-470.

Bouteneff, P., Konfessioneller oderinterkonfessioneller Gottesdienst? Eine innere Auseinandersetzung (=*Una Sancta* 57, 2 (2002) 178-181).

George, K. M., Come pregare insieme? Alcune note sulle "Linee direttrici per la preghiera comune", *Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 472-474.

Koppe, R., La questione delle celebrazioni ecumeniche: una piaga aperta del corpo di Cristo, Studi ecumenici 20, 4 (2002) 470-472.

Ruh, U., Ein bemerkenswerter Durchbruch: der ÖRK geht auf seine orthodoxen Mitgliedkirchen zu, Herder Korrespondenz 56, 10 (2002) 516-519.

## $WCC: (2002-09) World Council of Churches Central Committee-Geneva \\INFORMATION$

Bericht der Sonderkommission löst lebhafte Debatte aus, *ACK aktuell* 3(2002) 49f.

Gabrielli, D., Contrasti nelle chiese protestanti sull'apertura agli ortodossi, Confronti 29, 11 (2002) 7.

Matté, M., Al centro la chiesa, *Il Regno attualità* 47, 16/909 (2002)516-519. Modificacions en el CME, d'acord amb els ortodoxos, *Oikumene* 53 (2002)6. Orthodox Concerns bring Sweeping Changes to WCC, *The Orthodox Church* 38,9/10 (2002) 9.

Participation des orthodoxes au COE, *La Documentation catholique* 98, 18/2278 (2002) 897.

Rudolph, B., Sitzung des Zentralausschusses des Ökumenischen Rates der Kirchen, Genf 2002, Una Sancta 57, 4 (2002) 333-336.

Van Marter, J., WCC Meets Orthodox Grievances with Sweeping Changes, MECC News Report 14, 2 (2002) 30.

#### WCC: (2002-09) World Council of Churches Central Committee-Geneva REFLECTION AND REACTIONS

Augustinos, metr. Deutschland, Mit den Augen des Anderen: die orthodoxen Kirche werden in der Öffentlichkeit oft falsch dargestellt, *Zeitzeichen* 3, 12 (2002) 54f.

Brenner, B., Der ÖRK am Scheideweg: Zentralausschuß billigt Abschlußberichtzur orthodoxen Mitarbeit im ÖRK, MD-Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim 53, 4/5 (2002) 61f.

Enns, F., Ehrliche Provokation, Zeitzeichen 3, 11 (2002) 16f.

Heller, D., Bericht über die Sitzung des Zentralausschusses des Ökumenischen Rates der Kirchen (26. August bis 3. September 2002, Genf), Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 487-489.

Les orthodoxes et le conseil œcuménique, *Chrétiens en marche* 39, 76(2002)

Turckheim, G. de, L'évêque luthérienne claque la porte, *Actualité des religions* 43 (2002) 39.

Vetrali, T., Un nuovo volto del CEC: il comitato centrale 2002, *Studi ecumenici* 20, 4 (2002) 373-375.

#### TEXTS AND PAPERS

Abschlussbericht der Sonderkommission zur orthodoxen Mitarbeit im ÖRK, Orthodoxes Forum 16, 2 (2002) 221-259.

Anhang A aus dem Abschlussbericht der Sonderkommission zur orthodoxen Mitarbeit im ÖRK, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 490-502.

Anhang B aus dem Abschlussbericht der Sonderkommission zur orthodoxen Mitarbeit im ÖRK, Ökumenische Rundschau 51, 4 (2002) 502-512.

Gli ortodossi e il CEC: rapporto finale della commissione speciale, *Il Regno documenti* 47, 17/910 (2002) 536-550.

Ein Rahmen für die gemeinsame Andacht bei Versammlungen des Ökumenischen Rates der Kirchen (ÖRK), Una Sancta 57,4 (2002) 340-352.

WCC Central Committee: Geneva, 26 August - 3 September 2002, *The Ecumenical Review* 54, 4 (2002) 483-520.

-compiled by Dr. Loredana Nepi